

# **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

### COMPLEMENTARY ANALYTICAL TECHNIQUES PAPER, THIN-LAYER, HIDE-POWER, AND COMBINED METHODS FOR CHARACTERIZATIONOF TANNIN IN PLANTS

### Mahdi Haroun

Department of Industries, College of Applied and Industrial Sciences, University of Bahri P.O. Box 13104-Khartoum, Sudan.

# Manuscript Info

*Manuscript History* Received: 29 August 2021 Final Accepted: 30 September 2021 Published: October 2021

Key words: -Phenolic Compounds, Extraction, Gallotannins, Stringency, Complementary Analytical Techniques

#### Abstract

..... The polyphenolic compoundsextract rich in gallo-catechol tannins submitted to complementary analytical techniqueswas evaluated. The whole plantspecies screened were of the condensed type except Acacia seyal var. fistuala, Acaciaseyal var. seyal, Casuarina equistifolia, and Pithecellobium dulcewere of mixedhydrolysable-condensed(gallocatechol) type. The quantitative data indicated that 5 parts (bark) out of 12 species, when extracted, contained more than 10% tannins (oven-dry basis), the level of commercial interest. The catechin numbers indicated that all the studied species contained condensed tannin in varying amounts (0.6-45.7), while the presence of both gallic acid and catechin means that the tannin is of mixed type. Thin-layer and paper chromatography with different solvent systems confirmed the presence of catechin and gallic acid, and showed that tannic acid, fisetin, epicatechin and some unidentified phenolics were present. However, dihydrofisetin and robinetin, which were used as standards, were not detected. Astringency values shows that the Acacia mellifera(0.18), Acacia seyalvar.fistuala(0.18), Pithecellobium dulce (0.15), Acacia senegal (0.14), Acacia farnesiana (0.13), Calotropis procera (0.13) barks could be used in place of A. mearnsii(international commercial tannin materials) (0.16) because the degree of relative astringency or the ability of their tannin to combine with protein is close to that of A. mearnsii; in other words these six species can give leather with characteristics comparable with that of A. mearnsii.

Copy Right, IJAR, 2021,. All rights reserved.

#### Introduction:-

Vegetable tannins are polyphenolic compounds widely distributed in plants which have the property to precipitate proteins (Vermerris and Nicholson, 2006; Khanbabaee and van Ree, 2001). Since ancient times, this property has been empirically discovered to convert animal skins, a proteinaceous biomaterial, into leather (Goffer, 2007; Covington, 2009). The process, termed vegetable tanning, is one of the oldest known leathers making processes and it can be succinctly described as a treatment of hides/skins with powdered barks, leaves, wood, fruits, pods or galls, or their extracts, obtained from different vegetable sources (Thomson, 2006). With this treatment, traditionally performed in pits, a chemical interaction between collagen protein (the main constituent of dermis) and tannins present in vegetable materials is slowly established, generating a very useful and remarkably non-putrescible material under moist and warm conditions, termed vegetable tanned leather (Covington, 2009; Haslam, 1997). It was

.....

#### **Corresponding Author:- Mahdi Haroun**

Address:- Department of Industries, College of Applied and Industrial Sciences, University of Bahri P.O. Box 13104-Khartoum, Sudan.

the main material of a wide range of artefacts and adapted to very diverse functional needs such as footwear, bookbinding, saddles, harness, liquid vessels, cases and caskets coverings or seating furniture and carriages upholstery. Beyond its utilitarian function, it was also used as support material for artistic and decorative paintings, wall hangings and screen coverings.

Different indigenous plants materials have been traditionally used in Europe: barks from birch (Betula spp.), willow (Salix spp.), larch (Larix spp.) and spruce (Picea spp.) were used in northern Europe and Russia; barks from various species of oaks (Quercus spp.) widely used throughout Western Europe; leaves from sumac shrub (Rhus coriaria), valonia (Quercus Aegilops) oak galls from Quercus infectoria in Mediterranean (Novak et.al, 2008; Gülcin et.al, 2010).

Condensed tannins, or proanthocyanins, are natural polyphenolic oligomers made of flavan-3-ol units. They are recognized as suitable natural substitutes in the formulation of wood adhesives (Yazaki and Collins, 1994; Roffael et al. 2000; 2006; Pizzi, 2008), foamed resins (Lacoste et al., 2013) and heavy metal removal systems. Industrially used tannins are mostly extracted from the bark of black wattle (*Acacia mearnsii* [De Wild.]) and the heartwood of Quebracho (*Schinopsislorentzii* [Engl.]). The bark of softwood species has also been reported as a valuable source of condensed tannins (Krogell et al., 2012). In Switzerland, 425,000 m<sup>3</sup> of bark was produced in 2013, the majority of which was burned for energy production (Lacoste et al., 2013). Thus, softwood bark represents an important source of condensed tannins in Switzerland. In particular, silver fir (*Abies alba* [Mill.]), Norway spruce (*Piceaabies* [Karst.]), Scot's pine (*Pinus sylvestris* [L], European larch (*Larix decidua* [Mill.]) and Douglas fir (*Pseudo Sugamenziesii* [Mirb.]) are species of special interest, representing more than 95% of the total Swiss softwood growing stock (Lacoste et al., 2013).

This investigation purposes to expand the knowledge about vegetable tanning materials that had significant concentrations of these compounds. This information is important to recognize tannin structure, knowledge, deprivation susceptibility or state in demand to bring out suitable techniques, and if required, to choose suitable one for tannin vegetable materials.

# Materials and Methods:-

# **Preparation of sample**

Fresh plant parts (bark) (0.3–2.0 kg) from different species growing in Khartoum area, Blue Nile, and South Kordofan (Dalang), were used for this study (Table 1). The conformation of the identity of the plant species is done by Soba Forestry Research Center Herbarium. The samples were air-dried and reduced to powder with a star mill. The fractions passing through 40-mesh and retained on 85-mesh sieve were collected, thoroughly mixed and kept in airtight containers.

Species	Part	Age	Collection site	Air-dried
_		_		Material
Acacia albida	Bark	15	Obeid	2.0
Acacia farnesiana	Bark	10	Soba	1.0
Acacia mearnsii	Bark	25	Jebel Marra	2.0
Acacia mellifera	Bark	20	Soba	0.5
Acacia seyalvar. fistuala	Bark	9	Blue Nile	1.0
Acacia seyalvar.seyal	Bark	10	Soba	0.3
Acacia senegal	Bark	10	Soba	0.3
Albizzia amara	Bark	5	Blue Nile	0.3
Calotropis procera	Bark	4	Soba	0.3
Cassia siamea	Bark	7	Shambat	1.0
Casuarina equistifolia	Bark	18	Blue Nile	0.3
Pithecellobium dulce	Bark	30	Soba	0.3

**Table 1:-** Collection data for the tanniferous plant species studies.

# Analysis of Tannins

#### Extraction Using ALCA-Palsy Method

Cold water extracts (2 litres) were obtained with an ALCA (American Leather Chemist Association)-Palsy apparatus (Doat, 1978). The presence of tannins was detected by the gelatin salt test and their types were identified using the iron-alum and formaldehyde-HCl test (SLTC. 1965).

# **Qualitative Analysis**

Paper chromatography was done on Whatman No. 1 paper withforestal solvent system (concentrated acetic acid: HCl: water, 10:3:30) (Harborne,1998). The chromatography was developed by ascending method at room temperature (30–36 °C) to a height of 7–15 cm. Spots were detected first under UV light (254 nm) and then by spraying with ferric chloride reagent (2 g FeCl<sub>3</sub> in98 ml methanol) or exposing to ammonia vapour (Stahl,1969). Thin layer chromatography was done with sheets ( $20 \times 20$  cm) precoated with polyamide six layer (thickness 0.1 mm). The solvent system used was acetone-propanol-water (5:4:1) (Stahl,1969).

Tannic acid, catechin, gallic acid, epicatechin, fisetin, dihydrofisetin and robinetin were used as standard compounds ( $R_f \times 100$ ) for the above chromatographic analyses. Samples were prepared by hydrolyzing 5 g raw materials with 2M HCl using reflux for 30 min. The effluent was then cooled and filtered; ethyl acetatethen used to extract the produced filtrate. The aqueous layer was heated to remove any trace of solvent and extracted with a small volume of amyl alcohol. The solvent extracts were concentrated to thick syrup under vacuum (Harborne, 1998).

#### **Quantitative Analysis**

The extracts were quantitatively analyzed for total and soluble solids, non-tannins and tannins by the official hidepowder method (Jamet,2000) (hide-powder batch C28). A modification of the hide-powder method, i.e., the combined method (Swain and Goldstein,1964) was also used. Total phenolic materials in the extract were measured using the Folin-Denis'smethod (Folinand Denis, 1915). Freshly hydrated chromated hide-powder equivalent to 3.0 g oven-dried was prepared. Tannin was then allowed to absorb onto the hide powder, after which the remaining phenolic materials were determined. The catechin number (Stiasny number) was determined according to the method by Yazaki and Hillis (Folin and Denis, 1915). For this 100 ml extract were filtered through a glass fritted funnel (G4) and poured into a conical flask. Stiasny reagent (5 ml of HCl + 10 ml of 37% formaldehyde) was added into the flask and then the mixture was allowed to stand for 24 hours at room temperature (30-35 °C). Then the precipitate was filtered on a tared crucible (G4) before being dried to constant weight at about  $100 \pm 5$  °C to obtain the weight of catechin (Folin and Denis, 1915).

# **Results and Discussions:-**

Tannins are phenolic compounds of relatively high molecular weight. They are classified as condensed and hydrolysable tannins. The hydrolysable tannins are readily hydrolyzed by acids, alkalis or enzymes (tannases) into a sugar or a related polyhydricalcohol (polyol) and a phenolic carboxylic acid (Pizzi, 2008). Depending on the nature of the phenolic carboxylic acid, hydrolysable tannins are subdivided into gallotannins and ellagitannins. Hydrolysis of gallotannins yields gallic acid while hydrolysis of ellagitannins yields hexahydroxy diphenic acid which is isolated asellagicacid (Pizzi, 2008). Hydrolysable tannins are considered as one of the most potentantioxidants from plant sources. They are ready to form complexes with reactive metals, avoiding free radical generation which results in oxidative damage of cellular membranes and DNA (Lacoste et al., 2013). Hydrolysable tannins, in addition, clean free radicals within the body by neutralizing them before cellular damage occurs (Hagerman, 1998; Gülcinet al., 2010).

# Formaldehyde-HCl and Iron Alum Test

From the formaldehyde-HCl and iron alum test, the whole twelve species screened were of the condensed type except *Acacia seyalvar. fistuala*, and *Acaciaseyalvar. seyal*, *Casuarina equistifolia*, and *Pithecellobium dulce* were of mixed hydrolysable-condensed (gallo-catechol) type. The gallic acid and catechin number test results supported these assignments (Table 2). The quantitative data indicated that fiveparts (bark) of twelve species, when extracted, contained more than 10% (oven-dry basis) of tannins, the level of commercial interest. Of these 12 species, 6species had an acceptable extraction ratio (tannin to non-tannin) of 1.0-4.5. The tannin purity or the ratio of tannin/soluble solids was good, >0.5, for 7species of the twelve species studied (Table 2). However, the type of tannin present and the part extracted are also important.

Different parts of species bark, leaves, and fruits had the same type of tannin but in different proportions. Usually, the tannin content was higher in the barks (*Acacia mearnsii, Acacia seyalvar. fistuala, Acacia seyalvarseyal, Acacia Senegal, Pithecellobium dulce,* and *Casuarinaequistifolia*) (Table 2). The catchin numbers indicated that all the studied species contained condensed tannin in varying amounts (0.6-45.7), while the presence of both gallic acid and catechin means that the tannin is of mixed type (hydrolysable-condensed) (gallo-catechol) (Table 2).

# Thin-layer and paper Chromatography

Thin-layer and paper chromatography with different solvent systems confirmed the presence of catechin and gallic acid, and showed that tannic acid, fisetin, epicatechin and some unidentified phenolics were present. However, dihydrofisetinandrobinetin, which were used as standards, were not detected (Table 3).

# **Methods of Determination of Tannins**

The tannin content determined by the hide-powder method was highest (39.8) for *Acacia mearnsii* followed by (28.8%) for *Pithecellobium dulce* bark, and for *Acacia seyalvar. seyal*and *Acacia seyalvar. fistuala, Casuarina equistifolia*bark (24.8,23.7,10.2% respectively) (Table 2). These data were compared with those obtained from the spectroscopic method of Swain and Goldstein (Hagerman,1998) and also with two methods for total phenolic (Yazaki and Hillis,1998; Hagerman and Butler 1978) (Table 4). In the first comparison, the correlation between total phenolics and tannin content was high ( $r^2 = 98.7\%$ , n = 24, p < 0.01). In the second case, the phenolic content by the Hagerman and Butler method (Judd, et al., 2007; Talhouk et al., 2007) was approximately half that of Folin-Denis's assay, but the correlation between the two assays was still high ( $r^2 = 70.9\%$ , n = 24, p < 0.01). The combined method also gave slightly lower values of tannin content and extraction rates (Table 4). Care should be taken when comparing tannin content determined by different methods as the isolation procedures may affect the proportion and types of phenolic present (this due to different method have different ways of determination and isolation). The relative astringency values for most of these tannins were quite close to that of *A. mearnsii* tannin, but much higher values were obtained for *Acacia mellifera* and *Acacia seyalvar. Fistuala*bark. However, the *Acacia mellifera*bark has low tannin contents (17.9%) (Table 4).

# **Stringency Factor**

Astringency values shows that the Acacia mellifera(0.18), Acacia seyalvar. Fistuala(0.18), Pithecellobium dulce (0.15), Acacia senegal(0.14), Acacia farnesiana(0.13), Calotropis procera(0.13) barks could be used in place of A. mearnsii(international commercial tannin materials) (0.16) because the degree of relative astringency or the ability of their tannin to combine with protein is close to that of A. mearnsii; in other wards thesesix species can give leather with characteristics comparable with that of A. mearnsii.

# **Precipitation of Protein**

The protein precipitation curve for the tannins from *A. mearnsii* bark (international commercial tannin materials) and *Acacia senegal*, *Acacia seyal var. fistuala*, *Cassiasiamea*, *Albizzia amara*, bark reflected their different nature and relative astringency (Figure 1). The fairly gradual solubilization of *A. mearnsii*tannins (wattle) and *Cassia siamea*, *Albizzia amara*, *Acacia senegal*, and *Acacia seyal var. fistuala*, *Cassiasiamea*, *Albizzia amara*, bark reflected their different nature astringency (Figure 1). The fairly gradual solubilization of *A. mearnsii*tannins (wattle) and *Cassia siamea*, *Albizzia amara*, *Acacia senegal*, and *Acacia seyal var. fistuala*barktannins indicated greater reactivity. It seemed probable that the highly astringent and strongly binding tannin would react with animal hide protein so firmly and rapidly that the penetration of the materials would have to be controlled by selection of pH and concentration. Thus, the resulting leather might be hard and coarse. In contrast the less astringent tannin (mixed type) obtained from the *Acacia seyal var. fistuala*bark and *Cassia siamea*bark mixed with *Calotropis procera*barkshould penetrate the hide more extensively and the reaction should not be weaker in terms of poorer tanning or greater vulnerability to microbiological damage.

Species	Part	Tot al soli ds (TS	Solub le solids (SS) %	р Н	Tannin s, (T)%	Non- Tannin s, (NT)%	Extracti on Ratio (T/NT)	Catech in numbe r	Galli c acid	Tanni n type	Purity (T/SS) %
		)%									
Acacia albida	Bark	10.3	9.5	6	4.6	4.9	0.9	4.6	-	C	0.5
Acacia farnesiana	Bark	13.7	12.4	6	3.6	8.8	0.4	2.1	-	C	0.3
Acacia mearnsii	Bark	51.8	48.7	6	39.8	8.9	4.5	45.7	-	С	0.8
Acacia mellifera	Bark	12.7	10.9	6	4.3	6.7	0.6	2.0	-	С	0.4
Acacia seyalvar.fistu ala	Bark	40.9	40.4	6	23.7	16.7	1.4	30.5	+	НС	0.6
Acacia seyalvar.seya l	Bark	39.0	36.6	6	24.8	11.7	2.1	32.4	+	НС	0.7
Acacia senegal	Bark	16.2	15.7	5	6.8	8.9	0.8	8.6	-	С	0.4
Albizzia amara	Bark	13.4	13.4	6	7.2	6.1	1.2	6.5	-	С	0.5
Calotropis procera	Bark	15.3	13.2	6	2.5	10.7	0.2	4.5	-	С	0.2
Cassia siamea	Bark	5.6	5.4	6	2.2	3.2	0.7	0.6	-	С	0.4
Casuarina equistifolia	Bark	16.7	14.9	6	10.2	4.7	2.2	12.3	+	HC	0.7
Pithecellobiu m dulce	Bark	38.9	35.7	6	28.8	6.9	4.2	26.6	+	HC	0.8

 Table 2:- Analysis of the tannin cold aqueous extracts (% oven-dry part extracted).

Species	Part	Extracted	Gallic Tannic		Catechin		Epicatechin		Fisetin		Unknown			
Species		with	a	hir		hir	TI	C	TLC	PC	TI	C	TLC	PC
		****	TI	C	T		P	C	66	64	P	°C	120	10
			PC		PC		78		00	01	6	6		
			82		56		64				1	5		
			6	3	3	32		, ,			1	5		
Acacia albida	Bark	Amvl	-			-	77		66	66	65		_	
neuem aibiau	Dark	alcohol	_			_	67		00	-	15			
		Fthyl	_			_	-	_		_	-		64 -	
		acetate	_			_	-	-			_		04	
Acacia farnesiana	Bark		_	_	_	_	77	67	_	_	_	_	_	_
ncacia farnesiana	Dark	alcohol	83	62	_		,,	07	_	_	_	_	_	_
		Ethyl	05	02	_			_	_	_	_	_	_	_
		acetate												
Acaria meanusii	Bork	Amyl					77	67						
Acacia mearnsii	Dark	Allyi	83	62	-	-	//	07	-	-	-	-	-	-
		Ethyl	05	02	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
A agaig mallifong	Doult	Amul					77	67						
Acacia menijera	Dark	Alliyi	-	-	-	-	70	07	-	-	-	-	-	-
		alconol Etherl	-	62	-	-	/8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
		Euliyi												
<b>A</b>	Daula						77	(7						
Acacia	Bark	Amyi	-	-	-	-	//	6/	-	-	-	-	-	-
seyalvar.fistuala			-	62	-	-	/8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
		Ethyl												
	<b>D</b> 1	acetate					-	<i>c</i> 1						
Acacia	Bark	Amyl	-	-	-	-	78	64	-	-	-	-	-	-
seyalvar.seyal		alcohol	-	62	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
		Ethyl												
		acetate											1	
Acacia senegal	Bark	Amyl	-	-	-	-	77	67	-	-	-	-	-	-
		alcohol	82	62	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
		Ethyl												
		acetate												
Albizzia amara	Bark	Amyl	-	-	-	-	78	64	-	-	-	-	-	-
		alcohol	-	62	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
		Ethyl												
		acetate												
Calotropis procera	Bark	Amyl	-	-	-	-	78	62	-	63	-	-	-	51
		alcohol	-	65	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
		Ethyl												
		acetate												
Cassia siamea	Bark	Amyl	-	-	-	-	78	67	-	-	-	-	-	-
		alcohol	-	63	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
		Ethyl												
		acetate												
Casuarina	Bark	Amyl	-	-	-	-	78	62	-	-	-	-	-	-
equistifolia		alcohol	83	65	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
		Ethyl												
		acetate												
Pithecellobium	Bark	Amyl	-	-	-	-	77	66		-	-	-	-	-
dulce		alcohol	82	64	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	64	-
		Ethyl												
		acetate												

 Table 3:- Thin layer (TLC)\* and paper (PC) \*\* chromatography of hydrolyzed bark extracts.

\* Adsorbent: Polyamide precoated plate (10x10 cm); solvent system: acetone- propanol- water (5/4/1); detection: UV/254nm; FeCl<sub>3</sub>.

\*\*Adsorbent: Whatman paper no.2; solvent system: acetic acid-conc. HCl- water (10/3/30); detection: UV/254nm; strong ammonia vapor.

Species	Par t	Tannin in over ext	content, % 1-dry part racted	Extrac (Tan ta	tion Ratio nin/non- nnin)	Tot in oven-			
		Hide Powde r Metho d	Combine d Method	Hide Powde r Metho d	Combine d Method	Combine d Method	Folin Denis Metho d	Hagerma n Butler Method	Relative Stringenc y
Acacia albida	Bar k	14.2	14.3	1.1	1.2	60.0	13.8	7.0	0.12
Acacia farnesiana	Bar k	14.0	14.3	1.9	0.4	49.2	14.2	6.8	0.13
Acacia mearnsii	Bar k	39.8	38.1	4.5	2.7	72.8	35.6	17.8	0.16
Acacia mellifera	Bar k	17.9	15.5	2.2	0.6	46.8	16.0	8.0	0.18
Acacia seyalvar.fistua la	Bar k	23.7	15.5	1.4	0.6	46.8	16.0	8.0	0.18
Acacia seyalvar.seyal	Bar k	24.8	10.2	2.1	1.0	47.1	10.0	5.1	0.12
Acacia senegal	Bar k	19.3	19.2	2.2	3.2	45.6	18.6	9.3	0.14
Albizzia amara	Bar k	14.2	14.3	1.1	1.2	60.0	13.8	7.0	0.12
Calotropis procera	Bar k	10.5	10.6	1.6	1.0	43.8	10.1	5.0	0.13
Cassia siamea	Bar k	10.4	10.3	1.7	1.5	50.4	10.3	5.3	0.12
Casuarina equistifolia	Bar k	10.2	10.2	2.2	1.0	47.1	10.0	5.1	0.12
Pithecellobiu m dulce	Bar k	28.8	27.9	4.2	1.4	39.6	27.3	14.5	0.15

Table 4:- Determination of total phenolics content and astring	gency factor in tannin extract by different methods
--	---



Figure 1:- Tannins phenolics extracts Curve for Protein precipitation.

# **Conclusions:-**

The Complementary analytical technique was shown to be very efficient in the characterization of tannins from plants species. The twelve indigenous and exotic species studied only five contained more than the 10% tannin needed for commercial exploitation. The highest tannin content exotic species, but of limited distribution in Sudan, was *Acacia mearnsii* bark (black wattle) (39.8%) followed by the four indigenous species of *Pithecellobium dulce* bark (28.7%), *Acacia seyalvar.seyal*bark (24.8%), *Acaciaseyal var. fistuala*bark (23.7%), and *Casuarina equistifolia*bark (10.2%) (Table 2). All the tannins species studied contained catechin, but four species were of the mixedhydrolysable-condensed(gallo-catechol) type (*Acacia seyal var. fistuala*, *Acaciaseyal var. seyal*, *Casuarina equistifolia*, and *Pithecellobium dulce*). The benefit of the Complementary analytical technique, related to the conventional extraction systems for polyphenols, had similar yield of polyphenols attained with a lesser solvent feeding and a shorter removal time.

# Acknowledgement:-

The authors are grateful to forest National cooperation, for financial support and national Centre for research for giving the chance to use the resources and facilities of their laboratory.

# **References:-**

- 1. Covington, A.D. Tanning chemistry: the science of leather, The Royal Society of Chemistry, Cambridge, 2009.
- 2. Doat, J. 1978. Les tannins dans les boistropicaux. Bois et Foret des Tropiques 182: 37-54.
- 3. Folin, O. & Denis, W. 1915. A colorimetric method for the determination of phenols (and phenol derivatives) in urine. Journal of Biological Chemistry 22: 305–308.
- 4. Goffer, Z. Archaeological chemistry, Wiley-Interscience, Hoboken, 2007.
- 5. Gülcin, I., Huyut, Z., Elmastak, M., Aboul-Enein, H.Y., 2010. Radical scavenging and antioxidant activity of tannic acid. Arab. J. Chem. 3, 43–53.
- 6. Hagerman, A. E. & Butler, L. G. (1978). Protein precipitation method for the quantitative determination of tannins. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry 26: 808–812.
- 7. Hagerman, A.E., 1998. Tannin Chemistry. http://www.users.muohio. edu/Hagerman/tannins.pdf.
- 8. Harborne, J. B. 1998. A Guide to Modern Techniques of Plant Analysis. 2<sup>nd</sup>edition. Chapman and Hall. London.
- 9. Haslam, E. Vegetable tannage where do the tannins go? J. Soc. Leather Tech. Chem. 81 (1997) 45–51.
- 10. Jamet, A. 2000. Les Tannins. Second Edition. Ecole Franca ise de Tanerie, Paris.
- 11. Judd, W.S., Campbell, C.S., Kellogg, E.A., Stevens, P.F., Donoghue, M.J., 2007. PlantSystematics: A Phylogenetic Approach, 3rd ed. Sinauer Association, Sunderland, MA.

- 12. K. Khanbabaee, T. van Ree, Tannins: classification and definition, Nat. Prod. Rep. 18 (2001) 641-649.
- 13. Krogell, J, Holmbom, B, Pranovich, A. Hemming, JWillför, S. Extraction and chemical characterization of Norway Soruce inner and outer bark. January 2012, Nordic Pulp and Paper Research Journal 27(1):6-17
- 14. Lacoste, Clément, et al. "Bioresourced pine tannin/furanic foams with glyoxal and." Industrial Crops and Products 45 (2013): 401-405.
- 15. Novak, I., Janeiro, P., Seruga, M., Oliveira-Brett, A.M. Ultrasound extracted flavonoids from four varieties of Portuguese red grape skins determined by reverse-phase high-performance liquid chromatography with electrochemical detection, Anal. Chim. Acta 630 (2008) 107–115.
- 16. Pizzi, A. Tannins: Major Sources, Properties and Applications Monomers, Polymers and Composites from Renewable Resources2008, Elsevier, oxford. Pp. 179-199.
- 17. RoffaelE.DixB.Okum J. Use of spruce tannin as a and medium binder in particleboards density fiberboardsHolzRohWerkst.2000, 58, 301-305.
- 18. SLTC. 1965. Official Methods of Analysis. 4th edition. Society of Leather Trade Chemists, Redbourne.
- 19. Stahl, E. 1969. Thin-Layer Chromatography. Springer Verlag, New York.
- 20. Swain, T. & Goldstein, J. L. 1964. Methods of Polyphenol Chemistry. Macmillan, New York.
- 21. Talhouk, R.S., Karam, C., Fostok, S., El-Jouni, W., Barbour, E.K., 2007. Anti-inflammatory bioactivities in plant extracts. Journal of Medicinal Food 10,1–10.
- 22. Thomson, R. Leather, in: E. May, M. Jones (Eds.), Conservation science: heritage materials, The Royal Society of Chemistry, Cambridge, 2006, pp. 92–119.
- 23. Vermerris, W.Nicholson, R. Phenolic compound biochemistry, Springer, Dordrecht, 2006.
- 24. Yazaki, Y. &Hillis, W. E. (1998). Molecular size distribution of radiate pine bark extracts and its effect on properties. Hozforschung 34: 125–130.
- 25. Yazaki, Y., Collins, P.J.wood adhesive based on tannin extracts from bark of and spruce species some pine HolzRoh Werkst.1994, 52, 307-310.