



Journal Homepage: [-www.journalijar.com](http://www.journalijar.com)

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ADVANCED RESEARCH (IJAR)

Article DOI:10.21474/IJAR01/24206
DOI URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.21474/IJAR01/24206>



RESEARCH ARTICLE

SATRA, LAND AND SOCIETY: THE ECONOMIC FOUNDATIONS OF NEO-VAISHNAVISM IN MEDIEVAL ASSAM

Dr. Kabyashree Hazarika

Manuscript Info

Manuscript History

Received: 12 July 2026

Final Accepted: 14 August 2026

Published: September 2026

Key words: -

Neo-Vaishnavism, Satra, Sankardeva, land grants, Ahom kingdom, agrarian economy, Bhakti, medieval Assam, rural society

Abstract

The Neo-Vaishnavite movement associated with Srimanta Sankardeva and his successors represents one of the most significant religious and socio-cultural developments in medieval Assam. Histories of the movement have often concentrated on its devotional philosophy, literary achievements, social reform and contribution to Assamese cultural identity. Comparatively less attention has been given to the material foundations that enabled the movement to institutionalise itself over several centuries. This paper examines the Satra as an economic as well as religious institution, focusing particularly on land, labour, agricultural production, royal patronage and relations with surrounding communities. It argues that the expansion of Neo-Vaishnavism cannot be adequately understood without examining the economic organisation of the Satra institution. Land grants by Ahom rulers provided several Satras with a stable resource base, while agricultural cultivation, rents, donations and religious contributions supported their activities. At the same time, the accumulation of land and institutional wealth created new forms of hierarchy and authority within Satra society. The paper therefore moves beyond the conventional image of the Satra as simply a centre of devotion and proposes that it functioned as a complex socio-economic institution linking religion, land, labour, culture and political authority.

"© 2026 by the Author(s). Published by IJAR under CC BY 4.0. Unrestricted use allowed with credit to the author."

Introduction: -

The emergence of Neo-Vaishnavism in Assam during the fifteenth and sixteenth centuries marked a major transformation in the religious and cultural history of the Brahmaputra Valley. Associated principally with Srimanta Sankardeva, Madhavdeva and their disciples, the movement emphasised devotion to a single supreme deity, congregational prayer, religious instruction and ethical conduct. Its institutions, especially the Satra and Namghar, became important centres of religious practice as well as cultural production. The conventional historical narrative generally approaches Neo-Vaishnavism through its religious philosophy and cultural achievements. Sankardeva's devotional literature, Borgeet, Ankiya Naat, Bhaona, manuscript tradition and the spread of Namghar-based community life have understandably received considerable attention. The Satra is consequently often presented primarily as a monastic or religious institution. Such an approach, however, leaves an important question unanswered: How were these institutions materially sustained? A religious institution requires land, food, buildings, labour, manuscripts, ritual materials and systems for supporting its resident and visiting population. The Satra was

no exception. Its expansion was closely associated with access to land and agricultural resources. Under the Ahom rulers, several Satras received revenue-free land and other forms of patronage. Historical evidence indicates that royal patronage gave important Satras a significant economic foundation, while agricultural income, religious contributions and donations further supported their activities.

This paper therefore examines the economic foundations of Neo-Vaishnavism through the Satra institution. It does not argue that the movement was reducible to economics. Its religious message, devotional practices and intellectual traditions were fundamental. Rather, the argument is that religious expansion and material organisation were interconnected. The Satra became a bridge between religious ideology and rural economy. Through land, cultivation, labour and patronage, it developed into an institution capable of sustaining a large network of disciples, cultural activities and social services.

From Bhakti Movement to Institutional Structure: -

The religious teachings associated with Sankardeva emerged within the broader Indian Bhakti tradition but developed distinctive characteristics in Assam. The movement emphasised devotion, collective religious practice and accessible forms of worship. Sankardeva and his followers established centres where disciples could gather for prayer, religious instruction and cultural activities. The Satra gradually emerged as one of the principal institutional forms of Assamese Neo-Vaishnavism. A typical Satra contained several interconnected spaces. The Namghar served as a congregational and religious hall, while the Manikuta functioned as the sacred centre. Residential structures, often arranged around these central institutions, accommodated devotees and other members of the Satra community. The structure of the Satra therefore combined religious, residential and organisational functions. The transformation from a devotional movement into an institutional network was historically significant. A charismatic religious movement could depend initially on the personal influence of its leaders. A durable institution, however, required resources and organizational mechanisms. This is where land became important.

Land as the Material Foundation of the Satra: -

Land was one of the most important forms of wealth in pre-modern agrarian societies. In Assam, land grants had an established historical background long before the rise of Neo-Vaishnavism. Copper-plate inscriptions from early Assam demonstrate the existence of land-grant traditions to religious beneficiaries and other recipients. The Neo-Vaishnavite Satra inherited and developed within this wider institutional environment. During the Ahom period, rulers granted land to religious institutions, including Satras. Such grants could be revenue-free, thereby providing the recipient institution with a relatively stable economic base. Historical evidence and later legal records confirm that Ahom rulers made substantial grants of land to Satras and other religious institutions.

The significance of such grants extended beyond the immediate financial benefit. A land grant could provide agricultural income; food resources for resident devotees and visitors; resources for religious festivals; economic security independent of short-term donations; a territorial base for relationships with surrounding villages; and institutional continuity. The example of Garamur Satra illustrates the scale that royal patronage could sometimes reach. According to the Government of Assam's Majuli district portal, Garamur was historically endowed with substantial revenue-free land, including a recorded grant of 30,000 puras by King Siva Singha. The importance of such evidence lies not simply in the size of an individual grant. It demonstrates the transformation of religious institutions into significant economic actors.

Royal Patronage and the Ahom State: -

The relationship between the Ahom state and Neo-Vaishnavite institutions was neither purely religious nor purely political. The Ahom rulers gradually developed relationships with Vaishnavite institutions and their leaders. Royal patronage included land grants, grants of labour or personnel, and other forms of support. Historical scholarship records that successive Ahom rulers placed paiks at the disposal of important Satras and granted them land without ordinary revenue obligations. Such patronage helped important Satras acquire stable economic foundations. This raises an important historical question: Why would a powerful pre-modern state support religious institutions that were not simply extensions of the royal court? Several factors can be considered. First, religious institutions could contribute to social integration. Second, they could contribute to moral and social regulation. Third, royal patronage could strengthen the political legitimacy of the ruler. Fourth, the relationship was reciprocal: the state provided material resources, while Satras could contribute to social organisation, cultural integration and dissemination of religious values. The relationship should therefore not be described simply as state control over religion. Nor should royal patronage be interpreted as purely altruistic religious support. It was part of a wider system of political, social and economic relationships.

Land, Cultivation and the Rural Economy: -

Land by itself did not generate wealth. Its value depended upon cultivation and the labour of people who worked it. This is an important point for understanding the economic history of Satras. Historical descriptions indicate that Satra lands could be cultivated by tenants or cultivators whose payments supported the institution. In some cases, rent was paid partly or substantially in kind. The produce therefore entered the economic system of the Satra and supported its religious and social activities. This arrangement connected the Satra to surrounding agrarian society. The relationship can be understood through a simple chain:

Land grant → cultivation → agricultural surplus → Satra resources → religious and social activities.

The Satra therefore functioned partly as an economic institution within the agrarian landscape. Its landholdings could support resident bhakats, religious festivals, visitors, educational activities, manuscript production and cultural performances. Agricultural production thus indirectly sustained the intellectual and cultural dimensions of Neo-Vaishnavism. This also demonstrates why the history of religion cannot be separated from the history of land. A movement that preached spiritual devotion required material institutions through which that message could be reproduced from one generation to another.

The Satra as a Centre of Redistribution: -

The Satra did not merely accumulate resources. It also redistributed them through various social and religious activities. A Satra could provide food to residents and visitors, organize festivals, support religious instruction, maintain buildings, preserve manuscripts and provide spaces for cultural performances. Its economic resources therefore circulated within a broader community. The institution also developed a system of internal offices and responsibilities. The Satradhikar or Adhikar stood at the centre of institutional authority, while various categories of devotees and functionaries performed different responsibilities. This organizational structure demonstrates that the Satra was not simply a place of worship. It was a corporate institution with an internal economy. Its resources had to be collected, managed and allocated. The economic dimension also explains why Satras became centres of craft and cultural production. Religious performances required costumes, musical instruments, masks, manuscripts, buildings and ritual objects. These activities created demand for specialised skills and connected the Satra with artisans and surrounding communities. Thus, the economic influence of Neo-Vaishnavism extended beyond landownership itself.

Satra and Labour: -

The relationship between Satras and labour requires careful examination. The Ahom political economy was characterized by the Paik system, under which sections of the population were organised for various forms of state service. Religious institutions could also receive access to labour and personnel through royal patronage. Historical accounts indicate that the Ahom state placed paiks at the disposal of important Satras. This created an important institutional advantage. A Satra with land but without labour could not fully utilise its agricultural resources. Access to labour therefore increased the productive value of its land. However, this should not be romanticised. The movement's ideals of devotion and community cooperation existed within a wider society characterised by hierarchy and obligations. The economic history of the Satra consequently raises questions about who cultivated Satra lands, what forms of rent or service were required, who controlled agricultural surplus, how resources were distributed within the institution, and how ordinary cultivators experienced Satra authority. These questions are particularly important because the expansion of religious institutions could simultaneously create new opportunities for community organisation and new forms of institutional hierarchy.

Satra, Social Mobility and Community Formation: -

One of the major social contributions of Neo-Vaishnavism was the creation of new spaces for collective religious participation. The Namghar and Satra brought people together for prayer, devotional singing, religious instruction and cultural activities. This contributed to the formation of community identities that were not based solely on political authority or kinship. However, the social inclusiveness of Neo-Vaishnavism should not be treated as absolute. The movement challenged some existing religious practices and created new forms of devotional participation, but social hierarchy did not disappear automatically. The subsequent development of different Samhatis and variations in institutional practices demonstrates that the Satra tradition itself developed internal distinctions. Economic resources could also influence institutional prestige. A Satra possessing extensive land, royal patronage, manuscripts and a large community of devotees could exercise greater influence than a small rural institution with limited resources. Thus, religious authority and economic capacity could reinforce each other. The more resources an institution possessed, the greater its ability to organise festivals, support devotees, maintain

buildings, preserve manuscripts and attract patronage. This could increase its prestige, which in turn could attract further donations and disciples.

The Satra as a Cultural Economy: -

The economic foundations of Neo-Vaishnavism also supported a remarkable cultural system. The Satra became a centre for manuscript preservation, music, drama, painting, mask-making and religious performance. These activities are often discussed as cultural achievements, but they also had material requirements. A Bhaona performance required performers, costumes, masks, musical instruments and performance spaces. Manuscript production required scribes, materials and institutional support. Festivals required food, accommodation and organisational resources. The Satra therefore created a cultural economy in which religious resources supported cultural production and cultural prestige reinforced the institution's social position. This is particularly visible in Majuli, where Satra institutions became central to the preservation of Assamese performing arts and religious traditions. The cultural significance of Satras cannot therefore be separated from their material foundations.

Accumulation, Authority and Contradictions: -

The economic success of the Satra institution also produced contradictions. A movement founded on devotional simplicity could gradually develop institutions possessing considerable land, property and authority. This raises a broader historical question: What happens when a reformist religious movement becomes institutionally powerful? The answer is not necessarily that the movement loses its original ideals. Rather, institutionalisation creates new structures that require administration and hierarchy. The Satradhikar became an important authority. Land had to be administered. Cultivators had to be organised. Donations had to be managed. Festivals had to be financed. Disputes concerning property could emerge. Historical legal cases from Assam demonstrate that questions concerning the ownership and legal status of Satra lands continued to be contested. Such cases reveal that Satra land was not merely spiritual property; it became legally and economically significant. The development of substantial landholdings could therefore create tensions between religious ideals, institutional authority, cultivators, royal power and later colonial and post-colonial governments.

Beyond the Idea of the Satra as a Purely Religious Institution: -

The conventional understanding of the Satra as a religious centre remains valid but incomplete. The evidence suggests that the Satra performed several functions simultaneously: religious worship and initiation; economic management of land, agricultural income and donations; social organisation; cultural production; education; political interaction with rulers and local authorities; and forms of welfare. The importance of this multi-functional character lies in understanding how Neo-Vaishnavism survived as a long-term social institution. A purely ideological movement may influence one generation. A movement supported by institutions capable of reproducing its practices, resources and knowledge can survive for centuries. The Satra provided precisely such institutional continuity.

Conclusion: -

The history of Neo-Vaishnavism in medieval Assam cannot be fully understood through theology, literature and religious reform alone. Its institutional expansion was supported by a material foundation consisting of land, agricultural production, labour, donations and royal patronage. The Satra stood at the centre of this process. Land grants provided important Satras with relatively stable resources. Agricultural production converted land into usable economic surplus. Labour made cultivation possible. Donations and religious contributions supplemented these resources. The resulting economic capacity allowed Satras to maintain resident devotees, organise festivals, preserve manuscripts, support cultural activities and establish relationships with surrounding communities. At the same time, economic power transformed the Satra into more than a religious institution. It became a significant social organisation with authority over land, labour, community practices and cultural production.

The relationship between the Ahom state and Satras was consequently mutually beneficial but also politically significant. Royal patronage strengthened religious institutions, while Satras contributed to social integration and the cultural legitimacy of the wider political order. The most important conclusion is therefore that religious history and economic history should not be separated. Neo-Vaishnavism succeeded not only because of the appeal of its devotional message but also because it developed institutions capable of reproducing that message materially and socially. The Satra transformed religious ideals into an enduring institutional order by connecting devotion with land, labour, agriculture, community and culture. At the same time, this institutionalisation created inequalities and hierarchies that deserve further research. The accumulation of land and resources could strengthen the authority of Satradhikars and create complex relationships with cultivators and surrounding communities. Therefore, the Satra

should neither be romanticised as a purely egalitarian institution nor reduced to a landholding organisation. It was a religious-economic institution whose history reveals the complex interaction between faith, property, labour and power in medieval Assam.

Bibliography: -

1. Bhuyan, S. K., ed. Tungkhungia Buranji. Guwahati: Department of Historical and Antiquarian Studies, Government of Assam.
2. Barbaruah, Srinath Duara. TungkhungiaBuranji, or A History of Assam, 1681–1826 A.D. Edited and translated by S. K. Bhuyan. Guwahati: Department of Historical and Antiquarian Studies.
3. Gait, Edward A. A History of Assam. Calcutta: Thacker, Spink & Co., 1906; revised editions subsequently published.
4. Kakati, B. K., ed. The Comprehensive History of Assam. Guwahati: Publication Board, Assam.
5. Baruah, S. L. A Comprehensive History of Assam. New Delhi: MunshiramManoharlal Publishers.
6. Guha, Amalendu. The Ahom Political System: An Enquiry into the State Formation Process in Medieval Assam, 1228–1714. Centre for Studies in Social Sciences, Calcutta.
7. Sarma, Satyendranath. The Neo-Vaishnavite Movement and the Satra Institution of Assam. Gauhati: Gauhati University, 1966.
8. Barua, Birinchi Kumar. A Cultural History of Assam. Guwahati: Lawyers Book Stall.
9. Neog, Maheswar. Early History of the Vaishnava Faith and Movement in Assam: Sankaradeva and His Times. Delhi: Motilal Banarsidass, 1985.
10. Neog, Maheswar. Sankaradeva and His Times: Early History of the Vaishnava Faith and Movement in Assam. Guwahati: University of Gauhati.
11. Nath, D. Peasant Protest and the Land Revenue System in Assam, 1826–1857. New Delhi: Manohar.
12. Nath, D. Religion and Society in Assam: The Neo-Vaishnavite Movement. Guwahati: Dutta Baruah.
13. Devi, Lakshmi. Ahom-Tribal Relations. Calcutta: Assam Book Depot, 1968.
14. Goswami, Surendra Kumar. A History of Revenue Administration in Assam, 1228–1826 A.D. Delhi: Spectrum Publications, 1986.
15. Dahal, Chiranjib. “Understanding Land Grant and Land Assignment System of Early Assam (4th to 13th Century A.D.)” International Journal of History, Vol. 7, No. 1, 2025.
16. Narzary, Dharitri. “Buranjis and the Asian History Writing Tradition.” Journal of Northeast Indian Studies, 2021/2022.
17. Satradhikar, Bengana-Ati v. State of Assam and Others, Gauhati High Court, 2 May 2000.
18. Harendra Nath Bhattacharya & Others v. Kaliram Das, Gauhati High Court, 22 November 1971.
19. Government of Assam, Majuli District. “Sri SriGaramurSatra.” Official district portal, Government of Assam.