

Journal Homepage: - www.journalijar.com

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF **ADVANCED RESEARCH (IJAR)**

Article DOI: 10.21474/IJAR01/15106 DOI URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.21474/IJAR01/15106

RESEARCH ARTICLE

ASSESSMENT OF THE PSYCHOLOGICAL IMPACT OF THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC ON HEALTH **CARE WORKERS**

Adil EL Ammouri^{1,3}, Imane Agdai^{1,3} Ouissal Radouane^{1,3}, Hana Abrebak^{1,3}, Yassine Rassame^{1,3}, Fatima Zahra Chamsi^{1,3}, Farah Nsabi^{1,3}, Fadela Bousgheiri^{2,3} and Adil Najdi^{2,3}

- 1. Research Team for Mental Health, University Psychiatric Hospital, Tangier, Morocco (CHU-TTA).
- 2. Laboratory of Epidemiology and Public Health.
- 3. Faculty of Medicine and Pharmacy of Tangier (FMPT), Abdelmalek Essaadi University (UAE), Tangier, Morocco.

Manuscript Info

......

Manuscript History Received: 30 May 2022 Final Accepted: 30 June 2022

Published: July 2022

Key words:-

Anxiety, Depression, Burn out Healthcare workers, Covid 19

Abstract

In addition to being a public physicalhealth emergency, Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) affected global mental health as cases increased.

The **Objectives:** thisstudyis determine aim of the psychologicalimpact on mental well-being and associatedriskfactorsamonghealthcareworkers.

Researchmethodology: cross-sectional studyamongMoroccanhealthcareworkerswasconducted measureprevalence rates of symptoms of anxiety, depression, burn out and theirassociated factors, objectified by the Hospital Anxiety and DepressionScale(HADS), Maslach Burnout Inventory(MBI) and a questionnaire, respectively.

Results: Symptoms of anxiety, depression, and emotional exhaustion

werereported by 38.5%, 28.1% and 85.4% of the respondents, respectively. Beingyoung and having psychiatrichistorywereassociatedwith more anxietysymptomatology. Whileworking in the intensive care unit, feeling obliged to work in the circuit, living withfamilywereassociated with depression symptoms. The factorsstatisticallyrelated to burnout wereyears of work, having an organichistory; working in intensive care unit and consideringthat the number of staff isinsufficient, that the communication withtheir colleagues and superiorsisinadequate and that the training theyhadbeforejoining covid was not sufficient

Conclusion: The COVID-19 pandemichad a high impact on the mental well-being of healthcareworkersincreasing the risk of dropout and compromises continuity of care. Efforts must be made to optimize working conditions and reduceworkload.

Copy Right, IJAR, 2022,. All rights reserved.

Corresponding Author: - Adil El Ammouri

Address:- UniversityPsychiatric Hospital. BP 398 Gzenaya, Route de Rabat Km 17 Tangier, Morocco.

Mail:elammouri.adil@gmail.com

Introduction:-

The WHO declared the pandemic covid 19 on March 11, 2020 (WHO, 2021). Sincethen the spread of thisunknown disease has continued to propagate around the world causing a major healthcrisis and quarantining more thanhalf the world's population. The COVID-19 disease has shown high rates of contagion, associated with deadly virulence.

To date, the virus has infected over 500 million people worldwide and killed over 6 million (WHO, 2021).

Since March 2020, Morocco has experiencedthreewaveswith 16,101 deaths (Le Portail Officiel du Coronavirus au Maroc). The large number of infected people and deaths have contributed to considerableemotional stress among the general population (Y. Huang et al, 2020).

During the pandemic, healthcareworkersfacedseveral challenges including: the increase in the number of patients and deathsrelated to the pandemic, the exhaustingworkload (doubledworkhours, postponeddays off and reducedhours of sleep), the lack of ventilators and beds in intensive care units (ICUs), and the shortage of personnel protective equipment (PPE), whichled to emotional and physical exhaustion (Y. Huang et al, 2020; R.Y. Elbay et al 2020). In addition, the increased rate of infection amongmedical staff and the fear of transmitting the infection to their families was another factor that contributed to their exhaustion (M.S. Spoorthy et al, 2020).

These challengesmakehealthcareworkers (HCW) vulnerable to mental healthproblemsincludinganxiety, depression, insomnia (P. Wu, et al, 2009), and post-traumatic stress symptoms (PTSS) (Y. Baiet al, 2004;S.M. Lee et al, 2018).

Few studies in Morocco have addressed the psychological impact of the pandemic on health care workers, thereforeourstudyaimed to determine this impact by assessing the prevalence of depressive and anxious symptomatology, burnout and their associated factors.

It will enableus to betterunderstand in somedepth the impact of this latest pandemic, to put in place recommendations and improve preparation for further pandemics to better safeguard the psychological well being of healthworkers.

Materials And Methods:-

Study design and participants:

This is a cross-sectional, descriptive and analytical study conducted during Mayand June 2020. The participants were health care workers in public hospitals in Morocco (interns, residents, specialists, general practitioners, emergency physicians; nurses and other health care workers).

Data collection

Given the pandemic situation of the country, a face-to-face surveywas not feasible. Data collection wasdonethrough an online questionnaire on Google forms: Participants were encouraged to share links from the online Google formwithother colleagues and to their professional groups.

The questionnaire had four sections:

socio-demographic data (age, gender, marital status...); clinical information (diagnosis of a previousphysical and psychiatric disorder, history of substance use); socio-professional data (professional category, years of work in the health sector, whether the participants have integrated the Covid 19 circuit, their degree of satisfaction regarding communication between themselves and their colleagues and superiors, the number of health personnel, training before integrating the Covid 19 circuit, their perception of the risk of contamination) and psychometric scales (Maslach burn out inventory, Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale)

Maslachburn out inventory MBI

This instrument was first validated by Maslach and Jackson (1981)(C Maslach1981;M do Socorro and al, 2016). In ourstudy,weused the French version of the MBI adaptedwith 22 items(R.Tessier and al 1994), a rating of responsesfrom 0 to 5 on the 3 dimensions and 3 levels of burn-out: low, moderate, severe. The scores are obtained by summing the responses to the items. The threelevels of burnout (low, moderate and high) are defined by specificthresholds: emotional exhaustion (EE) (low BO: score < 18, moderate BO: 18 to 29, high BO: > 29); depersonalisation / loss of empathy (DP) (low BO: < 6, moderate BO: 6 to 11, high BO: > 11); accomplishmentassessment (PA) (high BO: < 34, moderate BO: 34 to 39, low BO: > 39).

In our study we used only the 9 items that concerned emotional exhaustion (EE)

Hospital Anxiety and DepressionScale HADS

For assessing depression and anxiety HADS scale consisted of 14 items divided into two subscales with seven items for depression and seven for anxiety. Each item is rated on a four-point Likert scale (0–3) with total scores of depression and anxiety subscaleranging from 0 to 21 individually. The depression and anxiety being classified as normal (0–7), borderline abnormal (8–10), and abnormal (11–21). (Spinhoven et al 1997; D white et al, 1999)

A. Analysis

Data analysiswasperformedusing SPSS version 25. The descriptive analysis included all variables of interest (socio-demographic and professional characteristics) in the total sample (percentages, means, and standard deviation).

For univariateanalysis, the chi-square test wasused to compare the percentages of variables. We also used an independent samples t-test to compare the means. For the variables that were not normally distributed (burnout score for the disturbed sleep variable) a non-parametric statistical analysis, the "Mann Whitney U test", was adopted.

A multivariateanalysiswasalso run, using the multiple linearregression to adjust on confounding factors (i.e., gender, age, living, work unit, risk of contamination, psychiatric and organic history, length of service, sleep disorder, work in the Covid19 circuit, voluntary participation) on depression, anxiety and burnout scores. All factors with a p<0.2 were included in the model as well as the variables of interest. The total depression, anxiety and burnout scalewereused as dependent variables.

B. Ethics

Our studyrespected the principles of informed consent and the guarantee of anonymity and confidentiality of responses via an anonymous questionnaire. The objectives of the researchwereexplained to the participants.

II. RESULTS:

A. Sociodemographic and professionalfindings

A total of 96 healthcareworkersparticipated in the studywith the meanage of 36 ± 10 . Ratio of females (67,7%) washigherthan males (32,3%).

Married people were predominant (54.2%). The dominant professional category was special is thysicians (46,9%), interns (24%), resident physicians (8,3%), general practitioners (7,3%), nurses (5,2%) followed by emergency physicians (2,1%).

A total of 50.8% of healthprofessionalshad a length of service of more than 10 years. 62.5% worked in the covid 19 circuit: 18% in a hospitalward, 13% in triage, 11% in the emergency department, 9% in the intensive care unit, followed by 5% in non-hospital structures.

More thanhalf of the healthprofessionalswhoworked in the covid 19 circuit (34.4%) feltobliged to work in the covid circuit. 38.6% judged the number of staff per team to beinsufficient. 50% found the risk of contamination to be maximum. 38.4% feltthat the training theyreceivedbeforejoining the covid circuit was not satisfaying. 53.28% were satisfied with the communication with their colleagues and superiors. 66.3% have a disturbed sleep. (Table 1)

Variables	n (%)
Gender	` '
Male	31 (32,3)
Female	65 (67,6)
Age	
< 40 yearsold	62 (64,6)
> 40 yearsold	34 (35,4)
Marital status	
Single	41(42,7)
Married	52 (54,2)
Divorced	3 (3,1)
Do you live alone?	
Yes	57 (58,7)
No	39 (41,3)
Professional category	
SpecialistPhysicians	45 (46.9)
Interns	23 (24)
ResidentPhysicians	8 (8,3)
General Practitioners	7 (7,3)
Nurses	5 (5,2)
Emergency physicians	2 (2,1)
Length of service	
< 10 years	51 (53,1)
> 10 years	45 (46,9)
Psychiatrichistory	
No history	80 (83)
Depression	10 (10)
Anxiety	4 (4)
Substance use	
No	89 (92,7)
Tabac	1(1)
Alcohol	1 (2,1)
Heroine	1 (1)
Organichistory	
Yes	77 (80,2)
No	19 (19,8)
Didyouwork in the Covid 19 circuit ?	
Yes	60 (62,5)
No	36 (37,5)
Work Unit	
Hospitalization Service	18(18,8)
Triage	13 (13,5)
Emergency	11 (11,5)
Intensive care	9 (9,4)
Non-hospital structure	5 (5,2)
Didyoufeelobliged to work in the covid 19 circuit?	
Yes	33 (34,4)
No	27 (28,8)
How do youjudge the number of healthprofessionals per team?	
Sufficient	31 (32,3)
Insufficient	27 (28,1)
How do youjudge the risk of contamination?	
Important	30 (31,3)
Medium	21 (21,9)
Low	9 (9,4)
How wouldyou rate the quality of the training youreceivedbeforejoining the covid 19 circuit?	
Good	14 (14,6) 854
Average	23 (24)

Insufficient	23 (24)
How wouldyou rate communication withyour colleagues and superiors during the pandemic?	
Good	32 (33,3)
Average	20 (20,8)
Poor	8 (8,3)

Table 1:- Sociodemographic and professional characteristics of the healthworkers' sample.

Anxious and depressivesymptoms:

The significant HADS scores for anxiety and depression are given in Table 2.

Our results indicate significant scores for anxiety (38.5%) and depression (28.1%). The comorbidity of anxiety and depression is stimulated at 22.92%. This indicates a significant threat to the mental health of health care workers (Table 2).

Table 2:- Anxious and depressivesymptomatologyaccording to HADS and emotional exhaustion according to MBI.

	Depression	Anxiety
	n (%)	n(%)
Normal	33 (34,4)	39 (40,6)
Borderline	36 (37,5)	20 (20,8)
abnormal		
Abnormal	27 (28,1)	37 (38,5)
Emotionalexaustio	n	
n (%)		
Low degree		14(17,1)
Moderatedegree	26 (31,7)	
High degree	42 (51,2)	

Weanalyzed the influence of factors such as gender, age group, marital status, professional categories, integration of the covid circuit, different work units, in the appearance of symptoms of depression and anxiety.

The data indicate that age favors the appearance of anxiety symptoms in medical staff (p < 0.02). It is observed that health professionals below for type around the anxiety symptomatology than those who have more than for type around (Table 3).

Table 3:- Association betweendepression, anxiety, emotional exaustion and different variables.

Variables	Depressio	n	Anxiety		Emotiona	lexaustion
	Mean	p	Mean	p	Mean	p
Gender		< 0,58		< 0.079		< 0.089
Male	8.25		8.12		23.77	
Female	8.75		9.75		29.86	
Age		< 0.056		< 0.02		< 0.01
< 40 yearsold	9.19		9.96		31.04	
> 40 yearsold	7.5		7.88		22.14	
Marital status		< 0.44		< 0.93		< 0.2
Single	9.19		9.41		31.36	
Married	8.19		9.09		25.32	
Divorced	7.33		9		25	
Do you live alone?		< 0.016		< 0.095		< 0.18
Yes	9.82		10.10		30.59	
No	7.75		8.63		26.05	
Professional		< 0.73		< 0.83		< 0.48
categorySpecialist	10.2		11		34.4	
Physicians	9.04		8.6		23.91	
Interns	7.85		9.71		24.85	
Resident	9.87		9		31.87	
Physicians	8.35		9.26		28.35	
General	8.5		12.5		46.5	

Practitioners		<0.06				
	0.22	<0.06	10.17	.0.10	31.11	.004
Nurses	9.33			< 0.19		< 0.04
Emergency	7.75		8.15		24.24	
physiciansLength of		< 0.33				
service	8.32		8.77	< 0.018	26.02	< 0.012
< 10 years	10.3		11		39.6	
> 10 years	8		13		36.5	
Psychiatrichistory		< 0.59				
No history	8.58	(0.5)	9.09	< 0.67	27.62	< 0.58
	8		15	< 0.07	50	< 0.50
Depression						
Anxiety	5		10		21.5	
Substance use No	9		11		42	
Tabac		< 0.25				
Alcohol	9.57		9.01	< 0.31	26.19	< 0.04
Heroine	8.35		10.10		34.79	
Organichistory Yes		< 0.15				
- g	9.06		9.46	< 0.48	29.03	< 0.38
	7.8		8.83	V 0.10	26	V 0.50
No	7.0	< 0.001	0.03		20	
Didyouwork in the Covid	8.66	< 0.001	8.72	< 0.14	25.33	< 0.001
			8.72 9.84	< 0.14		< 0.001
19 circuit ?	9.84				31.76	
Yes	9.54		10.09		34	
No	12.33		11.55		38.33	
Work Unit	1.8		5		0.6	
Hospitalization						
Service		< 0.004				
Triage	10.48		9	< 0.58	24.74	< 0.12
Emergency	7.33		9.84	(0.50	32.54	\ 0.12
	7.33		7.04		32.34	
Non-hospital	0.02	0.2	0.00		22.04	
structure	9.03	< 0.3	8.93		23.06	
Didyoufeelobliged to work	9.22		10.22	< 0.35	35.85	< 0.008
in the covid 19 circuit?						
Yes						
No	11.11	< 0.437	10.66		33.83	
How do youjudge the	8.79		9.27	< 0.22	27.82.	< 0.3
number of	8.23		8.23	V0.22	25.07	V 0.5
	0.23		0.23		23.07	
1						
team?						
Sufficient						
Insufficient How		< 0.01			21.21	
do youjudge the risk of	6.86		7.79	< 0.003	25.26	< 0.004
contamination?	8.48		8.35		37.57	
Important	11		11.61			
Medium						
Low						
How wouldyou rate the		< 0.012				
quality of the training	7.72	V.VI#	8.54		23.28	
				<0.49		< 0.000
youreceivedbeforejoining	9.95		9.75	<0.49	34.10	< 0.009
the covid 19 circuit?	12.25		9.84		39.38	
Good						
Average						
Insufficient						
How would you						
rate						
communication						
withyourcolleagues and						
superiorsduring the						

pandemic?			
Good			
Average			
Poor			

Psychiatrichistoryisalsorelated to anxietysymptomatology p<0.018. Indeed, people with a psychiatrichistory have more anxietysymptomatology.

The factors associated with depressive experiences are mainly the work unit, in fact, people working in intensive care had a higher average depression than other personnel working in other units (p<0.001)

Otherfactors are statistically associated with depressive symtomatology such as feeling obliged to work in the covid circuit (p<0.004), living with family (p<0.016). People who judged communication with their colleagues and superiors in the covid circuit as unsatisfying also had more depressive symptomatology (p<0.012)

Emotional exhaustion

Analysis of the Maslachsubscale: emotional exhaustionrevealedthat the majority (85.4%) of participants were in burnout. The severelevel of burnout wasfoundin 42 (43.8%) of the cases, the moderatelevelin 26 (27.1%) of the cases and the lowlevelin 14 (14.6%) of the cases.

Among the socio-demographic and professional factors, the factors statistically related to burnout were years of work (<0.023): health personnel with fewer years of workhad a higher average burnout; psychiatric (p<0.012) and organic (p<0.04) history; work unit (p<0.001): intensive care personnel had more burnout compared to other units.

Thosewhoconsiderthat the number of staff is insufficient (p<0.008), and that the communication with their colleagues and superiors is inadequate (p<0.009) and that the training they had before joining covid was not sufficient (p<0.004) have a higher average burnout than the other health careworkers (Table 3)

Table 4:- Comparisonbetween the results of the univariate and multivariate analysis.

Variables	Depression		Anxiety	·	Emotionalexaustion	
	Univariatean	Multivariatea	Univariatean	Multivariatea	Univariatean	Multivariatea
	alysis p	nalysis p	alysis p	nalysis p	alysis p	nalysis p
Depression	-	-	<0,001	<0,001	Non sig	0,001
Anxiety	<0,001	<0,001	-	-	Non sig	Non sig
Emotionalexausti	Non sig	Non sig	<0,001	Non sig	-	-
on	_	_				
Age	Non sig	Non sig	<0,008	Non sig	Non sig	Non sig
Psychiatrichistory	Non sig	Non sig	<0,018	Non sig	<0,012	Non sig
Organichistory	<0,04	Non sig	Non sig	Non sig	<0,04	Non sig
Didyoufeeloblige	<0,004	<0,001	Non sig	Non sig	Non sig	Non sig
d to work in the						
covid 19 circuit?						
Work unit	<0,001	<0,01	Non sig	Non sig	<0,001	Non sig
Do you live alone?	<0,016	Non sig	Non sig	Non sig	Non sig	Non sig
How wouldyou	<0,012	Non sig	Non sig	Non sig	<0,009	Non sig
rate						
communication						
withyourcolleagu						
es and						
superiorsduring						
the pandemic?						
How do youjudge	Non sig	Non sig	Non sig	Non sig	Non sig	Non sig
the risk of						
contamination?	0.04		0.002		0.004	
How wouldyou	<0,01	Non sig	<0,003	Non sig	<0,004	Non sig
rate the quality of						
the training						

youreceivedbefor ejoining the covid						
19 circuit? Length of service	Non sig	<0.035	Non sig	Non sig	<0.023	Non sig
How do youjudge	U	Non sig	Non sig	Non sig	<0,008	<0,045
the number of						
healthprofessiona						
ls per team?						

Discussion:-

The currentstudy'sconcern about depression, anxiety and burnout amonghealth care workers. Wefoundthat 38.5% of health care workersexperiencedanxiety, whichis consistent with the results of a study in Pakistan whichshowed an anxiety rate of 50.4% (I.Ullah et al, 2022). Anotherstudyfound an anxiety rate of 41.8% (C.PierreMboua et al, 2021) amonghealth care workers. Our studyshowedthatthere is a statistically significant relationship between anxiety and psychiatrichistory (p<0.018); in fact, people with a psychiatrichistory have a higheraveragean xiety symptomatology than people without a history; this is in line with the results of a study in China which shows that current medical disease (including psychiatric disorders and substance abuse), and a past medical history (including psychiatric history and substance abuse) were associated with increased risk of depression and/or anxiety (Wang, C. et al, 2020).

With regard to depression, our results show a prevalence of 28.1%. A review of the literature conducted on 10 studies showed pooled prevalence of depression of 22.8% amonghealth care workers (S.Pappa et al, 2020). Another review of literature in China showed a prevalence of depression amonghealth careworkers ranging from 4% to 82% depending on the timing of the study in relation to the peak; with a pooled prevalence of 31% (Yong yan et al, 2021)

Among the factorsstatistically associated with depression we find the fact of living with family (p<0,016), contrary to what we find in the studies where living alone and being single exposes more to the risk of depression because of the absence of family support (I.Ullah et al, 2022; M.Alegría et al, 2022; Emily Zhang et al, 2020). In fact; our results can be explained by the fear of contaminating their families which aggravates their symptomatology.

Another factor was also associated with depressive symptomatology: training before joining the circuit, which was unsatisfying (p<0.010). The lack of training worsened the climate of anxiety; in fact, it added to several stress fulfactors - such as the lack of protective equipment, the workload, the reduced teams, the fear of contaminating their relatives - the feeling of insecurity and incompetence in the face of the patient (W.El-Hage et al, 2020).

We note the presencein 22.92% of our population of a comorbidity of depression and anxiety. Depression and anxiety are two pathologies with points in common in theirphysiopathology, in fact, the disturbances of the neurotransmission of serotonin and norepinephrine are bothimplicated in anxiety and depression, and the changes in one system are reflected in the other (D.S. Baldwin et al, 2002). The association betweenanxiety and depressionisveryfrequentaccording to severalstudies (V. Lenzo et al, 2021;S.Motahedi et al, 2021). This association is a factor of severity, chronicity and poorresponse to treatment, as well as an increasedrisk of suicide. (J.M. VANELLE, 2005)

Out of the 96 responsesrecovered, 82 cases, or 85.4% of ourrespondentswereaffected by burnout, thisis in line with the results of a studyconducted in Morocco whichshowed a burnout rate of 84.44% amonghealthprofessionalsworking in health monitoring units (R.L.Kapasa et al, 2021). In ourstudy, the severelevel of burn-out wasfoundin 42 (43.8%) of the cases, the moderatelevel of burn-out in 26 (27.1%) of the cases and the lowlevelin 14 (14.6%) of the cases. This clearlyindicates the importance of the psychological impact of the pandemicamong thehealthprofessionalswhoparticipated in ourstudy.

Furthermore, the majority of professionals (64.6%) in oursamplewereunder 40 years of age. Our results show thathealthprofessionalsunder 40 years of age have a higher rate of burnout thanthose over 40 years of age (p<0.01), they also show that people with more years of work in the health sector have less burnout (p<0.023). Studies support this result and show that younger and therefore less experienced people have more burn out (Kamal AH et al, 2022)

Anotherstatistically significant factor in burnout waswork unit (p<0.001); indeed, healthcareworkers working in the ICU had more burnout than otherworkers in other units. This may be explained by the fact that this category is more in contact with deaths, had to work under extremely stress fulcircumstances and takedramatic decisions, including how to provide care to several seriously ill patients with constrained resources.

Preventivemeasures are specifically recommended for front-line professionals to reduce the double psychological and professional burden, in terms of listening, psychological and psychiatric support, valuing the efforts made and multiform motivation. Sports rooms, meditation rooms and group therapy for discussion and expression with preventive and therapeuticaims should be made available to them. The establishment of a psychological support system is necessary.

The presentstudy has some limitations. First, given the particularity of the pandemic, itwasdifficult to collect data through a face-to-face meeting, soweused online questionnaires. Hence, the sample size wassmall and thismay lead to a negativebias. In addition, it possible that people with more psychological problems who had participated in the study, thus they might not be representative of the study population. Finally, the type of study, which is cross sectional, does not allow a cause-and-effect relationship to be established and does not enable for long-term follow up of participants.

Conclusion:-

In conclusion, the studyhighlighted the high prevalence rates of depression, anxiety and burn out amongHCWs and findingsshowedthatbeingyoung, having a psychiatrichistory, working in the ICU, feeling obliged to work in the covid circuit, living withfamily, consideringthat the number of staff isinsufficient, that the communication withcolleagues and superiorsisinadequate and that the training beforejoining covid was not sufficientwereriskfactors and have an inevitableemotional impact on HCWs. The mostimportant recommendationwouldbeawarenessamongHCWsregarding use of personal protective equipment and infectivity of the virus. Mental health courses and workshops shouldbecarried out amongst the HCW for helpingthem to cope up withtheir mental exhaustion

References:-

- 1. C Maslach, SE Jackson, The measurement of experienced burnout, Journal of organizational behavior, 1981, Volume 2, Issue 2, Pages 99-113
- Célestin Pierre Mboua, François Roger, Sylvain Gautier. Anxiété et dépression associées à la prise en charge de la COVID-19 chez les personnels de santé au Cameroun. L'Évolution Psychiatrique. Volume 86, Issue 1, March 2021, Pages 131-139.
- 3. D.S. Baldwin, D.L. Evans, R. Hirschfeld and S. Kasper. Can wedistinguishanxietyfromdepression? Psychopharmacol. Bull., 36 (2002), pp. 158-165
- 4. David white. chrisleach, ruthsims, michelleatkinson and davidcottrell. Validation of the Hospital Anxiety and DepressionScale for use with adolescents. British journal of psychiatry (190). 175, 452-454
- 5. Emily Zhang Ga Tin Fifi Wong SunahHyunHyeouk —Chrisl Hahm. Factorsassociatedwithdepression, anxiety, and PTSD symptomatologyduring the COVID-19 pandemic: Clinical implications for U.S. youngadult mental health. PsychiatryResearch 290(2020) 113172
- IrfanUllah, Kiran Shafiq KhanIftikharAlieArslanRahatUllahSoniaMukhtarRenato de FilippisNajma Iqbal MalikiMohammadrezaShalbafanZairHassanMuhammadSohaibAsghar.Depression and anxietyamongPakistanihealthcareworkersamid COVID-19 pandemic: A qualitative study., Annals of Medicine and Surgery.Volume 78, June 2022, 103863
- J.-M. VANELLE. Comorbidité, dépression et anxiété : les liaisons dangereuses L'Encéphale, 2005 ; 31 : 34-6, cahier 3
- 8. Kamal AH, Bull JH, Wolf SP, et al. Prevalence and predictors of burn-out among hospice and palliative care clinicians in the U.S. J PainSymptom Manage 2020;59:6—13.
- 9. M.S. Spoorthy, S.K. Pratapa, S. Mahant, Mental healthproblemsfaced by healthcareworkers due to the COVID-19 pandemic–A review [Internet], Asian J Psychiatr 51 (2020 Jun), 102119. Available from: https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1876201820302306.
- 10. Margarita Alegría Mario Cruz ShaheenO'MalleyaKiaraAlvareza Gabriela LivasSteindLarimarFuentesa and al. Role of social determinants in anxiety and depressionsymptomsduring COVID-19: A longitudinal study of adults in North Carolina and Massachusetts..BehaviourResearch and Therapy. Volume 154, July 2022, 104102
- 11. Maria do Socorro da Silva Valente, Cláudia S. Lopes, Maria Pastor-Valero, Paulo Rossi Menezes, Psychosocial Work Conditions and Burnout AmongBrazilian Bank Employees: A Cross-Sectional Study, The Annals of OccupationalHygiene, Volume 60, Issue 5, June 2016, Pages 567–580

- 12. Ministère de la santé et de la protection sociale. Le Portail Officiel du Coronavirus au Maroc. Situation Covid 19. http://www.covidmaroc.ma/Pages/SituationCovid.aspx
- 13. P. Wu, et al., The psychological impact of the SARS epidemic on hospitalemployees in China: exposure, risk perception, and altruistic acceptance of risk, Can. J. Psychiatr. 54 (5) (2009)302–311
- 14. R.L.Kapasa, A.Hannoun, S.Rachidi, M.K.Ilunga, S.E.Toiramb, C.Tadya M.T.Diamou, M.Khalisa. Évaluation du burn-out chez les professionnels de santé des unités de veille sanitaire COVID-19 au Maroc. Archives des Maladies Professionnelles et de l'Environnement. Volume 82, Issue 5, October 2021, Pages 524-534
- 15. R.Y. Elbay, A. Kurtulmus, S. Arpacio glu, E. Karadere, Depression, anxiety, stress levels of physicians and associated factors in Covid-19 pandemics [Internet], Psychiatr. Res. 290 (2020 Aug), 113130. Available from: https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0165178120312038.
- 16. Rejean Tessier, Guylaine Dion, Validation de la traduction de l'Inventaire d'épuisement professionnel de Maslach et Jackson. / Validation of a French translation of the Maslach Burnout Inventory (MBI), April 1994, Canadian Journal of Behavioural Science/Revue canadienne des sciences du comportement 26(2):210-227
- 17. S.M. Lee, et al., Psychological impact of the 2015 MERS outbreak on hospitalworkers and quarantinedhemodialysis patients, Compr. Psychiatr. 87 (2018) 123–127
- 18. SaeidehMotahedi, NasrinFadaeAghdam, MahboobehKhajeh, RobabeBaha, RoqayehAliyari, Hossein Bagheri, Abbas mardani. Anxiety and depressionamonghealthcareworkersduring COVID-19 pandemic: A cross-sectional study, Heliyon 7 2021
- 19. Sofia Pappa, VasilikiNtellac, TimoleonGiannakasc, Vassilis G. Giannakoulisc, Eleni Papoutsic, ParaskeviKatsaounou. Prevalence of depression, anxiety, and insomniaamonghealthcareworkersduring the COVID-19 pandemic: A systematicreview and meta-analysis. Brain, Behavior, and Immunity 2020
- 20. Spinhoven, j. ormel, sloekers, m. kempen, m. speckens, van hemert. A validation study of the Hospital Anxiety and DepressionScale (HADS) in different groups of Dutch subjects, PsychologicalMedicine, 1997, 27, 363–370. 1997 Cambridge UniversityPress
- 21. V. Lenzo, M.C. Quattropani, A. Sardella, G. Martino and G.A. Bonanno Front. Depression, anxiety, and stress amonghealthcareworkersduring the COVID-19 outbreak and relationshipswith expressive flexibility and contextsensitivity. Psychol., 12 (2021), p. 348
- 22. W.El-Hage, C.Hingray, C.Lemognedand al. Les professionnels de santé face à la pandémie de la maladie à coronavirus (COVID-19) : quels risques pour leur santé mentale ?. L'Encéphale Volume 46, Issue 3, Supplement, June 2020, Pages S73-S80
- 23. Wang, C. et al.,. A longitudinal study on the mental health of general population during the COVID-19 epidemic in China. Brain. Behav. Immun. 2020https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbi.2020.04.028
- 24. WHO (World Health Organsiation) 2021. WHO Coronavirus (COVID-19)
 Dashboard. WHO
 - Coronavirus(COVID-19) Dashboard With Vaccination Data. Available at: https://covid19.who.int
- 25. Y. Bai, et al., Survey of stress reactionsamonghealth care workersinvolvedwith the SARS outbreak, Psychiatr. Serv. 55 (9) (2004) 1055–1057.
- 26. Y. Huang, N. Zhao, Generalizedanxietydisorder, depressivesymptoms and sleepqualityduring COVID-19 outbreak in China: a web-based cross-sectional survey [Internet], Psychiatr. Res. 288 (2020 Jun), 112954. Availablefrom: https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0165178120306077
- 27. Yongyan Deng Yifang Chen Bin ZhangLi et al. Differentprevalence trend of depression and anxietyamonghealthcareworkers and general public before and after the peak of COVID-19 occurred in China: A metaanalysis. Asian Journal of Psychiatry 56 (2021).