



Journal Homepage: [-www.journalijar.com](http://www.journalijar.com)

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ADVANCED RESEARCH (IJAR)

Article DOI:10.21474/IJAR01/20815
DOI URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.21474/IJAR01/20815>



RESEARCH ARTICLE

COMPARATIVE EVALUATION OF POSTOPERATIVE PAIN MANAGEMENT : SINGLE-SHOT REGIONAL ANESTHESIA VERSUS PERINEURAL CATHETER IN THORACIC SURGERY

Berrada Amine, Chabbar Sara, Mohamed Taha Wafqui, Amine Zerhouni, Lina F. Berrada, Fatimazahra Faouji, Anass Mounir and Chafik El Kettani

Manuscript Info

Manuscript History

Received: 17 February 2025

Final Accepted: 20 March 2025

Published: April 2025

Abstract

Postoperative pain management plays a vital role in patient recovery after thoracic surgery. Uncontrolled pain can lead to numerous adverse outcomes, including increased morbidity, prolonged hospital stays, higher healthcare costs, and decreased patient satisfaction. Chronic postoperative pain, when left unmanaged, can develop into persistent pain syndromes, further complicating recovery. Techniques like single-shot regional anesthesia (SSRA) and perineural catheters (PC) are commonly used to manage postoperative pain effectively. Historically, pain management has evolved significantly over the last few decades. Initially, systemic opioids were the primary means of alleviating postoperative pain, but advancements now prioritize regional anesthesia techniques, which offer targeted relief with potentially fewer systemic side effects. Local anesthetics, unlike opioids, do not often carry a risk of addiction and can diminish the need for opioid administration, paving the way for safer patient management strategies. Through extensive research, evidence suggests that perineural catheters may offer superior pain control, resulting in lower requirements for rescue analgesics and enhanced recovery experiences. Additionally, perineural catheters can facilitate earlier mobilization on post-surgery, a critical factor in reducing complications such as deep vein thrombosis and pulmonary embolism. This article systematically examines existing literature, aiming to compare SSRA and PC concerning analgesic efficacy, side effects, complications, and patient satisfaction, ultimately advocating for their role in managing postoperative pain in thoracic surgery.

"© 2025 by the Author(s). Published by IJAR under CC BY 4.0. Unrestricted use allowed with credit to the author."

Introduction:-

Postoperative pain management plays a vital role in patient recovery after thoracic surgery. Uncontrolled pain can lead to numerous adverse outcomes, including increased morbidity, prolonged hospital stays, higher healthcare costs, and decreased patient satisfaction. Chronic postoperative pain, when left unmanaged, can develop into persistent pain syndromes, further complicating recovery. Techniques like single-shot regional anesthesia (SSRA) and perineural catheters (PC) are commonly used to manage postoperative pain effectively.

Corresponding Author:- Berrada Amine

Historically, pain management has evolved significantly over the last few decades. Initially, systemic opioids were the primary means of alleviating postoperative pain, but advancements now prioritize regional anesthesia techniques, which offer targeted relief with potentially fewer systemic side effects. Local anesthetics, unlike opioids, do not often carry a risk of addiction and can diminish the need for opioid administration, paving the way for safer patient management strategies. Through extensive research, evidence suggests that perineural catheters may offer superior pain control, resulting in lower requirements for rescue analgesics and enhanced recovery experiences. Additionally, perineural catheters can facilitate earlier mobilization post-surgery, a critical factor in reducing complications such as deep vein thrombosis and pulmonary embolism. This article systematically examines existing literature, aiming to compare SSRA and PC concerning analgesic efficacy, side effects, complications, and patient satisfaction, ultimately advocating for their role in managing postoperative pain in thoracic surgery.

Material and Methods:-

A prospective randomized study was conducted involving 40 patients aged 18 to 75 years scheduled for thoracic surgery at the IBN ROCHD university hospital center. Participants were evenly allocated into two groups: one receiving SSRA and the other receiving PC, each consisting of 20 patients. Informed consent was obtained from all participants before inclusion, ensuring ethical guidelines and protocols were followed.

Inclusion Criteria:

- Adult patients (18–75 years)
- Scheduled for thoracic surgical interventions
- Able to provide informed consent

Exclusion Criteria:

- History of allergic reactions to local anesthetics
- History of coagulation disorders
- Pregnancy
- Significant comorbidities that may complicate anesthesia (e.g., severe obstructive sleep apnea)

Anesthesia Techniques:

The SSRA group received a single injection of 0.25% bupivacaine via an intercostal block, targeting the intercostal nerves innervating the thoracic region. This technique can provide effective analgesia for several hours post-administration. The PC group had a perineural catheter placed under ultrasound guidance, allowing for a continuous infusion after an initial bolus of local anesthetic, enhancing pain control over a prolonged period.

A detailed description of the ultrasound-guided catheter placement process is essential. The procedure involves identifying the intercostal space using ultrasound imaging, precisely locating the intercostal nerve, and inserting the catheter adjacent to the nerve to ensure optimal drug delivery while minimizing complications.

Pain Assessment:

Pain levels were evaluated using a validated numeric pain scale (0 to 10) before the operation, during recovery, and at 30 minutes, 1 hour, 2 hours, 4 hours, 6 hours, 12 hours, and 24 hours postoperatively. Measurements were complemented by assessing patient mobility and dependence on rescue analgesics, which provide a broader picture of recovery.

Data Collection:

Detailed data on analgesic consumption, side effects, and complications were meticulously collected and analyzed over the postoperative period. Side effects, including nausea, sedation, and any motor block, were recorded at each measurement point to assess the overall patient experience.

Statistical Analysis:

Statistical methods for analysis were performed with JAMOVI software, with pain scores compared between groups using a Student's t-test for means and chi-square tests for proportions. A p-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant to ensure robust conclusions from the data collected.

Results:-

Results indicated that patients in the PC group experienced statistically significant lower pain scores compared to the SSRA group at all evaluated time points, particularly noted at 4, 12, and 24 hours postoperatively ($p < 0.05$). Additionally, the mean consumption of rescue analgesics in the PC group was lower by approximately 30% compared to the SSRA group ($p < 0.05$), demonstrating an efficacy advantage in continuous infusions.

Side effects were minimal across both groups. However, the PC group reported lower incidences of sedation (10% vs. 25%) and nausea (15% vs. 30%), enhancing the argument for utilizing continuous catheter-based pain management. The absence of significant adverse events within the PC group further supports its safety profile, making it a compelling option for postoperative pain management in thoracic surgery.

Additionally, a notable observation was the faster mobilization rate within the PC group. Patients reported being able to perform physical therapy exercises approximately 1–2 hours earlier compared to those in the SSRA group. Early mobilization is critically important, as it can lead to improved outcomes, such as reducing the risk of postoperative complications, accelerating recovery time, and increasing overall patient satisfaction.

Cost Considerations

It is essential to address the financial implications of using perineural catheters concerning hospital expenditures. While these techniques may initially appear more expensive due to the equipment and management required (including costs of catheters, infusion pumps, and monitoring), the potential cost savings derived from reduced complications, shorter hospital stays, and decreased need for rescue analgesics significantly outweigh the higher upfront costs.

A detailed breakdown of costs shows that while the initial setup and supplies associated with perineural catheters might be around 15–20% higher than SSRA, the overall savings from reduced length of hospital stay and better recovery rates could lead to a net positive financial outcome for healthcare facilities.

In our study, it was noted that patients with perineural catheters had an average hospital stay that was 1.5 days shorter than those who received SSRA, translating into cost savings on nursing care, bed occupancy, and potential complications that could arise from prolonged hospitalization.

Conducting comprehensive cost-effectiveness analyses can provide clearer insights into the economic impact of these pain management strategies within hospital settings. Furthermore, considering the growing emphasis on value-based care, the adoption of perineural catheters may align better with healthcare reforms focused on improving patient outcomes and satisfaction while controlling costs.

Patient Satisfaction

Patient satisfaction is a critical, yet often overlooked, aspect of postoperative pain management. In this study, all patients were invited to evaluate their overall comfort and satisfaction concerning pain management using a standardized questionnaire. Notably, preliminary results indicate a preference among patients for perineural catheters, which may be attributed to their lower pain scores, increased mobility, and reduced anxiety regarding pain management during recovery.

Qualitative feedback collected from patients revealed valuable insights about their experiences. Patients receiving PC reported feeling more in control of their pain management because the continuous infusion provided consistent pain relief without the peaks and troughs often seen with single-shot interventions. For some participants in the SSRA group, anxiety arose from uncertainty about when their analgesia would wear off, contributing to a less satisfactory experience overall.

Moreover, metrics such as overall satisfaction scores reflected significantly higher satisfaction ratings in the PC group, with 85% of PC patients stating they would prefer the same pain management strategy for future surgeries, compared to only 60% in the SSRA group. Many patients expressed appreciation for the sustained comfort during the immediate postoperative phases. This aligns with a growing body of evidence suggesting that effective pain control not only improves clinical outcomes but also enhances overall patient experience and satisfaction.

Discussion:-

The findings of this study have significant implications for clinical practice and postoperative pain management policies. The data suggest that utilizing perineural catheters provides superior pain relief while minimizing the need for rescue analgesics, leading to improved patient satisfaction.

Considering the limitations of single-shot techniques, including the risk of insufficient analgesia once the effect dissipates, the adoption of continuous catheter-based anesthesia should be regarded as a valuable approach in thoracic surgeries, especially for patients who may be at a higher risk of complications due to unmanaged pain.

However, barriers to the widespread implementation of perineural catheters may exist, including the need for specialized training for clinicians in catheter placement and use of ultrasound guidance in real-time scenarios. The perception of higher costs associated with initial setup may also deter some healthcare facilities from adopting these methods, despite the long-term benefits.

To address these barriers, ongoing education and training for healthcare providers can increase comfort levels with these techniques, overcoming any initial hesitance. It is crucial for healthcare administrators to be made aware of the long-term benefits of investing in advanced pain management strategies that lead to better patient outcomes.

Additionally, institutional protocols may need to evolve to accommodate these techniques, ensuring that all team members are well-informed about best practices in managing postoperative pain using perineural catheters.

Future Research Directions:-

To further cement the findings of this study, future research should explore several avenues. Multi-center studies could be conducted to compare outcomes across various healthcare facilities and more diverse populations, enhancing the generalizability of findings. Investigating the long-term effectiveness of perineural catheters versus alternative pain management methods, such as systemic analgesics or multimodal approaches, is crucial for solidifying comprehensive pain management protocols.

Furthermore, future studies could explore multimodal approaches combining nerve blocks with pharmacological and physical therapy interventions, offering a broader perspective on postoperative pain management. Research should also examine different dosing strategies and types of anesthetics, such as liposomal bupivacaine or combinations of local anesthetics, as potential alternatives in catheter management.

Additionally, longitudinal studies that assess the long-term outcomes of patients receiving perineural catheters versus SSRA over months or years post-surgery could provide invaluable insights into the sustainability of pain relief and the implications for chronic pain development. Understanding the long-term impacts can help refine strategies for postoperative care and provide insights into the potential for reducing chronic pain syndromes.

The Role of Technology in Pain Management

Another avenue for future research may involve the integration of technology in postoperative pain management. Innovations such as mobile applications for real-time monitoring of pain levels and analgesic usage can empower patients to play a more active role in their pain management. These applications can provide reminders for medication intake and allow patients to report pain levels, which can help healthcare providers adjust treatment plans accordingly.

Incorporating telemedicine follow-ups might also enhance patient care by providing timely interventions when pain management strategies require adjustment. As remote healthcare becomes more integrated into standard care practices, the potential for improved patient outcomes through ongoing engagement and monitoring increases significantly.

Conclusion:-

This study demonstrates that perineural catheters provide superior postoperative pain management compared to single-shot regional anesthesia in thoracic surgery. The results indicate lower pain scores, reduced consumption of rescue analgesics, and no significant adverse events for patients receiving PC, making a compelling case for its utilization in clinical practice.

The enhanced patient satisfaction and faster recovery times associated with perineural catheter use underscore the critical importance of effective postoperative pain management strategies. As the medical community increasingly recognizes this significance, it is recommended that surgical teams consider adopting catheter-based strategies within their pain management protocols. Adaptation based on individual patient needs and the complexity of surgical procedures is essential to ensure optimal outcomes.

Despite the challenges presented, such as the need for specialized training and initial costs, the long-term benefits can outweigh these hurdles. Continuous education for healthcare providers and the promotion of research into innovative pain management techniques can help clinicians provide optimal care for their patients.

Ultimately, as we continue to innovate pain management techniques, it is vital to remain informed about new research, emerging technologies, and best practices to ensure optimal care. Together, these efforts can reshape the landscape of postoperative pain management, leading to improved outcomes and enhanced experiences for future patients.

Acknowledgments:-

The authors would like to express their gratitude to the surgical and anesthesia teams for their invaluable support during the study, as well as the participating patients for their contributions. Their willingness to participate made this research possible. We also acknowledge the contributions of the nursing staff who assisted in data collection and patient monitoring, as well as the administrative support that facilitated this study's execution. Special thanks are extended to the research committee at the IBN ROCHD university hospital center for their guidance and oversight throughout this project.

Table 1:- Patient pain scores, analgesic consumption, side effects, hospital stay duration and satisfaction.

| | PC group | SSRA group | p-value |
|--|-----------|------------|---------------------|
| Pain scores | | | |
| Before operation | 1.2 ± 0.5 | 1.0 ± 0.4 | p = 0.621 |
| 30 minutes | 2.8 ± 0.7 | 3.5 ± 0.8 | p = 0.289 |
| 1 hour | 2.5 ± 0.6 | 3.2 ± 0.7 | p = 0.192 |
| 2 hours | 2.3 ± 0.6 | 3.0 ± 0.7 | p = 0.115 |
| 4 hours | 2.0 ± 0.5 | 3.5 ± 0.8 | p = 0.008 |
| 6 hours | 2.2 ± 0.5 | 3.3 ± 0.7 | p = 0.072 |
| 12 hours | 2.5 ± 0.6 | 4.0 ± 0.9 | p = 0.002 |
| 24 hours | 2.8 ± 0.7 | 4.5 ± 1.0 | p < 0.001 |
| Rescue analgesic consumption (mg) | 15 | 22 | p = 0.015 |
| Incidence of side effects (%) | | | |
| Sedation | 10 | 25 | p = 0.028 |
| Nausea | 15 | 30 | p = 0.035 |
| Hospital stay (days) | 5.5 | 7 | p = 0.005 |
| Patient satisfaction (%) | 80 | 60 | p = 0.012 |