

# **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

# EFFECT OF FREQUENCY OF HARVEST PLANT POPULATION AND N.P.K FERTILIZER ON THE GROWTH PERFORMANCE OF VERNONIA AMYGDALINA

# Patience T.K.<sup>1</sup>, Nwachukwu V.C.<sup>1</sup>, Inchikida B.M.<sup>1</sup>, Danjuma N.<sup>1</sup>, Fadok N.B.<sup>1</sup>, Jacob N.<sup>1</sup> and Fatima F.K<sup>2</sup>

- 1. Nigeria Natural Medicine Development Agency.
- 2. Department of Agriculture Engineering and Biomolecular Study Federal University of Technology, Minna.

.....

#### Manuscript Info

#### Abstract

*Manuscript History* Received: 30 June 2021 Final Accepted: 31 July 2021 Published: August 2021

The trial aimed at assessing the effect of frequency of harvest, plant population and N.P.K fertilizer of Vernonia amygdalina(Bitter leaf) was conducted at the Teaching and Research farm, University of Abuja, Nigeria. Split plot treatment arrangement fitted into a randomized complete Block Design (RCBD) with 3 replications was used. Plant population used were;10,000,stands/ha and 40,000 stands/ha and 4 weeks frequency of harvest. Data collected were Numbers of branches/plants Number of leaves /plants, Height/plant, leaf area index and dry matter/unit.The result of the study showed that the number of branches per plant in the plots that received N.P.K fertilizer was greater than those in the control plots. Leaf area per plant was drastically reduced in the control plots compared with those in plots that were treated with N.P.K 200kg and 400kg/ha were not significant.Frequency of harvest has significant effect on the leaf on the leaf area and dry matter weight of the plants. Stands planted at the spacing 1m(10,000 stands/ha) developed more in all the growth parameters than others one year after establishment.

.....

Copy Right, IJAR, 2021,. All rights reserved.

#### **Introduction:-**

Vernoniaamygdalina Del. Is a small perennial shrub that grows in tropical Africa which belongs to the family Asteraceae and it is commonly called bitter leaf because of its bitter taste; it is evergreen In nature and flourishes wherever it grows. It is called 'ONUGBU'' by Igbo of the eastern part of Nigeria who use it as vegetables, 'EWURO'' by the Yorubas in the western part of Nigeria who use it as medicine while the Hausas of the Northern Nigeria call it 'SHIWAKA'' and also the Idomas of North Central of Nigeria call it 'AFOLO OR AWO''. The leaves may beconsumed either as vegetables (macerated leaf soup). Aqueous extracts are used as tonics for the treatments of various illnesses (Sweeney et al. 2005). In the wild, chimpanzees have been observed to ingest the leaves when suffering from parasitic infections (Song et al., 2005). Many herbalist and naturopathic doctors recommend aqueous extracts for their patients as treatment for emesis, nausea, diabetes, loss of appetite – induced ambrosia, dysentery and other gastrointestinal tract problems (Opata et al., 2006), until last decade, there were only anecdotal reports and claims to support the health benefit (Ademola and Eloff, 2011). Vernonia amygdalina has been found to have great importance in terms of using it as vegetables, drugs production and even providing life fence for home owners. More than 50% of all modern clinical drugs are of plant origin (Suffness and Douros, 1987). Plant product therefore play an important role in drug development program of the pharmaceutical industry (Baker et al., 1995; Cordell 1995). Furthermore, the consumption of plant material is believed to contribute immensely to

the improvement of the health of man and his plants and animals. Vernonia amygdalina has been found to grow in most part of the country, some people plant it in their home gardens and allow it to grow freely on its own without paying much attention to its performance while some use it as live fence (Suffness et al., 1982) but due to development you find out that even those cut replaced with blocks and as a result the plant is going into extinction (Anyaegbu, 2007). Even to get my research material was even a problem, therefore, there is need to grow it as a plantation crop to avoid scarcity.

Therefore, the objectives of this study include; to assess the effect of plant population on the growth of Vernonia amygdalina, assess the response of Vernonia amygdalina to applied fertilizer one year after application, determine how different population of the plant will respond to fertilizer application, and evaluate the effect of frequency of harvest on the growth performance of Vernonia amygdalina.

## Materials and Methods:-

#### **Experimental material**

The experimental materials used were cuttings of Venoniaamygdalina collected from phase3 Gwagwalada, Abuja.The cuttings were uniform in size and each measured 30cm long.

#### **Experimental treatment**

The experimental treatments of which effect were assessed include

- 1. Plant population (A<sub>1</sub>)10,000,(A<sub>2</sub>)20,000,(A<sub>3</sub>)40,000 stands/ha)
- 2. Fertilizer application were combined based on factorial arrangement and total 9 treatment combinations were obtained. Theyinclude; A<sub>1</sub>B<sub>1</sub>, A<sub>2</sub>B<sub>1</sub>, A<sub>1</sub>B<sub>3</sub>, A<sub>2</sub>B<sub>1</sub>, A<sub>2</sub>B<sub>2</sub>, A<sub>2</sub>B<sub>3</sub>, A<sub>3</sub>B<sub>1</sub>, A<sub>3</sub>B<sub>2</sub>, A<sub>3</sub>B<sub>3</sub> respectively

#### Experimental Design

The experimental design used was Randomized complete Block Design (RCBD) with 3 replications, The replicate contained 9 plots, each plot measure 4m x 4m, separated from each other within the block by 0.5 alleys and between blocks by 2m pathways. To maintain uniformity, the cuttings were planted on the same day. Planting spacing used include;1m x 1m giving a population of 10,000 stands/ha, 1m x 0.5m giving 20,000 stands/ha and 0.5m x 0.5m= population of 40,000stands per hectare.Fertilizer application was done within 3weeks after planting and a bound method of application was used .Harvesting was done at 4weeks interval

#### **Data Collection**

Data collected include; Number of branches /plant, Number of leaves/plant, Height/plant, Leaf area index.and dry matter/plant, all collected at 4 weeks interval

#### Data Analysis

All data collected were subjected to Analysis of Variance (ANOVA)Data were analysed Complete Block Design in factorial.

#### **Results and Discussion:-**

#### Number of branches per plant

The production and development of lateral branches in Vernonia amygdalina as influenced by different plant population, the residual effect of N.P.K fertilizer and frequency of harvest is shown in Table 1. The plants were one year in the field when the second study commenced. Six weeks after the initial pruning stand given N.P.K fertilizer produced more branches than those in the control plots. However the difference in branch production of the stands treated with 200kg/ha and 400kg/ha was not statistically significant. But from 10 weeks after the initial harvest to the 18<sup>th</sup> week, number of branches per plant remained fairly the same as indicated by statistical analysis. This development may confirm the report of earlier authors like Sweeney (2005) that described Vernonia as a unique plant because most of its trait (60%) is genetically controlled. Thus branching ability of the plant may be one of such traits in the plant that are under genetic control. But differences in plant population caused a difference (p>0.05) in the branching of the plant. Thus stands planted at the spacing of 1m x 1m (10,000 stands/ha) developed more branches than others. Stands planted at that spacing had more spaces for development and more access to the limited natural resources.

| Harvest | Intervals | Plant population | Fertilizer | Rate  | Kg/Ha | 400   | Mean               |
|---------|-----------|------------------|------------|-------|-------|-------|--------------------|
| (weeks) |           |                  | 0          | 200   | •     |       |                    |
|         |           | 10,000           | 5.91       | 10.53 |       | 12.31 | 9.58               |
| 6       |           | 20,000           | 5.65       | 10.34 |       | 12.11 | 9.37               |
|         |           | 40,000           | 3.23       | 7.35  |       | 8.87  | 6.48               |
|         |           | Mean             | 4.93       | 9.41  |       | 11.09 |                    |
|         |           | SE               |            | 3.56  |       |       |                    |
|         |           | 10,000           | 8.22       | 10.96 |       | 12.34 | 10.15              |
| 10      |           | 20,000           | 6.45       | 8.72  |       | 9.43  | 8.2acing had more  |
|         |           |                  |            |       |       |       | spaces for         |
|         |           |                  |            |       |       |       | development and    |
|         |           |                  |            |       |       |       | more access to the |
|         |           |                  |            |       |       |       | limited natural    |
|         |           |                  |            |       |       |       | resources.         |
|         |           | 40,000           | 4.76       | 5.88  |       | 7.12  | 5.92               |
|         |           | Mean             | 6.48       | 8.52  |       | 10.29 |                    |
|         |           | SE               |            | 1.46  |       |       |                    |
|         |           | 10,000           | 10.98      | 10.88 |       | 11.34 | 11.07              |
| 14      |           |                  |            |       |       |       |                    |
|         |           | 20,000           | 7.61       | 7.92  |       | 8.90  | 8.14               |
|         |           | 40,000           | 5.87       | 5.55  |       | 7.21  | 6.21               |
|         |           | Mean             | 8.15       | 8.12  |       | 9.15  |                    |
|         |           | SE               |            | 0.87  |       |       |                    |
|         |           | 10,000           | 11.16      | 11.98 |       | 12.40 | 11.85              |
|         |           | 20,000           | 8.56       | 8.79  |       | 10.77 | 9.37               |
| 18      |           | 40,000           | 5.64       | 6.13  |       | 7.22  | 6.33               |
|         |           | Mean             | 8.45       | 8.97  |       | 10.13 |                    |
|         |           | SE               |            | 1.76  |       |       |                    |

Table 4.1:- Number of branches (g)/ plant of vernoniaamygdalinainfluenced by plant population and Fertilizer application .

#### Number of leaves per plant

Leaf production in Vernonia amygdalina was still significant with N.P.K fertilizer application one year after the application, (Table 2). In the control plots, frequency of harvest has a significant effect on leaf production, hence the number of leaves tend to reduce drastically with increase in frequency of cutting. But in the plots that received N.P.K. fertilizer, the number of leaves per plant did not decrease as harvest period progressed up till the 14<sup>th</sup> week after the initial pruning beyond which decrease in leaf production set in. regular harvesting of the shoots stimulates new growth thus retarding flower limitation (Akah and Ekekwe, 1995). The decrease may indicate that the residual effect of the fertilizer applied is gradually fading away after one year and two months of its application. This observation on the number of leaves per plant was similar to that of the leaf area per plant, (Table 3). Table 2 Number of leaves of Vernonia amygdalina as influenced by plant population, fertilizer and Frequency harvest.

| Table 4.2:- Number | of leaves / plant of | vernoniaamygdalina | as influenced | by plant | population and | Fertilizer |
|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------|---------------|----------|----------------|------------|
| application .      |                      |                    |               |          |                |            |

| Harvest | Intervals | Plant population | Fertilizer |        |        | Mean  | SE  |
|---------|-----------|------------------|------------|--------|--------|-------|-----|
| (weeks) |           |                  | 0          | 200    | 400    |       |     |
|         |           |                  |            |        |        |       |     |
|         |           | 10,000           | 45.00      | 65.33  | 78.25  | 62.86 |     |
| 6       |           | 20,000           | 40.12      | 63.11  | 74.23  | 59.15 | 3.2 |
|         |           |                  |            |        |        |       |     |
|         |           | 40,000           | 30.22      | 55.78  | 60.48  | 48.83 |     |
|         |           | Mean             | 38.45      | 61.14  | 70.99  |       |     |
|         |           | SE               |            | 4.67   |        |       |     |
|         |           | 10,000           | 30.11      | 118.43 | 120.23 | 89.59 |     |
| 10      |           | 20,000           | 28.88      | 103.55 | 114.98 | 82.47 | 4.3 |

|    | 40,000 | 20.30 | 86.56  | 93.78  | 66.88  |       |
|----|--------|-------|--------|--------|--------|-------|
|    | Mean   | 6.48  | 8.52   | 10.29  |        |       |
|    | SE     |       | 5.34   |        |        |       |
|    | 10,000 | 28.94 | 156.44 | 165.23 | 116.87 |       |
| 14 | 20,000 | 26.33 | 145.76 | 168.91 | 113.67 | 9.00  |
|    | 40,000 | 23.51 | 83.45  | 97.32  | 68.09  |       |
|    | Mean   | 26.26 | 128.55 | 143.83 |        |       |
|    | SE     |       | 21.45  |        |        |       |
|    | 10,000 | 28.02 | 158.43 | 160.50 | 115.65 |       |
|    | 20,000 | 23.87 | 144.59 | 145.66 | 104.71 | 13.00 |
| 18 | 40,000 | 21.44 | 76.00  | 98.23  | 65.22  |       |
|    | Mean   | 24.44 | 126.36 | 134.79 |        |       |
|    |        |       | 28.67  |        |        |       |
|    | SE     |       |        |        |        |       |

#### Leaf Area per plant and dry matter weight per plant

Analysis has shown that leaf area per plant was drastically reduced in the control plots compared with those in plots that were treated with N.P.K fertilizer. However the difference in leaf area per plant between the stands give N.P.K 200kg and 400kg/ha was not significant. This indicates that the effect of the applied fertilizer perhaps starts fading away after one year of its application. This observation corresponds with that of Harris (1975). Frequency of harvesting as shown in the Table (3) has significant effect on the leaf area of the stands. The implication was that stands of Vernonia amygdalina irrespective of fertilizer application have their leave area reduced with increase in the number of time of harvest. This same experience was observed in Attah, (2013). Harvesting of only leaves hampers growth, (Burkil, 2000). This means that farmers should always prune at the time of harvesting. It is worthy to note that phenomena observation made on the leaf area of the plant was the same as that of the number of coppices per plant, (Table 4). A different observation was made on the parameter dry leaf weight. The dry matter weight per plant increased with fertilizer application. Frequency of harvesting effected (p>0.05) the parameter after the 14<sup>th</sup> week of pruning when the weight started to decrease as the frequency of cutting increased. However the difference in the dry weight of the leaves with increase in fertilizer was not significant, (P>0.05), an indication that the residual effect of the fertilizer applied was gradually fading away, Processed leaves of Vernonia amygadalina are exported from West African in dried or deep frozen form and offered in major markets of African vegetable in Europe (Bakeret et al., 1995).

| Harvest | Intervals | Plant population | Fertilizer | Rate Kg/Ha |      | Mean | SE   |
|---------|-----------|------------------|------------|------------|------|------|------|
| (weeks) |           |                  | 0          | 200        | 400  |      |      |
|         |           | 10,000           | 0.18       | 1.97       | 1.78 | 1.90 |      |
| 6       |           | 20,000           | 0.13       | 2.19       | 2.82 | 1.71 | 0.46 |
|         |           | 40,000           | 0.09       | 1.67       | 1.60 | 1.12 |      |
|         |           | Mean             | 0.40       | 1.94       | 2.07 |      |      |
|         |           | SE               |            | 1.55       |      |      |      |
| 10      |           | 10,000           | 0.15       | 1.62       | 1.67 | 1.15 |      |
|         |           | 20,000           | 0.14       | 2.17       | 2.81 | 1.71 | 0.33 |
|         |           | 40,000           | 0.07       | 1.65       | 1.58 | 1.10 |      |
|         |           | Mean             | 0.12       | 1.81       | 2.02 |      |      |
|         |           | SE               |            | 1.33       |      |      |      |
| 14      |           | 10,000           | 0.12       | 1.63       | 1.66 | 1.14 |      |
|         |           |                  |            |            |      |      |      |
|         |           | 20,000           | 0.10       | 2.11       | 2.78 | 1.66 | 0.04 |
|         |           | 40,000           | 0.08       | 1.66       | 1.57 | 1.91 |      |
|         |           | Mean             | 0.10       | 1.80       | 2.00 |      |      |
|         |           | SE               |            | 0.56       |      |      |      |
|         |           | 10,000           | 0.12       | 1.14       | 1.49 | 1.01 |      |
|         |           | 20,000           | 0.10       | 1.98       | 2.33 | 1.47 | 1.30 |
| 18      |           | 40,000           | 0.05       | 1.59       | 1.34 | 0.99 |      |

Table 3:- Leaf area ofvernoniaamygdalina as influenced by plant population and Fertilizer harvest

| Mean | 0.09 | 1.67 | 1.72 |  |
|------|------|------|------|--|
| SE   |      | 0.78 |      |  |

| Table 4:-Number of coppic | e/plant as affected by plant po | opulation, N.P.K | . Fertilizer and frequ | uency of cutting |  |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|------------------|--|
| Harvest Intervals (weeks) | Plant population                |                  |                        |                  |  |

| Harvest Intervals (weeks) | Plant population |      |       |       |
|---------------------------|------------------|------|-------|-------|
|                           |                  | 0    | 200   | 400   |
| 6                         | 10,000           | 7.6  | 13.50 | 13.60 |
|                           | 20,000           | 7.7  | 16.60 | 16.30 |
|                           | 40,000           | 6.90 | 12.60 | 12.40 |
|                           | Mean             | 7.40 | 14.20 | 14.10 |
|                           | SE               |      | 3.22  |       |
| 10                        | 10,000           | 7.60 | 12.50 | 12.40 |
|                           | 20,000           | 8.60 | 14.30 | 14.80 |
|                           | 40,000           | 7.00 | 10.60 | 10.60 |
|                           | Mean             | 7.70 | 12.50 | 12.60 |
|                           | SE               |      | 3.87  |       |
| 14                        | 10,000           | 7.40 | 10.80 | 11.30 |
|                           |                  |      |       |       |
|                           | 20,000           | 6.50 | 10.60 | 10.30 |
|                           | 40,000           | 5.20 | 8.60  | 7.30  |
|                           | Mean             | 6.40 | 10.00 | 9.60  |
|                           | SE               |      | 2.13  |       |
|                           | 10,000           | 6.60 | 10.30 | 11.80 |
|                           | 20,000           | 6.70 | 10.40 | 12.50 |
| 18                        | 40,000           | 3.60 | 8.30  | 8.40  |
|                           | Mean             | 5.60 | 9.70  | 10.90 |
|                           | SE               |      | 2.03  |       |

| Table 5:- Dry matter weight of vernoniaamygdalina as influenced by plant population, Fertil | zer and Frequency |
|---|-------------------|
| harvest.  |                   |

| Harvest | Intervals | Plant population | Fertilizer | Rate Kg/Ha | 400   | Mean  |
|---------|-----------|------------------|------------|------------|-------|-------|
| (weeks) |           |                  | 0          | 200        |       |       |
|         |           | 10,000           | 2.80       | 14.80      | 15.90 | 11.17 |
| 6       |           | 20,000           | 2.80       | 14.80      | 15.80 | 11.13 |
|         |           | 40,000           | 2.10       | 13.40      | 14.40 | 9.97  |
|         |           | Mean             | 2.60       | 14.30      | 15.40 |       |
|         |           | SE               |            | 4.28       |       |       |
|         |           | 10,000           | 5.30       | 28.60      | 28.80 | 20.90 |
| 10      |           | 20,000           | 4.70       | 26.60      | 28.70 | 20.00 |
|         |           | 40,000           | 4.30       | 24.60      | 26.10 | 18.33 |
|         |           | Mean             | 14.30      | 26.60      | 27.90 |       |
|         |           | SE               |            | 6.34       |       |       |
| 14      |           | 10,000           | 5.90       | 43.80      |       |       |
|         |           | 20,000           | 5.10       | 43.80      |       |       |
|         |           | 40,000           | 4.80       | 36.60      |       |       |
|         |           | Mean             | 5.30       | 41.40      | 48.70 |       |
|         |           | SE               |            | 8.56       |       |       |
|         |           | 10,000           | 6.20       | 43.90      | 48.70 | 32.93 |
|         |           | 20,000           | 5.40       | 43.90      | 45.40 | 31.57 |
| 18      |           | 40,000           | 5.00       | 33.70      | 38.60 | 25.70 |
|         |           | Mean             | 5.50       | 40.50      | 44.20 |       |
|         |           | SE               |            | 12.77      |       |       |

## **Conclusion:-**

The result of the study showed that the number of branches per plant in the plots that received N.P.K fertilizer was greater than those in the control plots. Leaf area per plant was drastically reduced in the control plots compared with those in plots that were treated with N.P.K fertilizer. The differences in leaf are per plant among the stands given N.P.K 200kg and 400kg/ha were not significant. Frequency of harvest has significant effect on the leaf area and dry matter weight of the plants.

Stands planted at the spacing of 1m by 1m (10,000 stands/ha) developed more in all the growth parameters than others, one years after establishment.

#### **Recommendation:-**

In the course of this research, one year after the N.P.K fertilizer was applied, the result of the experiment shows that 1 year after fertilizer application the effect of the fertilizer on the plants tends to be non significant. Therefore, I recommend another dose of the recommended fertilizer rate of 200kg/ha to be re-applied after 1 year of the application. The frequency of harvesting of the leaves should be done at least at 8 weeks interval instead of the 4 weeks been used in the trial. As the result of the importance of the crop.

### **References:-**

- 1. Ademola. I.O., Eloff J.N., (2011). "Anthelminthic activity of acetone extract and fractions of vernoniaamygdlina against Haemonchuscontortus eggs and larvae". Trop Anim health Prod 43 (2): 521-7. Agents for the Colonies, London, pp.421-422.
- 2. Ahmad, I., F. Agil and M. Owais, 2006. Modern phytomedicine: Turning medicinal plant into drugs. West-Sussex England: John Wiley and Sons, pp2-24
- 3. Akachukwu, C.O., 2001. Growth of bitter leaf (Vernonia amygdalina, Del., Compositae) and nutritive values of its processes leaves. Discovery and Innovation 13:227-223.
- 4. Akah PA, Ekwekwe RK. Ethnopharmacology of some of the asteraceaefmily used in the Nigeria traditional medicine. Fitoterapia. 1995;66:352-355.
- 5. Anonymous, (1999). http://bkb-china.com/fidehty/bitter.htm
- 6. Anonymous, (2000). http://www.chemie.uni- bonn.de/oc/akbr/ANALYTIC/Nigeria/vernonia/vern inf.html
- 7. Anyaegbu P.O(2007). Introductory Forestry for Agriculture. ISBN NO 937-31362-1-7.pp99
- 8. Argheore EM, Makkar HPS, Becker K. Feed value of some browse plants from central zone of Delta State of Nigeria. Trop Sci. 1998;38:97-104.
- 9. Aruoma OI, Sun B, Fuji H, Neergheen Vs, Bahorun T, Kang Ks, Sung Mk, Low molecular proanthocyamidin dietary biofactor oligonol: its modulation of oxidative stress, bioefficacy neuroprotection, food application and chemoprevention potentials. Biofactors. 200:27:245-265
- 10. Babalola, O.O., Anetor, J.I., & Adeniyi, F.A., 2001. Amelioration of carbon tetrachloride induced hepatotoxity by terpenoid extract from leaves of vernoniaamygdalina. African Journal of Medicine and Medical Sciences 30:91-93.
- 11. Baker JT, Borris RR, Carte B, Cordell GA, Soejarto DD, Cragg GM, Bamenda, N.W. Cameroon. Student project report for Natural Resource Institute, Chatham, United Kingdom/Dschchange University, Cameroon.
- 12. Beentje, H.J., 2000. Compositae(part 1). In: Bent, H.J (Editor). Flora of Tropical.
- 13. East Africa. A.A. Balkema, Rotterdam, Netherlands. Pp.1-313.
- 14. Bekele- Tesemma A, Birnie A, Tengnas B, 1993. Useful trees and shrubs for Ethiopia. Rigional Soil Conservation Unit (RSCU), Swedish International Development Authority (SIDA).
- 15. Bonsi, M.L.K., Osuji, P.O., Tuah, A.K., Umunna, N.N (1995a). Vernonia amygdlina as a supplement to teff straw (Eragrostitef). Fed to Ethiopian Menz Sheep. Agroforestry Systems 31(3), pp.229-241.
- 16. Burkill, H.M., 1985. The Useful Plants of West Tropical Africa. 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition. Volume 1, Families
- 17. A-D. Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew, Richmond, United Kingdom. 960 pp.
- 18. Burkill, H.M., 2000. The Useful Plants of West Africa. 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition. Volume 5, Families S-Z, Addenda. Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew, Richmond, United Kingdom. 688 pp.
- 19. Buttler, G.W., and Bailey, R.W., (1973). Chemistry and Biochemistry of Herbage, vol. 1 Academic Press, London and New York.
- 20. Coates Palgrave, K., 1983. Trees of Southern Africa. 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition. Struik Publishers, Cape Town, South Africa. 959 pp. collaboration. J. Nat. Prod., 58: 1325-1357.
- 21. Dalziel JM (1997). The useful plants of west tropical Africa. Crown Agents for the colonies, London, pp.421-422

- 22. Dupriez, H.& De Leener, P., 1989. Africa gardens and orchards, growing vegetables and fruits. Macmillan Press, London, United Kingdom. 333pp.
- 23. Ejoh, R.A., Bjuikwo, V.N., and Tanya A.N., (2003). Effect of food preservation technique on the nutritional profile of four spp of vernonia. Food, Nutrition and Health proceeding paper <u>http://foodafrica.nri.org/nutritionpapers.html</u>.
- 24. Erasto P, Grierson D.S., Afolayan A.J., (2007). "Evaluation of Antioxidant activity and the fatty acid profile of the leaves of vernoniaamygdalina growing in South Africa" Food chemistry 104:636-642.
- 25. Ezekwe CI, Obidoa O. (2001). Biochemical effect of vernonia
- 26. Farombi EO (2003). African indigenous plants with chemotherapeutic potentials and biotechnological approach to the production of bioactive prophylactic agents. Afr. J. Biotech., 2:662-667.
- 27. GideyYirga (2010). Assessment of indigenous knowledge of medicinal plants in central zone of Tigray. African journal of plant science, vol. 4 (1), pp.006-011
- 28. GideyYirga (2010). Use of traditional medicinal plants by indigenous people in mekele town, capital city of Tigray regional state of Ethiopia. Journal of medicinal plants Research, vol 4 (17), pp. 1799-1804
- 29. Hill M.G., (1987). Encyclopaedia of food agricultural Nutrition, 5<sup>th</sup>edn., MC-Graw Hill book company, New York, pp.117-120.
- Huffman, M.A., 2003. Animal self-medication and ethno-medicine: exploration and exploitation of the medicinal properties of plants. Proceedings of the Nutrition Society 62(2):371-381 Kabeh JD, Jalingo MGDSS (2007) Pesticidal effect of bitter leaf plant vernoniaamydalina (Compositae) Leaves and primiphosphomethyl on larvae of CallosobruchusMaculates(Coleoptera: Bruchidae) and Sitophilus zeamais (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). Int. J.Agric. Biol, 9:452-454.
- Khalafa M.M, Daffala H.M, El-shemenyo A, and Abdulattef E. (2009) Establishment of invitro fastgrowing normal root culture of VA-a potent African medicinal Plant. African Journal of Biotech, Vol 821, pp. 5957.
- 32. Leung, W.T.W., Bussion, F. & Jardin, C., 1968. Food composition table for use in Africa. FAO, Rome, Italy. 306pp
- 33. Okafor, J.C., 1997. Conservation and use of traditional vegetables from woody forest species in south eastern Nigeria. In: Guarion, L. (Editor). Traditional African vegetables. Proceedings of the IPGRI International workshop on genetic resources of traditional vegetables in Africa: conservation and use, 29-31 August 1995, ICRAF, Nairobi, Kenya. Promoting the conservation and use of under utilized and neglected crops 16.pp.31-38
- Okoli I.C., Anunobi M.O., Obua B.E and Enemuo V. (2003). Studies on selected browses of Southern Nig. With particular references to their proximate and some endogenous anti-nutritional constituents. Livestock Res. Rural Dev., Vol.15, No.9.
- 35. Ologunde, M.O., Ayorinde, F.O., Shepherd, R.K., Afolabi, O.A., and Oke, O.L., (1992). Sterols of seed oils of vernoniagalanesis, Amaranthus cruentus, Amaranthus caudatus, Amaranthus hybrids and Amaranthus Hypochondriacus. On larvae of callosobruchusmasculates (Coleoptera: Bruchidae) and on larvae of Callosobruchusmasculated (Coleoptera: Bruchidae)
- Opata, MM., Izevbigie, E.B., (2006). "Aqueous V. amygdlina Extracts Alter MCF-7 Cell Membrane permeability and Efflux". J. Environ. Res. Public Health 3(2): 174-179.
- Schippers, R., 1997. Priorities for research on Africa's indigenous vegetables. In:Schippers, R. & Budd, (Editors). Proceedings of a workship on African Indigenous vegetables, Limbe, Cameroon, January 13-18, 1997. Natural Resources Institute, Chatham, United Kingdom, 155pp.
- Seef LB, Lindsay KL, Bacon BR, Kresina TF, hoofnagle JH. Comlementary and alternative medicine in chronic liver disease. Hepatology. 2001;34:595-603.
- 39. Sobukola, O,P, Dairo O.U (2007), modeling drying kinetics of fever leaves (Ocimumviride) in a convective hot air dryer Niger Food J. 251145153.
- 40. Son YJ, Lee DY, Kim SN, Lee KR, Lee HW, Han JW, Kang DW, Lee HY, Kim YK (2005). Apoptotic Ptential of seequiterpene lactone ergolide through the inhibition of NF.KBsignaling pathway" .J. Pharmacol57 (12): 1591-1597.
- 41. Stevels, J.M.C., 1990. Legumes traitionnels du cameroun: une etude agrobotanique. Wageningen Agricultural University Press 90-1. Wageningen, Agricultureal University, Wageningen, Netherlends.262pp.
- 42. Suffness M, Douros J (1982). Current status of the NCI plant and Sweeney, C.J., Mehrotra S, Sadaria M.R., Kumar S, Shortle, N.H., Roman Y, Sheridan C, Campbell R.A., Murray D.J, Badvd S, Nakshatri (2005). "The sesquiterpene lactone parthenolide in combination with docetaxel reduces metastasis and improves survival in xenograft model of breast cancer". Mole. Cancer Ther4(6): 1004.

- 43. Taiwo, O., Xu, H.X and Lee, S.F., 1999. Antibacterial activities of extracts from Nigeria chewing sticks. Phytotheraphy research 13(8): 675-679.
- 44. Tonyahop M., Mayet M., (2007). En route to biopiracy? Ethno-botanical research on diabetics medicinal plants in Eastern Cape Province, South Africa. African Journal of Biotech, Vol. 6(2), pp. 2945-2952.
- 45. uchekFomum, F., 2004. Vernonia amygdalinaDelile. (Internet). Record from protabase. Grubben, G.J.H.& Denton, O.A. (Editors). PROTA (Plant Resources of Tropical Africa/Resources vegetables de L' Afriquetropicale), Wageningen, Netherlends.http://database.prota.org/search.html.
- 46. uhegbu F.O., (1997), Dietary secondary amines & liver hepatoma in Port-Harcourt, Nig. Plant foods Hum. Nutr., 51:257-263
- 47. van Epenhuijsen, C.W., 1974. Growing native vegetables in Nigeria. FAO, Rome, Italy. 113 pp.
- 48. venton D.L, Kim S.O, Le Breton G.C, (1991). Economic and medicinal splant research London: Academic Press, pp. 323-351.