



Journal Homepage: [-www.journalijar.com](http://www.journalijar.com)

## INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ADVANCED RESEARCH (IJAR)

Article DOI: 10.21474/IJAR01/22863  
DOI URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.21474/IJAR01/22863>



### RESEARCH ARTICLE

## SMART PEST MANAGEMENT: EMPOWERING ANDHRA PRADESH'S SMALLHOLDER FARMERS WITH AI-DRIVEN CROP HEALTH MONITORING

Prachi Malgaonkar<sup>1</sup>, Sana Merchant<sup>2</sup> and Sejal Thakur<sup>3</sup>

1. Assistant Professor, Department of Finance, NHSMRE – HSNC University, Mumbai -400018.
2. Assistant Professor, Department of Marketing, NHSMRE – HSNC University, Mumbai -400018.
3. Assistant Professor, Department of Marketing, NHSMRE – HSNC University, Mumbai -400018.

#### Manuscript Info

##### Manuscript History

Received: 16 December 2025  
Final Accepted: 18 January 2026  
Published: February 2026

##### Key words:-

Digital agriculture, Crop health monitoring, Sustainable farming

#### Abstract

To solve important issues in the agricultural sector, such as insect infestations and ineffective applications of pesticides, this research project looks at how powered by AI insect management solutions, like Plantix, affect small-scale agricultural producers in Andhra Pradesh. Using a mixed-method approach, standardized questionnaires were used to collect quantitative data from 416 agriculturalists, and interactions with a selected group of farmers and agricultural extension agents were used to obtain qualitative insights. Significant drops in consumption of pesticides were shown by descriptive statistics; users in artificial intelligence reported a 23% drop, which helped to save money and save the environment. With a lesser association to household income, regression analysis revealed that mobile access and digital competence were the main factors influencing the widespread utilization of artificial intelligence. AI users reported 17% higher crop productivity and improved soil health, according to t-tests and ANOVA tests, demonstrating the wider positive impacts of less usage of pesticides. The financial rewards from greater earnings and market value outweighed the expense of the technology, according to a cost-benefit study, which showed an appealing return on investment (ROI) of 115%. According to research, AI-powered pest control solutions assist environmentally friendly farming by improving over time soil wellness, increasing crop yield, and lowering reliance on chemicals. Promoting technological education initiatives, providing financial aid for access to technological resources, and encouraging public-private partnerships to create regional artificial intelligence (AI) programs are some suggestions for optimizing these advantages. In order to promote the broad use of artificial intelligence (AI) in agriculture and create a more effective, ecologically sound, and technologically integrated farming environment, this study offers stakeholders and policymakers practical insights.

"© 2026 by the Author(s). Published by IJAR under CC BY 4.0. Unrestricted use allowed with credit to the author."

**Corresponding Author:** -Prachi Malgaonkar

**Address:** Assistant Professor, Department of Finance, NHSMRE -HSNC University, Mumbai - 400018.

.....  
**Introduction: -**

India's the farming industry, a vital industry for food production and its financial health, has several difficulties, such as erratic weather patterns, infestations of pests, and restricted use of contemporary tools.(FAO, 2021). Because of their small landholdings and scarce resources, small-scale cultivators in Andhra Pradesh, the one of India's most agriculturally active states, are especially susceptible to such problems. Conventional pest management techniques frequently entail the careless application of pesticides, that can result in soil deterioration, adverse health outcomes, and elevated production expenses (Kumar & Singh, 2020). As artificial intelligence (AI) develops quickly, fresh innovations are being developed that enable agriculturalists to supervise production responsibly and efficiently.

A good example is the AI-driven application Plantix, who lets farmers input pictures of afflicted crops to detect pest infestations and harvest illnesses. Using artificial intelligence to scan photos, the mobile application diagnoses crop health problems and gives farmers detailed therapy and preventative solutions (Plantix, 2022). By facilitating prompt and precise reactions to agricultural risks, this technique additionally lessens reliance on pesticides that contain chemicals but also increases crop output. Small-scale producers in Andhra Pradesh are better able to reduce farming threats, enhance productivity, and encourage ecologically friendly methods by integrating AI into controlling pests. The present research aims to investigate how modest farming methods in Andhra Pradesh are affected by powered by AI agricultural health surveillance. In order to contribute to a more environmentally friendly farming paradigm, this study looks at the way artificial intelligence like Plantix can change insect management tactics, lower the use of pesticides, and increase the productivity of crops generally.

**Research Gap: -**

Even while artificial intelligence-powered solutions like Plantix have the capability to completely transform insect management into subsistence farming yet there are still several unanswered questions in the backdrop of Andhra Pradesh. First, there is no empirical information on the efficacy and acceptance levels of artificial intelligence applications by small-scale farmers in rural regions, even though they are being thoroughly examined in supervised contexts. Furthermore, little is known about the socioeconomic aspects driving the widespread use of AI gadgets, such as digital competence, mobile connectivity, and device cost. In India's distinct agricultural environment, research rarely discuss the long-lasting impacts of decreased usage of pesticides on agricultural output and soil quality. This disparity is especially pertinent to Andhra Pradesh, where managing pests is made more difficult by the state's varied crop types and climate. Since plenty of farmers continue to depend on conventional farming methods and local expertise, there is also a major information gap about how farms perceive and feel about AI-driven approaches. Finally, nothing is known about how well assistance networks—like state and non-profit interventions—work to encourage and maintain subsistence farmers' employment of artificial intelligence (AI) tools for managing insects. Filling in these voids can help us fully comprehend the advantages and difficulties of implementing artificial intelligence-driven agricultural surveillance in Andhra Pradesh. By addressing these shortcomings, customized approaches that optimize the efficiency and uptake of AI technologies for controlling insects may be developed, eventually boosting crop productivity and promoting environmentally conscious farming.



Figure 1: AI-Driven Crop Health Monitoring. (Generated by AI)

### Review of Literature:-

1. Borah, S., & Rath, A. (2020). Adoption of AI technologies in Indian agriculture: Current trends and future possibilities. *Journal of Agribusiness and Information Management*, 12(3), 55-68. With an emphasis on rates of acceptance and obstacles, this paper examines AI uses in Indian agriculture. It emphasizes AI's promise for farming efficiency and insect identification, but it also points out that small-scale farmers are not using it to its maximum benefit.
2. Chand, R., & Joshi, P. (2021). Barriers to the adoption of AI-driven crop health solutions in developing economies. *Agricultural Economics Review*, 19(4), 201-215. Focuses on the difficulties encountered by Indian small-scale agricultural producers and looks at financial and social obstacles to the use of AI in farming, like expense and ignorance.
3. Deshmukh, A., & Patil, M. (2022). Impact of digital tools on sustainable agriculture: A case study of AI-based crop monitoring in India. *International Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 17(1), 122-138. The long-range viability of artificial intelligence-based tracking systems is examined in this work, which shows that lower pesticide use improves crop durability and the condition of soil over the long run in India.
4. FAO. (2021). *The state of food and agriculture 2021: Transforming agriculture with AI and digital technologies*. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. A brief description of the way artificial intelligence is changing farming worldwide is given in this study, along with specific scenarios from India that demonstrate how to improve productivity through crop surveillance and insect prevention.
5. Gupta, R., & Sharma, V. (2020). Artificial intelligence in pest management: Evaluating its effectiveness and challenges. *Journal of Precision Agriculture*, 15(3), 234-249. Explains how well AI works for identifying insects, emphasizing how accurate it is in spotting illnesses and bugs. draws attention to the requirement for reasonably priced alternatives that benefit small-scale farmers in India.
6. Kumar, P., & Singh, A. (2021). Economic impact of AI-driven pest control in Indian agriculture: A rural perspective. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 56(10), 42-50. Evaluates the financial advantages of artificial intelligence in lowering agriculture damages brought on by insects. According to research, small farmers in rural India may save a lot of money by using powered by artificial intelligence remedies.
7. Lal, K., & Rao, M. (2019). Digital agriculture and pest management in India: The role of AI-based advisory services. *Asian Journal of Agriculture and Rural Development*, 9(2), 130-145. Examines assistance offerings powered by artificial intelligence, like insect control, emphasizes the state of Andhra Pradesh and concludesas small-scale agricultural producers could benefit greatly from mobile devices AI apps.
8. Mishra, S., & Verma, P. (2022). Reducing pesticide use through AI-driven crop health monitoring in India: Case studies from rural farming communities. *Sustainable Agriculture Reviews*, 16(5), 88-104. This study examines situations that demonstrate how artificial intelligence (AI) insect identification can significantly lower pesticide use, improving crop well-being and farmer comfort.

9. Singh, N., & Patel, L. (2021). Adoption of AI technologies in pest management: Challenges in developing regions. *International Journal of Agronomy and Agricultural Research*, 19(1), 25-38. Discusses the obstacles, like facilities and education, that affect micro farmers' capacity to successfully utilize artificial intelligence for controlling pests in underdeveloped nations.

### **Objectives of the Study:-**

1. To assess how well Andhra Pradesh's small-scale farmers can detect and control insects and crop illnesses using driven by artificial intelligence crop monitoring systems like Plantix.
2. To evaluate the socioeconomic elements that affect small-scale farmers in the Andhra deployment and continued usage of powered by artificial intelligence insect management systems, such as digital competence, cellphone availability, and budget.
3. To examine the future impacts of powered by artificial intelligence insect prevention on crop productivity, soil condition, and insecticide use to provide light on the financial and environmental advantages of implementing powered by artificial intelligence pest managed for Andhra Pradesh's environmentally friendly farming.

### **Hypothesis of the Study**

**H1:**AI-powered crop health monitoring tools significantly improve the accuracy and timeliness of pest and disease identification for smallholder farmers in Andhra Pradesh.

**H2:**The acceptance of artificial intelligence-driven insect control methods by small-scale agricultural producers in Andhra Pradesh is positively impacted by social and economic variables, including cost-effectiveness, mobile connectivity, and proficiency with technology.

**H3:**In Andhra Pradesh, small-scale farmers use powered by AI insect management technologies to significantly reduce usage of pesticides, enhance the condition of the land, and increase the productivity of crops.

### **Scope and Limitations of the Study**

Investigating the use of based on artificial intelligence insect prevention solutions, specifically for small-scale farmers in Andhra Pradesh, is the aim of this research. This study intends to evaluate the efficacy, acceptance barriers, and future benefits of AI in crop health management by concentrating on solutions such as the Plantix application. This research also considers the ecological impacts of fewer chemicals application on crop productivity and soil condition, and the financial factors that affect implementation, such as proficiency with technology, cellphone accessibility, and affordability. This targeted strategy gives politicians and agrarian assistance organizations advice on how to encourage small-scale farmers to adopt AI while also offering insightful information on how AI might help rural India implement organic farming practices.

There are certain restrictions, though. First, the study's conclusions cannot be applied to other areas with distinct agricultural varieties, meteorological circumstances, and social and economic features due to its geographic concentration on Andhra Pradesh. Furthermore, prejudices may be introduced by depending solely on producers' own narratives about their interaction with artificial intelligence (AI) programs. Agricultural regions also differ in their access to dependable broadband connections and technological amenities, which may have an impact on the viability of adopting AI and, in turn, the outcomes of the research. Finally, because changes in soil condition and output cannot show up for years, this research could underestimate long-term ecological consequences. Notwithstanding these drawbacks, the study seeks to provide insightful, geographically particular details about how AI might improve smaller farmers' access to ethical farming.

### **Research Methodology:-**

#### **Data Collection Method:-**

A primary technique was used for this project, combining quantitative and qualitative data collection methods. The implementation and effects of AI-powered insect control techniques were the main topics of quantitative data collected from small-scale agricultural producers in Andhra Pradesh via organized surveys. A subset of cultivators and agricultural extension workers were also interviewed to obtain qualitative information about their experiences, implementation difficulties, and perceptions of the advantages of AI-based innovations such as Plantix.

#### **Sample Size and Calculation**

Given the number of small-scale farmers in Andhra Pradesh, Cochran's method for estimating the population's share was used to determine the study's sampling size:

Cochran Formula

$$N0 = [(Z^2 \cdot p \cdot (1-p)) / e^2]$$

Where N0 = sample size

Z = Z value (1.96 or 95% confidence level)

p = estimated proportion of the population. (0.5)

e = margin of error (0.05)

To ensure an adequate number of respondents across districts, a sample size of 384 farmers was selected for quantitative surveys using this approach.

#### **Sampling Technique:-**

To guarantee a varied group that reflected various districts and agricultural demography throughout Andhra Pradesh, a stratified random selection method was used. Farmers were categorized according to crop category, number of acres, and availability of modern technologies to gather a variety of viewpoints and encounters. To prevent prejudice in selection, farmers were chosen at random across all strata.

#### **Statistical Analysis Technique:-**

Inferential as well as descriptive statistics were used in the investigation. Farmers' population demographics, patterns of utilization, and opinions about AI technologies were summed up using descriptive data like frequencies, means, and standard deviations. Regression analysis was used for inferential analysis to ascertain how demographic factors—such as income level and digital literacy—affected the extent of AI implementation. The variation in applying pesticides, crop production, and quality of soil between AI tool users and non-users was investigated using t-tests and ANOVA tests.

#### **Statistical Tool:-**

Because SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences) software could deal with complicated sets of data and assist with a variety of statistical techniques, it was utilized to conduct statistical analysis. Additionally, survey scale reliability evaluation (such as Cronbach's alpha) and the creation of visuals to help with result interpretation were done using SPSS.

#### **Data Analysis and Interpretation:-**

##### **Descriptive Statistics:-**

- **Demographics:** Of Andhra Pradesh's 416 cultivators examined 32% were women and 68% were men. They had a median age of 47 years and 19 years of agricultural competence. Three seven percent of the agricultural workers oversaw moderate to big farmland, while almost sixty-three percent held tiny fields (less than two hectares).
- **Technology Access and Literacy:** Only 47% of farmers said they had some knowledge of how to use smartphone apps, despite 67% reporting having access to cellphones. Age categories differences in digital competence were notable, with younger cultivators (those under 40) demonstrating more ease using artificial intelligence (AI) programs.
- **Adoption of AI Tools:** Of those participating in the study, 53% had utilized the Plantix application or other AI-powered solutions for agricultural health surveillance and insect prevention, while 47% had not.

##### **Usage Patterns and Opinions on AI Technologies:-**

- **Frequency of Use:** 73% of users of AI tools said they use the mobile application each week, particularly during the busiest insect periods. The main obstacles mentioned by non-users were limited mobile connectivity (29%) and an absence of digital knowledge (61%).
- **Perceived Benefits:** 81% of artificial intelligence (AI) applications users claimed early insect identification, 77% indicated less pesticide use, and 66% indicated stronger yields of crops, all of which they attributed to AI technologies' diagnosis skills. On the other hand, those who were not users had little awareness of the prospective advantages of artificial intelligence and mostly depended on conventional techniques.

##### **Inferential Analysis:-**

**Regression Analysis:** A logistic regression model with AI tool utilization (yes/no) as the dependent variable and earnings, knowledge of technology, and smartphone availability as determinants was used to investigate the influence of socioeconomic parameters on the use of artificial intelligence (AI). Results indicated that digital literacy had a significant positive effect on AI adoption ( $\beta = 0.53$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ), followed by smartphone access ( $\beta = 0.36$ ,  $p <$

0.05). Income level showed a weaker correlation with AI adoption ( $\beta = 0.08, p > 0.05$ ), suggesting that knowledge and access to technology were more crucial for adoption than income alone.

**Comparison of Outcomes (t-tests and ANOVA): Insecticide use, yield of crops, and the condition of the soil were compared between artificial intelligence users and non-users using t-tests.**

- Pesticide Usage: Farmers using AI tools reported a 23% reduction in pesticide use on average, compared to non-users ( $t = 3.7, p < 0.05$ ).
- Crop Yields: AI tool users experienced a 17% higher yield per acre, which was statistically significant ( $t = 4.3, p < 0.05$ ).
- Soil Health: Soil samples from farms using AI-driven practices showed a 9% improvement in soil organic matter content compared to non-users ( $F = 5.4, p < 0.05$ ), as analyzed using ANOVA.

**Reliability Testing:-**

- Survey Scale Reliability: Cronbach's alpha was used to analyze the accuracy of survey items measuring farmer satisfaction and perceived advantages associated with artificial intelligence (AI) techniques.
- The scale demonstrated high internal consistency ( $\alpha = 0.87$ ), indicating that the items reliably measured the intended constructs.

**Cost-Benefit Analysis: -**

Item	Annual Cost (INR)	Annual Benefit (INR)
<b>Initial Investment &amp; Maintenance</b>		-
Smartphone Purchase (amortized over 3 years)	2000	-
Internet Access (monthly data plan)	1200	-
Internet Access (monthly data plan)	1500	-
Digital Literacy Training	500	-
Technical Support	300	-
Device Maintenance	200	-
App Updates and Compatibility Upgrades	150	-
<b>Subtotal (Initial Investment &amp; Maintenance)</b>	<b>5,850</b>	-
<b>Benefits of AI-Driven Pest Management</b>		
Pesticide Savings		3000-3750
Increased Crop Yield		5,000 - 6,000
Soil Health Improvement		1,000 - 1,500
Enhanced Crop Market Value		2,000 - 3,000
<b>Subtotal (Annual Benefit)</b>		<b>11,000 - 14,250</b>
<b>Total Annual Cost</b>	<b>5850</b>	
<b>Total Annual Cost</b>		<b>11,000 - 14,250</b>

**Table 1:** Based on Data collected from National Institute of Agricultural Extension Management (MANAGE) Net Benefit per Farmer: After subtracting the annual costs from the benefits, the net benefit is approximately INR 5,150 - 8,400 per farmer. Return on Investment (ROI): With an average cost of INR 5,850 and average benefit of INR 12,625, the ROI stands at about 115%, indicating a highly favorable financial outcome for adopting AI-driven pest management.

**Finding of the Study:-**

The findings of the research show that AI-powered pest control solutions, like Plantix, greatly increase output and lower expenses for Andhra Pradesh's small-scale farmers. While crop production of those using artificial intelligence rose by about 17% above conventional techniques, raising earnings per acre, descriptive statistics show

that AI adoption resulted in an average of 23% drop in insecticide consumption, reflecting into significant savings in costs. Younger farmers who are more tech-savvy are more inclined to employ artificial intelligence (AI) techniques, according to regression research, which found that technological understanding is an essential driver driving adoption of artificial intelligence (AI). While availability of digital tools and knowledge was more important, financial situation also had a certain effect. T-tests also showed that the trends of using pesticides among AI users were substantially distinct from those who were not users ( $p < 0.05$ ), indicating that the widespread utilization of artificial intelligence encourages effective applications of pesticides and improves the condition of the soil. ANOVA testing revealed improved soil condition of artificial intelligence users, establishing a connection between increased soil environmental sustainability and AI-assisted, fewer pesticide usage. A good return on investment (ROI) of about 115% was shown by the cost-benefit analysis, demonstrating that the monetary advantages such as greater crop yields, enhanced value in the marketplace, and lower pesticide expenses far exceed the related costs.

### **Conclusion of the Study:-**

In summary, our research shows that AI-driven pest management is an advantageous instrument for small-scale agricultural producers in Andhra Pradesh since it provides significant environmental and financial advantages. The high return on investment (ROI) shows that the long-term rewards outweigh the upfront expenses, even when learning and technological investments may provide little obstacles. The results imply that the beneficial effects artificial intelligence (AI) on the farming community could be maximized by increasing accessibility to technological advances, enhancing digital competence, and providing encouraging acceptance initiatives. Authorities and agricultural players can contribute to the development of a more effective, ecologically sound, and technologically integrated agricultural ecosystem throughout Andhra Pradesh by resolving those issues.

### **Recommendations:-**

The following suggestions are put out considering the results of this research to help small-scale farmers in Andhra Pradesh get the most out of based on artificial intelligence insect control tools:

#### **1. Enhance Digital Literacy and Training Programs**

Governmental organizations, non-profits, and extension agencies for agriculture should provide workshops on basic digital abilities and how to implement artificial intelligence (AI) applications since knowledge of technology is a major determinant of artificial intelligence implementation. Additional agricultural producers would be able to adopt artificial intelligence strategies for managing insects with confidence as a result.

#### **2. Subsidize Access to Technology:-**

Deployment may be hampered by the high upfront expenses of cellphones, internet usage, and application fees. Artificial intelligence (AI) devices could become more available to small-scale farmers through the provision of aid, low-interest financing, or collaborations with technological businesses to give subsidized cellphones with data plans.

#### **3. Develop Localized AI Content and Support:-**

The native languages, regional dialects, and agricultural and insect situations that are common in Andhra Pradesh must be taken into consideration while developing AI technologies. The precision and applicability of artificial intelligence diagnoses could be increased by working with regional agricultural organizations to create artificial intelligence techniques for local problems.

#### **4. Promote Awareness of Economic and Environmental Benefits:-**

Additional agricultural producers may embrace artificial intelligence-driven insect control if education initiatives are launched about the financial (greater outputs and lower chemical expenses) and ecological (better soil quality) advantages of this technology.

#### **5. Strengthen Technical Support and Follow-Up Services:-**

Providing producers with ongoing technical assistance and sporadic artificial intelligence (AI) education can aid in problem solving and improve their utilization of the innovation. Creating regional assistance facilities or helplines could enhance peasants' familiarity and trust in artificial intelligence (AI) products.

#### **6. Encourage Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs):-**

Working together with government organizations, agricultural science institutes, and commercial technological companies may spur creativity, finance, and the broad application of artificial intelligence in agriculture. These

collaborations can aid in the creation of reasonably priced, farmer-friendly AI technologies that are suited to small-scale farmers' requirements.

### 7. Implement Monitoring and Evaluation Systems:-

Putting in place an evaluation mechanism to evaluate how adoption of artificial intelligence will affect production, savings in expenses, and soil condition over the course of time might give insightful information for ongoing development. Future investments in agricultural innovation and based on proof decision-making can both be supported by the findings from these research studies.



Figure 2: Suggestions to help small-scale farmers in Andhra Pradesh. (Generated by AI)

### References:-

1. Anderson, J. R., & Feder, G. (2004). Agricultural extension: Good intentions and hard realities. *The World Bank Research Observer*, 19(1), 41-60.
2. Bendre, S., & Thool, R. C. (2016). A review paper on crop disease detection using image processing. *International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer and Communication Engineering*, 5(1), 172-175.
3. Bhagat, N., & Chakraborty, A. (2020). Emerging technologies for agriculture and climate change mitigation in India. *International Food Policy Research Institute*.
4. Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO). (2021). *Digital agriculture: AI solutions for pest management in rural farms*.
5. Hossain, M. S., & Rahman, A. (2018). The role of technology in reducing pest damage and improving crop yield. *Journal of Agricultural Technology*, 14(3), 220-232.
6. International Food Policy Research Institute (IFPRI). (2019). *Adoption of agricultural innovations: Cost-benefit analysis for smallholder farms*. IFPRI Research Brief.
7. Mani, A., & Murthy, R. (2018). Impact of mobile-based applications on agricultural productivity: A case study of smallholder farmers in South India. *Agricultural Economics Research Review*, 31(1), 56-69.
8. Ministry of Agriculture & Farmers Welfare. (2022). *Annual report on digital agriculture and pest management*. Government of India.
9. Sharma, S., & Vyas, K. (2020). Economic analysis of precision farming in India: A focus on pest management technology. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, 169, 105229.
10. United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). (2021). *Technology solutions for sustainable agriculture*. UNDP Publications.