

 ISSN NO. 2320-5407	Journal Homepage: - www.journalijar.com INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ADVANCED RESEARCH (IJAR) Article DOI: 10.21474/IJAR01/12242 DOI URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.21474/IJAR01/12242	 INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ADVANCED RESEARCH (IJAR) ISSN 2320-5407 Journal Homepage: http://www.journalijar.com Article DOI: 10.21474/IJAR01/12242
---	---	--

RESEARCH ARTICLE

“A CLINICAL COMPARATIVE STUDY OF 8 mg AND 10 mg OF 0.5% HYPERBARIC BUPIVACAINE AND COMBINATION OF EACH WITH 12.5 µg OF FENTANYL FOR SPINAL ANAESTHESIA IN CAESAREAN SECTION”

Dr. Sirisha Rosanna, Dr. K. Madhusudhan Reddy and Dr. U. Seshaphani
Santhiram Medical College, Nandyal.

Manuscript Info

Manuscript History

Received: 31 October 2020

Final Accepted: 30 November 2020

Published: December 2020

Key words:-

Bupivacaine, Fentanyl, Spinal
Anaesthesia, Caesarean Section

Abstract

Introduction: Spinal anaesthesia is commonly employed for caesarean delivery. Bupivacaine is the commonly used local anaesthetic for caesarean delivery. Intrathecal Bupivacaine alone may be insufficient to provide complete analgesia despite the high sensory block. The use of neuraxial opioids has gained popularity over the last few years; they may augment the analgesia produced by local anaesthetic through direct binding with the specific spinal receptors. Hence the present study was done to compare the synergistic effect of intrathecally administered Fentanyl 12.5 micrograms with 8 mg and 10 mg of 0.5% hyperbaric Bupivacaine on sensory and motor block characteristics, recovery profile and also quality of intraoperative and postoperative analgesia.

Methods: One hundred twenty patients belonging to ASA class I and II with singleton pregnancy with term gestation posted for caesarean section, who have no contraindication for spinal anaesthesia were selected. They were randomly divided into four groups of 30 patients each.

Results: Onset of sensory and motor block were similar in low dose of 0.5% hyperbaric Bupivacaine (8 mg) alone and 0.5% hyperbaric Bupivacaine (8 mg) and Fentanyl (12.5 µg) combination groups. However it increased with increasing doses of 0.5% hyperbaric Bupivacaine (10 mg) alone and in 0.5% hyperbaric Bupivacaine (10 mg) and Fentanyl (12.5 µg) combination groups. Total duration of analgesia (time from injection of drug to first requisite for analgesics) lasted longer in group IV (257.03±8.46) and group III (206.00±11.80) when compared to group II (166.66±7.00) and group I (143.96±7.25). Quality of anaesthesia was excellent in all the groups. The intraoperative haemodynamic changes were similar in all the groups. Incidences of other side effects were not significant among the groups. The addition of Fentanyl to Bupivacaine significantly delayed the postoperative pain and sensory recovery but motor recovery time did not change with addition of Fentanyl.

Conclusion: Addition of fentanyl to bupivacaine improved the quality of intraoperative anaesthesia and prolonged the postoperative analgesia and also decreased the dose of Bupivacaine. However Bupivacaine 8 mg and 10 mg can also be safely used for spinal anaesthesia in

caesarean delivery with lesser duration of postoperative analgesia

Copy Right, IJAR, 2020,. All rights reserved.

Introduction:-

Regional anaesthesia has become more popular in caesarean deliveries because most of the parturients prefer being awake during childbirth. In addition, it is a safer method than general anaesthesia.¹ Subarachnoid block (SAB) is the anaesthesia technique of choice and is the gold standard for caesarean section because of the ease, effectiveness as well as the rapidity in establishing adequate levels of analgesia. In addition, the small amounts of local anaesthetics (LA) administered make placental transfer and foetal uptake of drug negligible compared to other regional techniques.²

Bupivacaine, an amide type of LA was introduced by Ekenstam in 1957 and first used clinically by Telivuo in 1963.³ It is the most commonly employed LA intrathecally for caesarean section. It is well known that the dose of the drug influences the duration of sensory as well as motor blockade and has a significant effect on the degree of hypotension..

Methods Of Collection Of Data:-

The data was collected in a pretested proforma meeting the objectives of the study. After obtaining approval from the Institutional Ethical Committee and informed written consent from the patients, 120 ASA class I and II pregnant women were selected. They were randomly divided into four groups of 30 patients each. Preoperative assessment was done for each patient. Baseline readings of pulse rate, blood pressure and arterial oxygen saturation were recorded.

Results:-

The following observations were made during the course of the study

Sensory Characteristics:

Table 1:- Time of onset of sensory analgesia to T₁₀.

Group I	2.71±0.33
Group II	2.45±0.29
Group III	2.59±0.43
Group IV	2.23±0.32

The minimum time taken for onset of sensory analgesia to T₁₀ in group I was 2 min 5 sec, in group II was 1 min 50 sec, in group III was 1 min 40 sec and in group IV was 1 min 40 sec. The maximum time taken for onset of sensory analgesia to T₁₀ in group I was 3 min 40 sec, in group II was 3 min, in group III was 3 min and in group IV was 2 min 40 sec. 26 (86.7%), 24 (80%), 26 (86.7%) and 19 (63.3%) patients in group I, II, III and IV achieved sensory analgesia to T₁₀ level between 2-3 min respectively. 6 (20%), 1 (3.3%), 11 (36.7%) patients in group II, III and IV achieved sensory analgesia to T₁₀ level between 1-2 min respectively. And also 4 (13.3%) and 3 (10%) patients in group I and III achieved T₁₀ level in 3-4 min respectively. Whereas no patients in group I achieved T₁₀ level in 1-2 min and also no patients in group III and IV achieved T₁₀ level in 3-4 min.

The mean time of onset of sensory analgesia to T₁₀ in group I was 2.71±0.33 min, in group II was 2.45±0.29 min, in group III was 2.59±0.43 min and in group IV was 2.23±0.32 min which was statistically significant among the groups (p<0.000)

Table 2:-Time taken to achieve highest level of sensory analgesia.

Time taken to achieve the highest level of sensory analgesia (minutes)	Group I		Group II		Group III		Group IV	
	No. of patients	%	No. of patients	%	No. of patients	%	No. of patients	%
3-4	00	0%	05	16.7%	01	3.3%	09	30%
4-5	05	16.7%	17	56.7%	09	30%	16	53.3%
5-6	21	70%	08	26.7%	18	60%	05	16.7%
6-7	04	13.3%	00	0	02	6.7%	00	0%
Total	30	100%	30	100%	30	100%	30	100%

Mean time (minutes)

Group I	5.71±0.45
Group II	4.89±0.61
Group III	5.12±0.52
Group IV	4.60±0.59

The minimum time taken to achieve highest level of sensory analgesia in group I was 4min 55sec, in group II was 3min 20sec, group III was 4min and in group IV was 3min 30sec. The maximum time taken to achieve highest level of sensory analgesia in group I was 6min 40sec and in group II was 5min 50sec in group III was 6min and in group IV was 5min 40sec.

21(70%), 8(26.7%), 18(60%) and 5(16.7%) patients in group I, II, III and IV achieved highest level of sensory analgesia in 5-6min respectively. 5(16.7%), 17(56.7%), 9(30%) and 16(53.3%) patients in group I, II, III and IV achieved highest level of sensory analgesia in 4-5min respectively. 5(16.7%), 1(3.3%) and 9(30%) patients in group II, III and IV achieved highest level of sensory analgesia in 3-4min respectively. 4(13.3%) and 2(6.7%) patients in group I and III achieved highest level of sensory analgesia in 6-7min respectively. No patients in group I achieved highest level in 3-4 min and also no patients in group II and IV achieved highest level in 6-7min.

The mean time taken to achieve highest level of sensory analgesia in group I was 5.71±0.45min, in group II was 4.89±0.61min, in group III was 5.12±0.52min and in group IV was 4.60±0.59min which was statistically significant among the groups ($p < 0.000$).

Table 3:-Time for two segment sensory regression**Mean time (minutes)**

Group I	41.03±2.51
Group II	68.60±5.30
Group III	76.66±5.13
Group IV	89.90±5.97

The minimum time taken for two segment sensory regression in group I was 36min, in group II was 55min, in group III was 65min and in group IV was 80min. The maximum time taken for two segment sensory regression in group I was 46min, in group II was 78min, in group III was 85min and in group IV was 105min. The time taken for two segment sensory regression in 30(100%) patients in group I was 36-50min. 22(73.3%) and 23(76.7%) patients in group II and III achieved two segment regression in 66-80min respectively. Time taken for two segment sensory regression in 22(73.3%) patients in group IV was 81-95min. The mean time for two segment sensory regression in group I was 41.03±2.51min, in group II was 68.60±5.30min, in group III was 76.66±5.13min and in group IV was 89.90±5.97min which was statistically significant among the groups ($p < 0.000$).

Table 4:- Time for sensory regression to L_1

Time for sensory regression to L_1 (minutes)	Group I		Group II		Group III		Group IV	
	No.	of %	No.	of %	No.	of %	No.	of %

	patients		patients		patients		patients	
81-110	26	86.7%	00	0%	00	0%	00	0%
111-140	04	13.3%	28	93.3%	10	33.3%	00	0%
141-170	00	0%	02	6.7%	20	66.7%	05	16.7%
171-200	00	0%	00	0%	00	0%	25	83.3%
Total	30	100%	30	100%	30	100%	30	100%

Mean time (minutes)

Group I	100.0±8.0
Group II	132.46±6.93
Group III	145.60±8.52
Group IV	183.43±9.33

The minimum time taken for sensory regression to L1 in group I was 88min, in group II was 120min, in group III was 128min and in group IV was 165min. The maximum time taken for sensory regression to L1 in group I was 116min, in group II was 147min, in group III was 162min and in group IV was 205min.

The time taken for sensory regression to L1 in 26(86.7%) patients, in group I was 81-110min. The time taken for sensory regression to L1 in 28(93.3%) patients, in group II was 111-140min. The time taken for sensory regression to L1 in 20(66.7%) patients in group III was 141-170min. Time taken for sensory regression to L1 in 25(83.3%) patients, in group IV was 171-200min.

The mean time for sensory regression to L1 in group I was 100.0±8.0min, in group II was 132.46±6.93min, in group III was 145.60±8.52min and in group IV was 183.43±9.33min which was statistically significant among the groups ($p < 0.000$).

Table 5:- Total duration of analgesia.

Total Duration of Analgesia (minutes)	Group I		Group II		Group III		Group IV	
	No. of patients	%	No. of patients	%	No. of patients	%	No. of patients	%
130-159	29	96.7%	04	13.3%	00	0%	00	0%
160-189	01	3.3%	26	86.7%	03	10%	00	0%
190-219	00	0%	00	0%	24	80%	00	0%
220-249	00	0%	00	0%	03	10%	06	20%
250-279	00	0%	00	0%	00	0%	24	80%
Total	30	100%	30	100%	30	100%	30	100%

The minimum total duration of analgesia in group I was 130min, in group II was 150min, in group III was 188min and in group IV was 238min. The maximum total duration of analgesia in group I was 160min, in group II was 180min, in group III was 224min and in group IV was 270min. 29(96.7%) patients in group I, 26(86.7%) patients in group II, 24(80%) patients in group III and 24(80%) patients in group IV had total duration of analgesia between 130-159mins, 160-189mins, 190-219mins and 250-279mins respectively.

The mean time of total duration of analgesia in group I was 143.96±7.25min, in group II was 166.66±7.00min, in group III was 206.00±11.80min and in group IV was 257.03±8.46min which was statistically significant among the groups ($p < 0.000$).

Motor Characteristics:**Table 6:-** Time of onset of Grade III motor block.

Time of onset of grade III motor block (minutes)	Group I		Group II		Group III		Group IV	
	No. of patients	%	No. of patients	%	No. of patients	%	No. of patients	%

3-4	00	0%	00	0%	01	3.3%	07	23.3%
4-5	02	6.6%	15	50%	01	3.3%	19	63.4%
5-6	13	43.4%	15	50%	15	50%	04	13.3%
6-7	15	50%	00	0%	13	43.4%	00	0%
Total	30	100%	30	100%	30	100%	30	100%

Mean time (minutes):

The minimum time taken for onset of grade III block in group I was 5min, in group II was 4min 30sec, in group III was 4min and in group IV was 3min 10sec. The maximum time taken for onset of grade I II blocking group I was 7min, in group II was 6min, in group III was 6min 30sec and in group IV was 5min 30sec. 15(50%) and 13(43.3%) patients in group I and III had onset of grade III motor blockade between 6-7min whereas no patients in group II and IV had onset of

grade III motor blockade between 6-7 min. 13(43.3%), 15(50%), 15(50%) and 4(13.3%) patients in group I, II, III and IV had onset of grade III motor blockade between 5-6 min respectively. 15(50%) and 19(63.3%) patients in group II and IV had onset of grade III motor blockade between 4-5min. 1(3.3%) and 7(23.3%) patients in group III and IV had onset of grade III motor blockade between 3-4 min, whereas no patient in group I and II had onset of grade III motor blockade in the same time interval.

The mean time of onset of grade III blockade in group I was 6.09 ± 0.54 min, in group II was 4.78 ± 0.57 min, in group III was 5.86 ± 0.63 min and in group IV was 4.62 ± 0.57 min which was statistically significant among the groups ($p < 0.000$).

Table 7:- Time between Intrathecal Injection to delivery interval.**Mean time (minutes)**

Group I	7.33 $\pm$ 0.84
Group II	7.43 $\pm$ 0.81
Group III	7.40 $\pm$ 0.89
Group IV	7.56 $\pm$ 0.89

The mean time between intrathecal injection to delivery interval in group I was 7.33 ± 0.84 min, in group II was 7.43 ± 0.81 min, in group III was 7.40 ± 0.89 min and in group IV was 7.56 ± 0.89 min. There was no statistically significant difference among the groups.

Table 8:- APGAR at 1 and 5 minutes interval.

APGAR score	Group I	Group II	Group III	Group IV
1 minutes	8	7-8	7-8	7-8
5 minutes	10	10	10	10

No significant differences observed among the four groups at 1 and 5 min interval.

There were no significant haemodynamic alterations in all the four groups during the study period

Table 9:- Side effects.

Side effects	Group I		Group II		Group III		Group IV	
	No. of patients	%	No. of patients	%	No. of patients	%	No. of patients	%
Hypotension	2	6.6%	5	16.7%	3	10%	6	20%
Bradycardia	1	3.3%	2	6.7%	2	6.7%	2	6.7%
Nausea	1	3.3%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Vomiting	HR	3.3%	2H	6.7%	H	6.7%	2	6.7%
Pruritis	bas	0%	0R	0%	R	3.3%	1	3.3%
	e	0	0	0	4	6	1	1

6.7% in group I, 16.7% in group II, 10% in group III and 20% in group IV developed hypotension and was treated and is not significant between the groups. Bradycardia was noticed in 3.3% of patients in group I, 6.7% in group II, 6.7% in group III and 6.7% in group IV which was not significant. Vomiting was noticed in 3.3% of patients in group I, 6.7% in group II, 6.7% in group III and 6.7% in group IV which was not significant. Nausea was seen in 3.3% of patients in group I. Pruritis was seen in 3.3% of patients in group III and group IV, which was not significant. None of the patients in all the four groups required intraoperative analgesics.

Discussion:-

Sensory Characteristics:

Time of onset of sensory block to T10; In the present study the mean time of onset of sensory analgesia to T10 in group I was 2.71 ± 0.33 min, in group II was 2.45 ± 0.29 min, in group III was 2.59 ± 0.43 min and in group IV was 2.23 ± 0.32 min which was statistically significant among the groups ($p < 0.000$).

Our result concurs with the result observed in Bogra J et al.,⁴⁴ in their study they observed that the onset of sensory block to T6 occurred faster with increasing Bupivacaine doses in Bupivacaine only groups and Bupivacaine–Fentanyl combination groups. However they did not measure time of onset analgesia to T10. Choi DH et al.³⁹ in their study also did not comment on time of onset analgesia to T10.

The time of onset of sensory analgesia to T10 between group I and group II was statistically significant similarly it was significant between group III and group IV. This finding is consistent with Bogra J et al.⁴⁴ study where they concluded that increase in Bupivacaine dose decreases the time of onset of sensory block. Choi DH et al.³⁹ in their study did not comment on onset of sensory analgesia.

The time of onset of sensory analgesia to T10 between group I and group III was not statistically significant similarly it was not significant between group II and group IV. This finding is consistent with Harsoor SS et al.⁴⁵ study and Biswas BN et al.⁴¹ study where they observed that addition of Fentanyl did not alter the onset of sensory block. Similar observations were made by Shende D et al.¹¹ and Hunt CO et al.¹³

Total duration of analgesia:

In the present study mean time of total duration of analgesia in group I was 143.96 ± 7.25 min, in group II was 166.66 ± 7.00 min, in group III was 206.00 ± 11.80 min and in group IV was 257.03 ± 8.46 min which was statistically significant among the groups ($p < 0.000$).

Biswas BN et al.⁴¹ in their study observed the mean total duration of analgesia with 10mg Bupivacaine was 129 ± 9.5 min and in Bupivacaine 10mg – Fentanyl 12.5µg combination group was 183 ± 9 min.

Harsoor SS et al.⁴⁵ in their study observed the mean total duration of analgesia with 8mg Bupivacaine was 103 min and in Bupivacaine 8mg – Fentanyl 12.5µg combination group was 184 min.

Motor Characteristics:

Time for onset of grade III motor block:

In the present study the mean time of onset of grade III blockade in group I was 6.09 ± 0.54 min, in group II was 4.78 ± 0.57 min, in group III was 5.86 ± 0.63 min and in group IV was 4.62 ± 0.57 min which was statistically significant among the groups ($p < 0.000$).

Harsoor SS et al.⁴⁵ in their study observed the mean time of onset of grade III blockade with 8mg Bupivacaine was 4.8 min and in Bupivacaine 8mg – Fentanyl 12.5µg combination group was 4.3 min. Biswas BN et al.⁴¹ in their study observed the mean time of onset of grade III blockade with 10mg Bupivacaine was 5 ± 1 min and in Bupivacaine 10mg – Fentanyl 12.5µg combination group was 5.4 ± 1.1 min.

Total duration of motor block

In the present study the mean time of total duration of motor block in group I was 103.83 ± 13.24 min, in group II was 128.20 ± 11.57 min, in group III was 106.90 ± 10.92 min and in group IV was 132.93 ± 12.06 min which was statistically significant among the groups ($p < 0.000$).

According to Choi DH et al.³⁹ the mean time of total duration of motor block with 8mg Bupivacaine alone was 119 ± 16 min, with 10mg Bupivacaine alone was 131 ± 31 min, with Bupivacaine 8mg-Fentanyl $10 \mu\text{g}$ was 108 ± 22 min and with Bupivacaine 10mg-Fentanyl $10 \mu\text{g}$ was 130 ± 31 min. This finding is consistent with our present study however the dose of Fentanyl used in our study was $12.5 \mu\text{g}$ fentanyl.

Conclusion:-

Hence, 0.5% hyperbaric Bupivacaine 8 mg with Fentanyl $12.5 \mu\text{g}$ is safe, effective and superior for spinal anaesthesia in caesarean section. 0.5% hyperbaric Bupivacaine 10 mg with Fentanyl $12.5 \mu\text{g}$ combination is also beneficial for spinal anaesthesia which produced longer duration of analgesia when compared to Bupivacaine 8 mg with Fentanyl $12.5 \mu\text{g}$ combination group which is advantageous both intra and postoperatively. But the same combination also produced longer duration of motor block which interferes with early ambulation. 0.5% hyperbaric Bupivacaine 8 mg and 10 mg alone is also sufficient for spinal anaesthesia in caesarean section lasting for shorter duration.

Bibliography:-

1. Hawkins JL, Koonin LM, Palmer SK, Gibbs CP. Anesthesia-related deaths during obstetric delivery in the United States, 1979-1990. *Anesthesiology* 1997; 86:277-84.
2. Santos AC, Pedersen H, Finster M, Edstrom H. Hyperbaric Bupivacaine for spinal anaesthesia in cesarean section. *Anesth Analg* 1984;63:1009-13
3. Davidson Churchill. A practice of anaesthesia. 5th ed. PG Publishing Pvt Ltd; 1986.
4. Liu SS, Ware PD, Allen HW, Neal JM, Pollock JE. Dose-response characteristics of spinal Bupivacaine in volunteers: clinical implications for ambulatory anesthesia. *Anesthesiology* 1996;85:729-36.
5. Russell IF, Holmqvist EL. Subarachnoid analgesia for caesarean section. A double-blind comparison of plain and hyperbaric 0.5% Bupivacaine. *Br J Anaesth* 1987;59:347-53.
6. Randalls B, Broadway JW, Browne DA, Morgan BM. Comparison of four subarachnoid solutions in needle through-needle technique for elective cesarean section. *Br J Anaesth* 1991;66:314-8.
7. De Simone CA, Leighton BL, Norris MC. Spinal anesthesia for cesarean delivery. A comparison of two doses of hyperbaric Bupivacaine. *Reg Anesth* 1995;20:90-4.
8. Clur, Duck-Hwan, Ahn, Hyun-Joo. Spinal anesthesia for cesarean section – a comparison of three doses of hyperbaric Bupivacaine mixed with Fentanyl. *Br J Anaesth* 1999;82:159.
9. van Tuijl I, van Klei WA, van der Werff DBM, Kalkman CJ. The effect of addition of intrathecal clonidine to hyperbaric Bupivacaine on postoperative pain.