

# **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

#### In-Vitro Antimicrobial Screening of Boswellia serrata

#### Mahima Sharma<sup>1</sup>, Anita Jain<sup>2</sup> and Sushil Kumar Barolia<sup>3</sup>

- 1. Pacific Academy of Higher Education and Research University, Udaipur, Rajasthan
- 2. Department of Botany, Vidya Bhawan Rural Institute, Udaipur. Rajasthan
- 3. Cytogenetic & Endocrinology Research laboratory Department of Zoology, College of Science, M. L. Sukhadia University, Udaipur, Rajasthan

#### Manuscript Info

# Manuscript History

Received: 15 June 2016 Final Accepted: 16 July 2016 Published: August 2016

#### Key words:-

Antimicrobial screening, *Boswellia serrata*, Phytochemical, Aravalli, Rajasthan.

#### Abstract

..... The present study shows *in vitro* antimicrobial screening of leaves, bark and fruits of Boswellia serrata Roxb. ex Cocls. which is collected from southern Aravalli hills of Rajasthan. To prepare crude drugs extraction was done successively and separately in four different solvents i.e. petroleum ether, chloroform, ethyl acetate and methanol. The antibacterial and antifungal activity of all the extracts tested by agarwell diffusion assay. All extracts displayed varied level of antimicrobial activity. Methanolic extract of leaves was found to be most active against Streptococcous pneumoniae (18  $\pm$  0.00) and Escherichia coli (16.33±0.57) while in bark, ethyl acetate extract showed more antimicrobial activity against Klebsilla pneumoniae  $(15.66 \pm 0.57)$  and *Staphylococcus aureus*  $(15 \pm 0.0)$  and among the four extracts of fruit ethyl acetate extract was found to be more active against all tested organisms, specifically Escherichia coli (16 ± 0.0) and *Streptococcous pneumoniae* ((15.33  $\pm$  0.57). Methanolic and ethyl acetate extract of all parts also shows good antifungal activity against A. niger with a zone of inhibition 20 to 22 mm. In C. albicans ethyl acetate extract of leaves found to be most active with a zone of inhibition  $11 \pm 0.0$  mm. Phytochemical screening showed the presence of phytochemicals such as tannins, phenols, steroids, flavonoids, and sapponins. The results obtained in this study confirm the antimicrobial potential of this plant and its usefulness in the treatment of diseases that may be caused microrganisms.

Copy Right, IJAR, 2016,. All rights reserved

#### Introduction

*Boswellia serrata* belonging to family Burseraceae, is commonly known as '*Salai*' or 'Indian frankincense'. It is also known by different names such as '*Shallaki*' in 'Sanskrit', 'Kundur' in 'Unani', '*Olibanum indicum*', in 'latin etc. It is found in West Asia, Oman, Yemen, South Africa, Southern Arabia and many parts of India and then extends into Pakistan through Punjab region. *B. serrata* is medium to large-sized, a balsimerous and deciduous tree. The tree, on injury, exudates an oleo-gum resin known as *salai guggul*.

.....

#### **Corresponding Author:- Mahima Sharma**

Address:- Pacific Academy of Higher Education and Research University, Udaipur, Rajasthan

*B. serrata* is an important part of Indian Ayurveda and Unani traditional systems of medicine. Every part of the tree **bark, Leaves, Gum, Resin, Oleo resin,** young drupe, fruits possesses medicinal potential and indigenous communities use it for treatment of various human and animal ailments like joint pain, muscular pain and rheumatism (Pawar and Patil, 2006; Jain et al., 2011), cough and stomachache (Jagtap et al., 2008), General weakness- (Reddy et al., 2010), relief from sciatica, menorrhegia (Bhogaonkar and Kadam, 2006), conjunctivitis (Patil and Bhaskar, 2006), eye infection (Jain et al., 2010), skin to cure burns (Jagtap et al., 2008), tuberculosis - (Patil and Bhaskar, 2006), towards off germs & mosquito repellent (Jagtap et al., 2008; Snajeev and Sasidharan, 1997), scorpion sting, antiseptic, heal wound (Reddy et al., 2010; Meena and Yadav, 2011), arthritis (Bhogaonkar et al., 2010), etc. Bark is reported to cure animals ailments like arthritis, indigestion, windiness and flatulence (Galav et al., 2005). Its anti-inflammatory, anti-arthritis, analgesic and anti-oxidant activities have also been reported (Ammon et al., 1993; Kimmatkar et al., 2003; Sharma et al., 2010; Singh et al., 2012). This plant contains flavonoids, alkaloids, tannin, steroids saponins, glycosides and terpenoids (Aman et al., 2010; Zeeyauddin et al., 2011).

Many of its folk claims had also been scientifically validated in various parts of world (Sultana et al., 2013). Antibacterial and antifungal screening of oleogum -resin of *Boswellia serrata was* studied by many workers (Kumar et al., 2006; Camarda et al., 2007; Rajendra et al., 2013) while Aman et al. (2010), studied antibacterial activity of leaves and flower. Kudle et al. (2013), synthesized silver nanoparticles from the flower extract of *B. serrata* and done its antimicrobial screening. Many researchers had studied antimicrobial activity of oleo-gum resin of *B. serrata* but still very, less work has been done on the antimicrobial activities of other parts of plant like bark, leaves and fruits, which are used to cure many infectious diseases in traditional system of medicine. To prove the validity of traditional medicine the present work has been undertaken to evaluate the antimicrobial screening of leaves, bark and fruits of *Boswellia serrata* against the array of human pathogen.

## **Materials and Methods**

#### **Collection of Plant material**

The plant materials were collected from the Southern Aravalli hills of Rajasthan. The plant was identified from its morphological features as mentioned in different standard text and flora (Hooker, 1872-1897; Singh et al., 1991). The voucher specimen has been deposited at VBRI, Udaipur for further reference.

#### **Preparation of Extracts**

All parts of the plants were washed, shade dried, powdered by using a pulverizor. The coarse powder (100gm of each) was subjected to successive extraction with organic solvents such as petroleum ether, chloroform, ethyl acetate and methanol by Soxhlet method for 12 hrs. The extract were filtered and filtrate was concentrated to dryness under reduced pressure in rotary vacuum evaporator and stored at 4°C.

To make stock solution of 100mg/ml of each extract (crude drug) the appropriate amount is weighed and dissolved in DMSO. The stock solution was passed through 0.2µm pyrogesic filter to sterilize the solution and further concentrations of 50 mg/ml, 25 mg/ml and 12.5 mg/ml was made by diluting with DMSO.

#### Test Microorganism

The pathological strains of test organism *i.e. Escherichia coli* (MTCC 118), *Staphylococcus aureus* (MTCC 96), *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (MTCC 39) *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (MTCC 424) and *Streptococcous pneumoniae* (MTCC \*655), *Aspergillus niger* (MTCC 281) and *Candida albicans* (MTCC 183) were obtained from MTCC, Chandigarh, India and again identified by standard methods of identification (Collee et al., 1996).

# Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing

# Well Diffusion Method

The *in vitro* antimicrobial activity was determined by the agar well diffusion method (Guven et al., 2006). Cell suspensions containing  $10^6$  CFU/ml cells for bacteria and yeasts and  $10^5$ spore/ml of fungi were prepared and  $100\mu$ l was evenly spread on the surface of the nutrient agar for bacteria and Sabouraud dextrose agar medium for yeasts and fungi using glass spreader. The wells of 6 mm diameter were made at equidistant.  $100\mu$ l volumes of crude extract of each concentration were dispensed into wells, the plate were incubated at  $37^\circ$  C for 24 hrs for bacterial

strains, 48 hrs for yeasts and 72 hrs for fungi at  $28^{\circ}$  C. The zone of inhibition was measured. As reference antibiotic Meropanum (5µg/ml) was used against all the tested bacteria and Amphoteracin-B (30 µg/ml) for yeast and fungi.

#### **Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC)**

The estimation of MIC of the crude extracts was carried out using the method of agar well diffusion (Mohana et al., 2008; Bais et al., 2013) with some modification. Approximate amount of extract was taken from the solution of the crude drug sample (12.5mg/ml) with DMSO and diluted it serially (1:1) with DMSO to the concentration of 0.012mg/ml. As a result, a series of the sample solution in decreasing concentration was obtained by a ratio of 0.5 (final concentration: from 6.25mg/ml to 0.012mg/ml). In this method the least concentration of each extract showing a clear zone of inhibition was taken as the MIC. The MIC value was defined as the lowest concentration to inhibit visible growth of microbes.

#### **Preliminary Phytochemical Screening**

All the extracts of leaves, bark and fruits of *Boswellia serrata* were screened for various phytochemicals such as tannins, alkaloids, phenols, steroids, flavonoids, and saponins using standard methodology (Panday and Tripathi, 2014).

# Table 1. Showing zone of inhibition of different extracts of Boswellia serrata against bacteria

Name of	Cons.		B. serrata										
Organism	Mg/ml		Leaf Extracts     Bark Extracts						Fruit Extracts				
		PE	Chlo	EA	Meoh	PE	Chlo	EA	Meoh	PE	Chlo	EA	Meoh
E. coli	100	-	-	$13 \pm 0.0$	16.33±0.57	-	-	$13 \pm 0.0$	$12 \pm 0.0$	$12 \pm 0.0$	14.66±0.57	$16 \pm 0.0$	$13 \pm 0.0$
	50	-	-	12.33±0.57	$14 \pm 0.0$	-	-	$12 \pm 0.0$	11.33±0.57	$11 \pm 0.0$	$14\pm0.0$	$14 \pm 0.0$	$12 \pm 0.0$
	25	-	-	11.33±0.57	$12 \pm 0.0$	-	-	$11 \pm 0.0$	10.33±0.57	-	$13 \pm 0.0$	11.33±0.57	11.66±0.57
	12.5	-	-	10.66±0.57	$11 \pm 0.0$	-	-	10.33±0.57	$10 \pm 0.0$	-	10.33±0.57	10.66±0.57	10.33±0.57
	6.25			10.33±0.57				$10 \pm 0.0$				$10 \pm 0.0$	
	3.125			$10 \pm 0.0$				-				-	
	1.562			-				-				-	
	0.781			-				-				-	
	100	$12 \pm 0.0$	$11 \pm 0.0$	$13 \pm 0.0$	12.66±0.57	13.66±0.57	12.33±0.57	15.66±0.57	12.33±0.57	11.33±0.57	10.33±0.57	$11 \pm 0.0$	$13 \pm 0.0$
	50	11.66±0.57	$10 \pm 0.0$	$12 \pm 0.0$	$12 \pm 0.0$	$13 \pm 0.0$	$12 \pm 0.0$	14.33±0.57	11.66±0.57	$11 \pm 0.0$	$10 \pm 0.0$	10.33±0.57	12.33±0.57
	25	10.66±0.57	-	11.33±0.57	$11 \pm 0.0$	$12 \pm 0.0$	$11 \pm 0.0$	$13 \pm 0.0$	$11 \pm 0.0$	$10 \pm 0.0$	-	$10 \pm 0.0$	$12 \pm 0.0$
	12.5	$10 \pm 0.0$	-	$11 \pm 0.0$	$10 \pm 0.0$	$11 \pm 0.0$	$10 \pm 0.0$	$12 \pm 0.0$	$10 \pm 0.0$	-	-	-	$11 \pm 0.0$
Кр	6.25			10.33±0.57				11.66±0.57				-	
	3.125			$10 \pm 0.0$				$11 \pm 0.0$				-	
	1.562			-				$10 \pm 0.0$				-	
	0.781			-				-				-	
	100	10.66±0.57	-	$15 \pm 0.0$	$12 \pm 0.0$	12.33±0.57	$11 \pm 0.0$	$15 \pm 0.0$	$13 \pm 0.0$	11.66±0.57	11.66±0.57	13.66±0.57	$13 \pm 0.0$
	50	$10 \pm 0.0$	-	13.33±0.57	$11 \pm 0.0$	$11 \pm 0.0$	$10 \pm 0.0$	13.33±0.57	11.66±0.57	$11 \pm 0.0$	$11 \pm 0.0$	12.33±0.57	11.33±0.57
Sa	25	-	-	$12 \pm 0.0$	$10 \pm 0.0$	10.33±0.57	-	12.66±0.57	$11 \pm 0.0$	10.33±0.57	$10 \pm 0.0$	$12 \pm 0.0$	10.33±0.57
	12.5	-	-	11.33±0.57	-	$10\pm0.0$	-	$12 \pm 0.0$	$10 \pm 0.0$	$10 \pm 0.0$	-	$11 \pm 0.0$	$10 \pm 0.0$
	6.25			$11 \pm 0.0$				$11 \pm 0.0$				$10 \pm 0.0$	
	3.125			10.33±0.57				$10 \pm 0.0$				-	
	1.562			$10\pm0.0$				-				-	
	0.781			-				-				-	
	100	-	-	$14 \pm 0.0$	$12 \pm 0.0$	-	12±0.0	$13 \pm 0.0$	12±0.0	-	-	13.66±0.57	$12 \pm 0.0$
	50	-	-	12.66±0.57	$11 \pm 0.0$	-	$11 \pm 0.0$	12±0.0	$11 \pm 0.0$	-	-	13.33±0.57	10.66±0.57
Pa	25	-	-	$11 \pm 0.0$	10.33±0.57	-	10.66±0.57	11.66±0.57	10.33±0.57	-	-	$12 \pm 0.0$	$10 \pm 0.0$
	12.5	-	-	10.66±0.57	$10\pm0.0$	-	$10 \pm 0.0$	11.33±0.57	$10 \pm 0.0$	-	-	$11 \pm 0.0$	-
	6.25			$10 \pm 0.0$				$11 \pm 0.0$				-	

	3.125			_				10.33±0.57				_	
	1.562			-				$10\pm0.0$				-	
	0.781			-				-				-	
	100	-	$13 \pm 0.0$	15.33±0.57	$18 \pm 0.0$	-	12±0.0	$14 \pm 0.0$	$13 \pm 0.0$	12.33±0.57	13.33±0.57	15.33±0.57	12.66±0.57
	50	-	$12 \pm 0.0$	$14 \pm 0.0$	16.33±0.57	-	11.66±0.57	13.33±0.57	12.33±0.57	12 ±0.0	12.33±0.57	$14 \pm 0.0$	$11 \pm 0.0$
Sp	25	-	10.66±0.57	12.66±0.57	$14.3 \pm 0.57$	-	$10 \pm 0.0$	$12 \pm 0.0$	$11 \pm 0.0$	$11 \pm 0.0$	$11 \pm 0.0$	12 ±0.0	10.66±0.57
	12.5	-	$10 \pm 0.0$	$12 \pm 0.0$	$13 \pm 0.0$	-	-	$11 \pm 0.0$	$10 \pm 0.0$	$10 \pm 0.0$	$10 \pm 0.0$	$11 \pm 0.0$	$10 \pm 0.0$
	6.25			$10 \pm 0.0$				$10 \pm 0.0$				$10 \pm 0.0$	
	3.125			-				-				-	
	1.562			-				-				-	
	0.781			-				-				-	

PE- Petroleum ether, Chlo- Chloroform, EA- Ethyl acetate, MeOH- Methanol, E. coli- Escherichia coli, Kp- Klebsiella pneumoniae,

Sa-Staphylococcus aureus, Pa- Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Sp- Streptococcous pneumoniae.

Name of Organism	Cons. mg/ml												
Organishi	ing/im	Leaf Extracts			Bark Extracts			Fruit Extracts					
		PE	Chlo	EA	Meoh	PE	Chlo	E A	Meoh	PE	Chlo	E A	Meoh
	100	$12 \pm 0.0$	$17 \pm 0.0$	$22\pm0.0$	$22\pm0.0$	$13 \pm 0.0$	$20\pm0.0$	$21 \pm 0.0$	$16 \pm 0.0$	-	$14\pm0.0$	$21 \pm 0.0$	$22\pm0.0$
	50	$11\pm0.0$	15.66±0.57	$21\pm0.0$	$21 \pm 0.0$	11.33±0.57	18.33±0.57	$19\pm0.0$	$14 \pm 0.0$	-	12.66±0.57	19.33±0.57	$20\pm0.0$
	25	-	13± 0.0	18.66±0.57	20.33±0.57	$10 \pm 0.0$	$17 \pm 0.0$	16.66±0.57	$12 \pm 0.0$	-	$11 \pm 0.0$	$17 \pm 0.0$	17.66±0.57
Aspergillus niger	12.5	-	11.66±0.57	$18\pm0.0$	$18\pm0.0$	-	$16 \pm 0.0$	$16 \pm 0.0$	10.66±0.57	-	-	$14 \pm 0.0$	$14 \pm 0.0$
	6.25			$17\pm0.0$				$14 \pm 0.0$				-	
	3.125			$15 \pm 0.0$				$13 \pm 0.0$				-	
	1.562			$13 \pm 0.0$				$11 \pm 0.0$				-	
	0.781			-				-				-	
	100	-	10.33±0.57	$11\pm0.0$	-	10.33±0.57	-	$10\pm0.0$	-	$10\pm0.0$	-	-	-
Candida	50	-	$10\pm0.0$	$10\pm0.0$	-	$10 \pm 0.0$	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
albicans	25	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	12.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-
	6.25			-				-				-	
	3.125			-				-				-	
	1.562			-				-				-	
	0.781			-				-				-	

Table 2. Showing zone of inhibition of different extracts of Boswellia serrata against fungi
--

PE- Petrolium ether, Chlo- Chloroform, EA- Ethyl acetate, Meoh- Methanol

**Table 3:-** Antimicrobial activity of ethyl acetate extract of leaves, bark and fruit of *Boswellia serrata* in term of MIC

	EA extract of <i>B. serrata</i>						
Name of organism	Leaves MIC (mg/ml)	Bark MIC (mg/ml)	Fruit MIC(mg/ml)				
Escherichia coli	3.125	6.25	6.25				
Klebsiella pneumoniae	3.125	1.562	-				
Staphylococcus aureus	1.562	3.125	6.25				
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	6.25	1.562	12.5				
Streptococcous pneumoniae	6.25	6.25	6.25				
Candida albicans	-	-	-				
Aspergillus niger	1.562	1.562	12.5				

 Table 4: Qualitative examination of secondary metabolites of extracts of Boswellia serrata

S. No.	Phytochemicals	Petroleum ether extract	Chloroform Extract	Ethyl acetate	Methanol extract
1.	Alkaloids	-	-	-	-
2.	Flavonoids	-	-	+	+
3.	Steroids	+	-	+	+
4.	Phenols	-	+	+	+
5.	Tannins	-	-	+	+
6.	Saponins	-	-	+	+

# **Result and Discussion**

The antimicrobial activity of leaves, bark and fruits of *B. serrata* was determined against the tested strains are shown in Table1 and 2. The antimicrobial activity was observed to be in dose dependent manner *i.e.* 100 mg/ml shown more level of activity than other concentration against the entire tested microorganism. As shown in Table-1, the extract form the *B. serrata* leaves, bark and fruits displayed antimicrobial activity against the tested microorganism, with the diameter of zone of inhibition ranging between 10mm to 18mm. Among the four extracts of leaves methanolic extract was found to be most active against *Streptococcous pneumoniae* (18  $\pm$  0.00) and *Escherichia coli* (16.33 $\pm$ 0.57) while in bark extracts, ethyl acetate extract showed higher antibacterial activity against *K. pneumoniae* (15.66  $\pm$  0.57) and *S. aureus*(15  $\pm$  0.0) and among the four extracts of fruit ethyl acetate extract was found to be more active against all tested organisms, specifically *Escherichia coli* (16  $\pm$  0.0) and *Streptococcous pneumoniae* (15.33  $\pm$  0.57).

Furthermore, among the fungi studied (Table-2), both ethyl acetate and methanolic extracts of leaves showed higher antifungal activity against *A. niger* with a zone of inhibition  $22 \pm 0.0$  mm. In *C. albicans* ethyl acetate extract of leaves found to most active with a zone of inhibition  $11 \pm 0.0$  mm. In bark extracts, ethyl acetate and chloroform extracts showed higher antimicrobial activity against *A. niger* with a zone of inhibition  $21 \pm 0.0$  mm and  $20 \pm 0.0$  mm respectively while in fruit methanol and ethyl acetate extract showed moderate antifungal activity against *A. niger* with a zone of inhibition  $21 \pm 0.0$  mm respectively.

The MIC of ethyl acetate extract against the entire tested microorganism was observed to be a range of 1.562 to 12.5 mg/ml (Table-3).

A preliminary screening was carried out to check the presence of various phytoconstituents in the extracts (Table-4). On phytochemical screening of the different solvent extracts of *B. serrata*, it was found that petroleum ether extract shows presence of steroids, chloroform extract shows presence of phenols while ethyl acetate and methanol extract shows presence of phenols, flavonoids, steroids, tannins and saponins.

Successive isolation of botanical compounds from plant material is largely dependent on the type of the solvent use in the extraction procedure. The present study showed that plant extracts prepare with ethyl acetate and methanol provided a good inhibition index compare than other two extracts, were found to be less active against the test organism (Table 1 and 2).

The present investigation therefore clearly establishes the antimicrobial potential of the plant and suggests the need to further exploit in the management of microbial diseases caused by these bacteria in humans. From the result obtained it supports the folkloric usages of *B. serrata* as a therapeutic agent. Further phytochemical investigation suggests that all the extract contain certain constituents with antimicrobial properties that can be used as antimicrobial agents in new drug for the therapy of infectious diseases caused by pathogen.

## References

- 1. Aman, M., Rai, V. R. and Samaga P.V. (2010). Antimicrobial and phytochemical screening of *Boswelia serrata Roxb., Rhus mysorensis Heyne, Strychnos potatorum linn. & Schefflera stellata Gaertn.* Medicinal and Aeromatic Plant Science and Biotechnology. 4(1): 69-72.
- 2. Ammon, H.P.T., Safayhi, H., Mack, T. and Sabieraj, J. (1993). Mechanism of antiinflammatory actions of curcumine and boswellic acids. J. Ethnopharmocology. 38: 105-112.
- 3. Bais, Y., Chaudhari, S.B., Belani, S. & Umarkar, A.R. (2013). Evaluation of antimicrobial activity of plant leaf *Argemone Mexicana*. Inter. J. of Pharmacy and Biological Sci. 3(1): 41-45.
- 4. Bhogaonkar, P.Y. and Kadam, V.N. (2006). Ethnopharmacology of Banjara tribe of Umarkhed taluka, district Yavatmal, Maharashtra for reproductive disorders. Indian Journal of Traditional Knowledge. 5(3): 336-341.
- 5. Bhogaonkar, P.Y., Marathe, V.R. and Kshirsagar, P.P. (2010). Documentation of wild edible plants of Melghat forest, dist. Amravati, Maharashtra state, India. Ethnobotanical Leaflets. 14: 751-58.
- 6. Camarda, L., Dayton, T., Stefano, V.D., Pitonzo, R. and Schillaci, D. (2007). Chemical composition and antimicrobial activity of some oleogum-resin essential oils from *Boswellia spp*.(Burseraceae). Annali Di Chimica. 97: 837-844.
- 7. Collee, J. G., Miles, R. S. and Watt, B. (1996). Test for identification of bacteria. In MacKie & McCartney's Practical Medical Microbiology. 14: 131-149.
- 8. Galav, P., Jain, A. and Katewa, S.S. (2013). Traditional veterinary medicines used by livestock owners of Rajasthan, India. Indian Journal of Traditional Knowledge. 12: 47-55.
- 9. Guven, K., Yucel, E., Cetinta, F. (2006). Antimicrobial activities of fruits of Crataegus and Pyrus species. Pharmaceutical Biology. 44(2): 79-83.
- 10. Hooker, J.D. (1872-1897). Flora of British India. Reeve & Co. NR Ash food, Kent. 1-7
- 11. Jagtap, S.D., Deokule, S.S. and Bhosle, S.V. (2008). Ethnobotanical use of endemic and RET plants by Pawra tribe of Nandurbar district, Maharastra. Indian Journal of Traditional Knowledge. 7(2): 311-315.
- 12. Jagtap, S.D., Deokule, S.S. and Bhosle, S.V. (2008). Ethnomedicobotanical uses of endemic and RET plants utilized by the Korku tribe of Amarawati district, Maharastra. Indian Journal of Traditional Knowledge. 7(2): 284-287.
- 13. Jain, A.K., Vairale, M.G. and Singh, R. (2010). Folklore claims on some medicinal plants used by Bheel of Guna district, Madhya Pradesh. Indian Journal of Traditional Knowledge. 9(1): 105-107.
- 14. Jain, S.P., Srivastava, S.J. and Singh, S.C. (2011). Traditional phytotherapy of Balaghat district, Madhya Pradesh, India. Indian Journal of Traditional Knowledge. 10(2): 334-338.
- 15. Kimmatkar, N., Thawani, V., Hingorani, L. and Khiyani, R. (2003). Efficacy and tolerability of *Boswellia Serrata* extract in treatment of osteoarthritis of knee –a-randomized double blind placebo controlled trial. Phytomedicine. 10: 3-7.
- 16. Kudle, K. R., Donda, M.R., Merugu, R., Kudle, M. R. and Rudra, M.P.P. (2013). Microwave assisted green synthesis of silver nanoparticles using *Boswellia serrata* flower extract and evaluation of their antimicrobial activity. International Res. J. of Pharmacy. 4(6): 197-200.
- 17. Kumar, V. P., Chauhan, N. S., Padh, H. and Rajani, M. (2006). Search for antibacterial and antifungal agents from selected Indian medicinal plants. J. of Ethnopharmacology.107: 182–188.
- 18. Meena, K.L. and Yadav, B.L. (2011). Some ethnomedicinal plants used by the Garasia tribe of district Sirohi, Rajasthan. Indian Journal of Traditional Knowledge. 10(2): 354-357.
- 19. Mohana, D.C., Satish, S. & Raveesha, K.A. (2008). Antibacterial evaluation of some plant extracts against some human pathogenic bacteria. Advances in Biological Research. 2 (3-4): 49-55.
- 20. Pandey, A. & Tripathi, S. (2014). Concept of standardization, extraction and pre phytochemical screening strategies for herbal drug. J. of Pharmacognosy & Phytochemistry. 2 (5): 115-119.
- 21. Patil, H.M. and Bhaskar, V.V. (2006). Medicinal Knowledge system of tribals of Nadubar district, Maharashtra. Indian Journal of Traditional Knowledge. 5(3): 327-330.

- 22. Pawrar, S. and Patil, D.A. (2006). Folk remedies against rheumatic disorders in Jalgaon district, Maharashtra. Indian Journal of Traditional Knowledge. 5(3): 314-316.
- 23. Rajendra, C.E., Harish Kumar, D.H., Yeshoda ,S.V. and Nadaf M.A. (2013). Comparative evaluation of antimicrobial activities of methanolic extract of *Curcuma longa* and *Boswellia serrata*. International J. of Research in Pharmacy and Chemistry. 3(3): 534-536.
- 24. Reddy, K.N., Trimurthulu, G. and Reddy, C.S. (2010). Plants used by the ethnic people of Krishna district, Andhra Pradesh. Indian Journal of Traditional Knowledge. 9(2): 313-317.
- 25. Sajeev, K.K. and Sasidharan, N. (1997). Ethnobotanical observations on the tribals of Chinnar wildlife sanctuary. Ancient Science of Life. 16(4): 284-292.
- 26. Sharma, A., Bhatia, S., Kharya, M.D., Gajbhiye, V., Ganesh, N., Namdev, A.G. and Mahadik, K.R. (2010). Anti- inflammatory and analgesic activity of different fraction of *Boswellia serrata*. International J. of Phytomedicine. 2: 94-99.
- 27. Singh, A.P. (2005). Promising phytochemicals from Indian medicinal plants. Ethnobotanical Leaflets. 9: 15-23.
- Singh, H.P., Yadav, I.K., Chandra, D. and Jain, D.A. (2012). In vitro antioxidant and free radical scavenging activity of different extracts of *Boerhavia diffusa* and *Boswellia serrata*. International J. of Pharma. Sci. Res. 3(11): 503-511.
- 29. Singh, V., Shetty, B. V. (1991). Flora of Rajasthsn, Botanical survey of India, Kolkata. 2: 495.
- 30. Sultana, A., Rahman, K.U., Padmaja, A.R. and Rahman, S.U. (2013). *Boswellia serrata* Roxb. a traditional herb with versatile pharmacological activity: a review. International J. of Pharma. Sci. Res. 4(6): 2106-2117.
- 31. Zeeyauddin, K., Mangamoori, L.N., Muna, A. & Mohmmed, I. (2011). Evaluation of antiulcer activity of *Boswellia serrata* bark extract using aspirin induced ulcer model in albino rats. J. of Allied Sci. 1(1): 14:20.