



Journal Homepage: - www.journalijar.com

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ADVANCED RESEARCH (IJAR)

Article DOI: 10.21474/IJAR01/17269

DOI URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.21474/IJAR01/17269>



RESEARCH ARTICLE

DEXMEDETOMIDINE/ FENTANYL INFUSION IN OPEN CARDIAC SURGERIES

Dr. Manisha S. Kapdi¹ and Dr. Shivangi Patel²

1. Professor H.G,Narendra Modi Medical Collage, Maninagar, Ahmedabad, Ex - Associate Professor in NHLM Medical Collage, Ahmedabad.
2. Assistant Professor in Anaesthesia, GMERS Collage, Junagadh, Ex- Resident in Anaesthesia,NHLM Medical Collage, Ahmedabad.

Manuscript Info

Manuscript History

Received: 20 May 2023

Final Accepted: 24 June 2023

Published: July 2023

Key words:-

Dexmedetomidine, Fentanyl,
Mechanical Ventilation, Sedation

Abstract

Background: patients of open heart surgeries are now a days extubated early for fasttraking & improve patient outcome.

Aims and Objectives: To compare the efficacy of dexmedetomidine/ fentanyl for sedoanalgesia& time ro extubation in post-operative adult cardiac surgical patients.

Methods: A prospective randomized double-blind study involving 60 adult patients undergoing open heart surgery were divided into two groups, each involving 30 patients. One group received fentanyl at 1 µg/kg/h (Group A) and the other received dexmedetomidine at 0.5 µg/kg/h (Group B) for post-operative sedation with intermittent rescue dose of fentanyl 0.5 µg/kg bolus in either group as rescue analgesia as per requirement. The efficacy of sedation was assessed using the Ramsay sedation score. The time taken for extubation from the stoppage of infusion was noted. Patients were enrolled in two Randomised groups of 30 patients each. With occasional rescue doses of fentanyl 0.5 g/kg bolus in either group as needed, one group (Group A) received fentanyl at 1 g/kg/h and the other (Group B) received dexmedetomidine at 0.5 g/kg/h for postoperative sedation. The Ramsay sedation score was used to evaluate the effectiveness of the sedation. It was noticed how long it took to extubate once the infusion was stopped.

Results: Haemodynamic parameters between the two groups were comparable. Sedation scores between fentanyl and dexmedetomidine groups were comparable. Average time (in minutes) required for extubation was 100.04 (±43.6 SD) in the dexmedetomidine group compared with 159.4 (±93.3 SD) in the fentanyl group. The difference in mean time for extubation was statistically significant.

Conclusions: As compare to fentanyl , Dexmedetomidine facilitates adequate sedation for mechanical ventilation and also provide early extubation.

Copy Right, IJAR, 2023,. All rights reserved.

Corresponding Author:- Dr. Manisha S. Kapdi

Address:- Professor H.G,Narendra Modi Medical Collage, Maninagar, Ahmedabad, Ex - Associate Professor in NHLM Medical Collage, Ahmedabad.

Introduction:-

Adequate sedation and analgesia are necessary in postoperative cardiac surgery patients to keep mechanical ventilation in sync, reduce the stress response, minimise unintentional extubation, and prevent the dislodging of intravascular devices. Commonly Opioids and benzodiazepines, which are frequently used for post-operative sedation, are linked to severe respiratory depression and a protracted recovery period after the infusion is stopped.

Dexmedetomidine acts on the locus coeruleus' α_2 receptor to induce sedation and analgesia [2,3]. Patients receiving a dexmedetomidine infusion are easily awakened, able to follow instructions, and cooperative when receiving mechanical ventilation. Dexmedetomidine has no effect on arterial oxygenation-to-carbon dioxide ratio or respiration rate in postoperative patients. With the loading dose, hypotension and bradycardia were seen. This study evaluated the effectiveness of dexmedetomidine sedation to fentanyl in terms of sedation, analgesia, and the time needed for extubation.

Methods:-

After the approval of the institutional ethical committee, this randomized prospective double blind study was conducted involving 60 patients; informed consent was taken from their parents. The patients were randomly allocated into two groups of 30 each. Randomisation was done by sealed opaque envelopes having odd & even numbers.

Inclusion criteria:

Age more than 18 years to 80 years posted for open cardiac surgery.

Exclusion criteria

were patients undergoing re-operation, patients with severe liver dysfunction, second and third degree heart block, patients potentially requiring ventilation for more than 24 h, Patients with IABP, with severe congestive heart failure, neurological disorder and hypersensitivity to opioids. All the patients after fasting for 6 to 8 hours prior to surgery were premedicated with Tablet Ranitidin 150mg Tab Metochlopramide 10mg and Tab Alprazolam 0.5mg at previous night and 4 hours before operation in morning with sips of water. On table a large bore intravenous canula was put under local anesthesia. Patient was monitored with ECG oxygen saturation, temperature invasive monitoring of blood pressure end tidal carbon dioxide and central venous pressure.

Exclusion criteria:

Patients undergoing re-operation, those with severe liver dysfunction, second- and third-degree heart blocks, those who might need ventilation for longer than 24 hours, those with IABP, those with severe congestive heart failure, those with neurological disorders, and those with opiate hypersensitivity were excluded from the study. Prior to surgery intravenous (IV) Midazolam at 0.1 mg/kg was given, anaesthesia was induced. 0.3 mg/kg each of Etomidate and fentanyl 3-4 mcg/kg And Vecuronium 0.1 mg/kg used for Endotracheal intubation. Maintenance was With the help of intermittent vecuronium and isoflurane in O₂, anaesthesia was kept going. Fentanyl 1 g/kg and midazolam 0.05 mg/kg were administered again during sternal closure. Either a dexmedetomidine infusion at the dose of 0.5 gm/kg/h or a fentanyl infusion at the dose of 1 gm/kg/h was started as soon as the patient was transferred to the post-operative intensive care unit [10]. In the post-operative intensive care unit, sedative infusions of either fentanyl or dexmedetomidine were given at random using a odd/ even number in seal opaque envelope approach by a different anesthesiologist (who was not engaged in the study).

The Ramsay Sedation Score (RSS) and hemodynamic parameters were taken hourly in MS excel spreadsheet.

For agitated and upset patients in both groups, 0.5 mcg/kg of fentanyl was administered as rescue sedative, and the frequency of this need was observed. sedation was stopped at 4 hrs postoperatively after confirming Normal ABGA, normal zeay & nil drain, to facilitate an early extubation trial for fasttracking After stopping the sedative medication, the time until extubation was also documented.

When patients fulfilled our extubation requirements, which included the following: they were deemed ready to be weaned from the ventilator. waking up, stable hemodynamics, normothermia, and a PaO₂/FiO₂ of greater than 200 torr following corrective surgery (e) normocapnic with a support pressure of less than 10 cm H₂O, and (f) spontaneously breathing at a rate under 25. The patient was extubated, and more oxygen was given through a nasal

cannula. The degree of hemodynamic compromise was categorised into three categories: mild, moderate, and severe. Sedation had to be stopped, pharmacological intervention, or temporary pacing was required for severe haemodynamic compromise. The amount of inotrope eaten by each group was compared using the inotrope score. [13]. Both the fentanyl and dexmedetomidine groups' demographic information was comparable. The type and length of operation did not differ statistically significantly.

Clinical Sedation Scale used for the study

Ramsey Sedation Score (RSS)

1. Anxious, agitated, restless
2. Eyes open, co-operative, oriented, tranquil
3. Responds (opens eyes) only to command, light touch, normal tone of voice
4. Brisk response to light glabellar tap or loud noise/voice
5. Sluggish response to light glabellar tap or loud noise/voice
6. No response to light glabellar tap or loud noise/voice

Results:-

Both the fentanyl and dexmedetomidine groups' demographic information was comparable. The type and length of operation did not differ statistically significantly. Both groups had sedation for an average of 4 hours. There was no statistically as well as clinically significant difference in the haemodynamic parameters, i.e. the pulse, systolic blood pressure and diastolic blood pressure, between the two groups, as seen in Figure 2.

The haemodynamic measures, including the pulse, systolic blood pressure, and diastolic blood pressure, did not differ statistically or clinically between the two groups.

In the fentanyl group, bradycardia occurred far less frequently. Even though the heart rate dropped in the first few hours in the dexmedetomidine group, it was still 10 to 15% below baseline and did not need treatment. In neither group was there any substantial hypotension. The average time for extubation from the cessation of sedative infusion was 100.04±43.6 min in the dexmedetomidine group as compared with 159.4±93.3 min in the fentanyl group, with a P value of 0.001 (statistically significant).

Sedation score between the two groups were comparable with no accidental extubation because of insufficient sedation and no patient was re intubated. The median with interquartile range of RSS sedation score in the fentanyl and dexmedetomidine groups were comparable, With a P value of 0.001 (statistically significant), the average time for extubation following the end of sedative infusion was 140.04±43.6 min in the dexmedetomidine group and 359.4±93.3 min in the fentanyl group .

Statistical analysis

The demographic data of the two groups (Fentanyl and dexmedetomidine) were comparable.

Table 1:- Demographic parameters.

Parameter	Group A	Group B	Pvalue	Significance
Age(yrs)	57.0±10.62	54.3±10.24	>0.05	NS
Weight(kg)	64.4 ± 9.9	62.8±11.0	>0.05	NS
Duration of surgery(mins)	92.8±11.0	94.4 ± 9.9	>0.05	NS

Table 2:-

Parameter	Group A	Group B	Pvalue	Significance
Mean Heart rate(/min)	118.1 ± 13.5,	109.2±9.8,	>0.05	NS
Mean SBP(mm of Hg)	123.6±11.1,	112.9±10.9	>0.05	NS
Mean DBP(mm of Hg)	68.9±8.8	65.7±6.1	>0.05	NS
Time to extubatio(359.4 ± 93.3	140.4 ± 43.6	<0.001	HS

mins)				
-------	--	--	--	--

Discussion:-

Most patients in the post-surgical ICU need sedation and analgesia to help with mechanical ventilation, calm anxiety, ease pain, promote sleep, prevent a sudden rise in systemic or pulmonary vascular resistance due to agitation, and to avoid accidentally dislodging indwelling catheters or drainage tubes by frequent movement.

Dexmedetomidine has been shown to have both sedative and analgesic effects, reduced delirium and agitation, low respiratory depression, and predictable and desired cardiovascular effects when compared to traditional sedatives and opiates [2,9]. Sedation and anxiolysis are greatly aided by the central nervous system's stimulation of parasympathetic outflow and suppression of sympathetic outflow from the locus coeruleus in the brainstem [4]. Increased firing of inhibitory neurons is made possible by decreased locus coeruleus noradrenergic output (GABA). Heart rate and blood pressure are reduced as a result of centrally active α_2 adrenergic agonists' activation of central sympatholytic effects [3,9]. The activation of the α_2 adrenergic receptor in the dorsal horn of the spinal cord and inhibition of substance P release cause the primary analgesic effects and potentiation of opioid-induced analgesics Chrysostomou et al.[14] retrospectively reviewed their experience with post-operative dexmedetomidine infusion in paediatric patients undergoing cardiac surgery. Dexmedetomidine was administered in the post-operative unit at a dose of 0.1–0.5 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}/\text{h}$ for 3–26 h, and they reported successful post-operative sedation in 93% of the patients with absent or minimal pain scores. They also reported that 87% of the patients on dexmedetomidine infusion were easily weaned and extubated.

In our study, there was a highly significant delay in extubation in the fentanyl group, the average time being 359.4 ± 93.3 min as compared with dexmedetomidine (140.4 ± 43.6 min). Dexmedetomidine has minimal effects on respiration and, therefore, facilitates early extubation,[9,12,14-16] whereas fentanyl, being an opioid, the respiratory depressant action is the most serious adverse effect causing delay in the extubation.[17-23].

Park et al.[24] compared hypnotic-based sedation (propofol and/or midazolam) with analgesia-based sedation (remifentanyl) in a general intensive care unit, and found that analgesia-based sedation provided more satisfactory sedation during mechanical ventilation and also allowed early extubation as compared with hypnotic-based sedation. Muellejans et al.[25] compared remifentanyl versus fentanyl for analgesia-based sedation in the intensive care unit and concluded that analgesia-based sedation with fentanyl or remifentanyl was comparable and helped in early extubation of the patients.

In our study, we compared the analgesic fentanyl based sedation (most common agent used in the postoperative cardiac intensive care unit because of its haemodynamic stability) with the central α_2 agonist dexmedetomidine; the RSS was comparable between the two groups as shown Tobias et al.[12] in a prospective randomized study showed that dexmedetomidine at 0.5 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}/\text{h}$ provided more effective sedation and decreased the rescue doses of morphine. In our study, the sedation levels in the dexmedetomidine group were adequate and comparable with the fentanyl group; the rescue doses of fentanyl required were comparable in both the groups.

Venn et al.[26] in a prospective randomized study showed that dexmedetomidine at an initial loading dose of 1 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ over 10 min followed by maintenance dose of 0.7 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}/\text{h}$ provided optimal sedation, but 18 of 66 patients had adverse haemodynamic effects of either hypotension or bradycardia, in 11 of 18 patients the haemodynamic effects were during bolus infusion. Bloor et al.[27]. The magnitude of decrease in heart rate and blood pressure increase was proportional to the dose of dexmedetomidine increased. At lower doses, the decrease were of modest clinical interest and did not warrant corrective action.

In our study, the haemodynamic effects were minimal and did not require any intervention. This was probably due to the avoidance of an initial loading dose and also a low infusion dose of 0.5 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}/\text{h}$. [7].

Conclusion:-

In nutshell, comparison to Fentanyl infusion, low dose Dexmedetomidine infusion provide stable haemodynamics and early extubation in open heart surgeries.

References:-

1. Virtanen R, Savola JM, Saano V, Nyman L. Characterization of the selectivity, specificity and potency of medetomidine as an alpha 2-adrenoceptor agonist. *Eur J Pharmacol* 1988;150:9-14.
2. Venn RM, Hell J, Grounds RM. Respiratory effects of dexmedetomidine in the surgical patient requiring intensive care. *Crit Care* 2000; 4:302-08.
3. Nelson LE, Lu J, Guo T, Saper CB, Franks NP, Maze M. The alpha2-adrenoceptor agonist dexmedetomidine converges on an endogenous sleep-promoting pathway to exert its sedative effects. *Anesthesiology* 2003;98:428-36.
4. Taniguchi T, Kidani Y, Kanakura H, Takemoto Y, Yamamoto K. Effects of dexmedetomidine on mortality rate and inflammatory responses to endotoxin-induced shock in rats.
5. Rosen DA, Daume JT. Short duration large dose dexmedetomidine in a pediatric patient during procedural sedation. *Anesth Analg* 2006;103:68-9
6. Ickeringill M, Shehabi Y, Adamson H, Ruettimann U. Dexmedetomidine infusion without loading dose in surgical patients requiring mechanical ventilation: Hemodynamic effects and efficacy. *Anaesth Intensive Care* 2004;32:741-5.
7. Potts AL, Anderson BJ, Warman GR, Lerman J, Diaz SM, Vilo S. Dexmedetomidine pharmacokinetics in pediatric intensive care--a pooled analysis. *Paediatr Anaesth* 2009;19:1119-29.
8. Tobias JD. Dexmedetomidine: Applications in pediatric critical care and pediatric anesthesiology. *Pediatr Crit Care Med* 2007;8:115-31.
9. Zwass MS, Gregory GA. Pediatric and neonatal intensive care. In: Miller RD, editor. *Miller's Anesthesia*. 7th ed. Philadelphia: Churchill Livingstone; 2009. p. 2688.
10. Ramsay MA, Savege TM, Simpson BR, Goodwin R. Controlled sedation with alphaxalone-alphadolone. *Br Med J* 1974;2:656-9.
11. Tobias JD, Berkenbosch JW. Sedation during mechanical ventilation in infants and children: Dexmedetomidine versus midazolam. *South Med J* 2004;97:451-5.
12. Skippen PW, Krahn GE. Acute renal failure in children undergoing cardiopulmonary bypass. *Crit Care Resusc* 2005;7:286-91.
13. Tobias JD, Berkenbosch JW. Initial experience with dexmedetomidine in paediatric aged patients. *Paediatr Anaesth* 2002;12:171-5.
14. Venn RM, Hell J, Grounds RM. Respiratory effects of dexmedetomidine in the surgical patient requiring intensive care. *Crit Care* 2000;4:302-8.
15. Fukuda K. Opioids. In: Miller RD, editor. *Miller's Anesthesia*. 7th ed. Philadelphia: Churchill Livingstone; 2009. p. 782-4.
16. Bouillon T, Bruhn J, Roepcke H, Hoefl A. Opioid-induced respiratory depression is associated with increased tidal volume variability. *Eur J Anaesthesiol* 2003;20:127-33.
17. Duarte LT, Fernandes Mdo C, Costa VV, Saraiva RA. The incidence of postoperative respiratory depression in patients undergoing intravenous or epidural analgesia with opioids. *Rev Bras Anesthesiol* 2009;59:409-20.
18. Topacoglu H, Karcioğlu O, Cimrin AH, Arnold J. Respiratory arrest after low-dose fentanyl. *Ann Saudi Med* 2005;25:508-10.
19. Xue FS, Huang YG, Luo LK, Deng XM, Liao X, Tong SY, et al. Observation of early postoperative hypoxaemia in children undergoing elective plastic surgery. *Paediatr Anaesth* 1996;6:21-8.
20. Khalil SN, Matuszczak ME, Maposa D, Bolos ME, Lingadevaru HS, Chuang AZ. Presurgical fentanyl vs caudal block and the incidence of adverse respiratory events in children after orchidopexy. *Paediatr Anaesth* 2009;19:1220-5.
21. Guggenberger H, Schroeder TH, Vonthein R, Dieterich HJ, Shernan SK, Eltzschig HK. Remifentanyl or sufentanyl for coronary surgery: Comparison of postoperative respiratory impairment. *Eur J Anaesthesiol* 2006;23:832-40.
22. Park G, Lane M, Rogers S, Bassett P. A comparison of hypnotic and analgesic based sedation in a general intensive care unit. *Br J Anaesth* 2007;98:76-82.
23. Muellejans B, López A, Cross MH, Bonome C, Morrison L, Kirkham AJ. Remifentanyl versus fentanyl for analgesia based sedation to provide patient comfort in the intensive care unit: A randomized, double-blind controlled trial. *Crit Care* 2004;8:R1-11.
24. Venn RM, Bradshaw CJ, Spencer R, Brealey D, Caudwell E, Naughton C, et al. Preliminary UK experience of dexmedetomidine, a novel agent for postoperative sedation

inthe intensive care unit. *Anaesthesia* 1999;54:1136-42.

25. Bloor BC, Ward DS, Belleville JP, Maze M. Effects of intravenous dexmedetomidine in humans. II. Hemodynamic changes. *Anesthesiology* 1992;77:1134-42.