

RESEARCH ARTICLE

COMPARATIVE STUDY OF FUNCTIONAL OUTCOME OF ACL RECONSTRUCTION BY HAMSTRING VS PERONEUS LONGUS AUTOGRAFT

Dr. Pradeep Choudhari¹, Dr. Manish Maheshwari², Dr. Kartikeya Singh³, Dr. Arjun Jain⁴ and Dr.Pravee

Jain⁵

- 1. Professor and Head, DepartmetofOrthopaedics, SAMC & PGI Indore.
- Joint Director, Department of Skill Development, Arthroscopy & Sports Medicine, Sri Aurobindo University. 2.
- Third Year Pg Resident, Department of Orthopaedics, SAMC & PGI Indore. 3.
- Professor, Department of Orthopaedics, SAMC & PGI Indore. 4.
- 5. Second Year Pg Resident, Department of Orthopaedics, SAMC AND PGI Indore.

..... Manuscript Info

.....

Manuscript History Received: 28 February 2023 Final Accepted: 31 March 2023 Published: April 2023

Key words:-

ACL Reconstruction, Hamstring Tendon, Peroneus Longus Tendon, Autograft, Reconstruction

Abstract

Introduction: Anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) reconstruction improves knee stability and function with many graft types, either autografts or allografts, which have already been studied extensively. Among these grafts, bone-patellar tendon-bone (BPTB) and fourstrand hamstring autografts are the two most common autografts used for ACL reconstruction and each has its advantages and disadvantages. Orthopaedic surgeons are attempting to use peroneus longus tendon as a graft. Peroneus longus tendon autografts are commonly used in some orthopaedic procedures, including spring ligament reconstruction, deltoid ligament reconstruction and medial patellofemoral ligament reconstruction. The purpose of this study is to compare the functional outcome between the peroneus longus tendon and hamstring tendon in ACL Reconstruction.

Material And Methods: In our study we took 40 cases of ACL tear fulfilling inclusion and exclusion criteria. Patient selection was randomised, half of the patients were operated by Diagnostic Arthroscopy with ACL reconstruction using hamstring autograft and the other half by using peroneus longus autograft. Patients were in the age group of 19 to 54 years. The male/female ratio is 32 males and 8 females.

Results: Patient was followed at 6 weeks, 3 and 6-months post operatively for clinical and radiological evaluation and results at end of 6 months were calculated by using IKDC and Lysholm knee score. The functional and radiological outcome is similar in both groups

Conclusion: Anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction with peroneus longus autografts produces a functional score (IKDC, Lysholm) comparable to that of hamstring autografts at a 6-month follow-up.

Copy Right, IJAR, 2023,. All rights reserved.

Introduction:-

Anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) tear is the commonest ligamentous injury of knee. ACLispivotal in maintaining knee joint stability, so if an injury occurs, it can be followed by degenerative changes and future meniscal injuries. One of the two cruciate ligaments that helps to stabilize the knee joint is the ACL¹. It is a thick band that extends posteromedially from the anteromedial aspect of the intercondylar region of the tibial plateau and connects to the lateral femoral condyle. It is made of connective tissue and collagenous fibres². With a variety of graft types, such as autografts or allografts, that have been thoroughly studied, ACL reconstruction enhances knee stability and function. Among these grafts, bonepatellar tendon-bone (BPTB) and four-strand hamstring autografts are the two most common autografts used for ACL reconstruction and each has its advantages and disadvantages. According to the latest studies, BPTB is the ideal graft option because it has bone-to-bone healing which permits the effective incorporation of tunnel and graft, leading to a faster return to function and sports activity. This characteristic is important in professional athletes with ACL injuries. However, it carries the risk of patellar fracture, with an invasive approach and a large incision, fixed length and a weaker than native ACL, making it unsuitable for double-bundle reconstruction and anterior kneeling pain. Asians, particularly Indians, value pain-free kneeling as being extremely important. These factors contribute to the rise in popularity of hamstring autografts among Asians³. The strength of a hamstring autograft is like the native ACL, and it is simple to harvest with little donor site morbidity. However, it has uncertain graft size and may result in a loss of hamstring strength, which is essential for such athletes who require strong hamstring power. Therefore, peroneus longus tendon grafting is being attempted by some orthopaedic surgeons⁴. Peroneus longus autografts are frequently used in certain orthopaedic procedures, such as the reconstruction of the medial patellofemoral ligament (MPFL), the deltoid ligament, and the spring ligament. Due to the synergistic action of the peroneus longus and brevis, this is possible.⁵. According to some studies, the peroneus brevis is even a more potent ankle evertor, supporting the procedure to harvest the longus tendon⁴. In some previous case series for reconstruction, the peroneus longus tendon was the autograft of choice, with good results and minimal donor site morbidity, but other researchers did not certainly agree due to donor-site morbidity⁵ According to studies, there is no discernible difference between the hamstring and peroneus longus tendons tensile strength⁶. The purpose of our study is to compare the functional outcome between the peroneus longus tendon and hamstring tendon in ACL Reconstruction.

Material and Methods:-

This was a Cross-sectional study, and 40 cases of ACL injuries were included which were operated by anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction using hamstring or peroneus longus graft at our institute between April 2021 to September 2022. Patient selection was randomized, and odd-even rule was followed to assign which graft would be taken. The study was undertaken after obtaining the institute's ethical committee approval. The cases were selected based on the following inclusion and exclusion criteria.

Inclusion Criteria were clinical /MRI evidence of symptomatic individuals with anterior cruciate ligament insufficiency, patients between age 18 to 60 years (skeletally matured patients), no history of previous surgery in the knee and a normal contralateral knee

Exclusion Criteria wereasymptomatic individuals, patients with systemic diseases compromising their preanaesthetic fitness, associated with PCL tear or associated Grade III MCL and LCL injuries, patient with osteoarthritic knee / Cartilage injury, patients with associated fracture of tibial plateau, patients with local skin infections and patients unwilling to give consent.

After admission, OT profile was sent, and PAC fitness was obtained for all patients. Preoperative planning included plain radiograph ap and lateral view of the affected knee, MRI scan and the choice of implant. All the patients were operated under spinal or combined spinal-epidural anaesthesia with tourniquet applied in all cases. Intravenous antibiotics were given 1 hour prior to surgery and continued till the second post operative day, after which oral antibiotics were continued till suture removal, which was done on the 13th post operative day. All the patients were operated using standard arthroscopy techniques. Peroneus tendon was harvested from the ipsilateral leg. Incision was 2-3 cm above and 1 cm behind lateral malleolus. After dissection, Peroneus longus and peroneus brevis tendon were identified and the longus was stripped and prepared.

Post operatively, active assisted knee rom was encouraged from POD-1 and patients were given long knee brace and were mobilised full weight bearing with the brace from the next day of surgery. All patients were taught static and

dynamic quadriceps strengthening exercises. Post operative dressing was done on post operative day 2, day 5 and sutures were removed on post operative day 13. Knee brace was continued for walking till 3 weeks post op. The first follow up of the patient was done 2 weeks post operatively where sutures were removed, and knee range of motion checked. Subsequent follow ups were done at 6 weeks, 3 months and 6 months and functional scores were recorded using the lysholm knee score and IKDC score along with a ap and lateral plain x ray of the affected knee at each follow up.



Figure 1:- Patient Position.



Figure 2:- Preoperative Lachman test.



Figure 3:- Torn ACL visualised during diagnostic arthroscopy.



Figure 4:- Harvesting Peroneus Longus Tendon.



Figure 5:- Graft Preparation.



Figure 6:- Reconstructed Anterior Cruciate Ligament.

Results:-

In our study, 40 patients of ACL injury were operated. There were 20 (50%) patients in Group H (Hamstring tendon graft) and 20 (50%) patients in Group P (Peroneus Longus tendon graft). The mean age of patients in Group-H was

 33.10 ± 9.17 years (range 20-54 years) and in Group-P, it was 30.90 ± 6.98 years (range 19-42 years). In both groups, males predominated in terms of gender (75% in group H and 85% in group P). In Group-H, most of the patients had left side involvement and in Group-P, most of the patients had right side involvement. The mean height in Group-H was 167.00 ± 7.05 cm and in Group-P, it was 166.95 ± 5.53 cm. The mean weight in Group-H was 63.40 ± 6.56 kg and in Group-P, it was 65.25 ± 6.34 kg. In both groups, majority of patients sustained injury due to road traffic accident, and sports related injuries were relatively less in our study. The average graft diameter of Group H was 8.3 ± 0.47 mm and that of Group P was 8.4 ± 0.35 mm.

	Group-H	Group-P
	(Hamstring tendon)	(P. Longus tendon)
Total Patients	20	20
Sex		
Male	15	17
Female	5	3
Side Involved		
Left side	13	7
	65.0%	35.0%
Right side	7	13
	35.0%	65.0%
Mean Age	33.10 ± 9.17 years	30.90 ± 6.98 years
	(range 20-54 years)	(range 19-42 years)
Mean Height	$167.00 \pm 7.05 \text{ cm}$	$166.95 \pm 5.53 \text{ cm}$
Mean Weight	63.40 ± 6.56 kg	65.25 ± 6.34 kg
Average Graft Diameter	$8.3 \pm 0.47 \text{ mm}$	$8.4 \pm 0.35 \text{ mm}$

Table No. 1:- Summary of Patient Data.

Table No. 2:- Comparison of mean Lysholm Score between the two groups.

Time Period	Group-H	Group-P	't' value, df	P value
	(mean±SD)	(mean±SD)		
Preoperative	25.50 ± 4.35	26.00 ± 2.05	-0.465,	0.644, NS
			df=38	
At 6 weeks	44.15 ± 3.88	44.80 ± 3.09	-0.586,	0.561, NS
			df=38	
At 3 Months	69.70 ± 4.71	70.65 ± 3.62	-0.715,	0.479, NS
			df=38	
At 6 Months	80.80 ± 2.91	81.20 ± 2.35	-0.478,	0.636, NS
			df=38	

Unpaired 't' test applied. P value <0.05 was taken as statistically significant

In both groups, the mean Lysholm score showed an improvement over the period of follow-ups, from preoperative to 6 months, but the mean differences in Lysholm scores between the two groups at each follow-up were found to be statistically not significant.

The mean Lysholm scores were comparable between the two groups at each follow-up.



Figure 7:- A line diagram shows the comparison of mean Lysholm scores between the two groups.

Time Period	Group-H	Group-P	't' value, df	P value
	(mean±SD)	(mean±SD)		
Preoperative	32.27 ± 2.31	32.77 ± 1.84	-0.759,	0.452, NS
			df=38	
At 6 weeks	47.13 ± 2.46	47.81 ± 2.14	-0.926,	0.360, NS
			df=38	
At 3 Months	60.69 ± 1.44	61.12 ± 1.54	-0.926,	0.360, NS
			df=38	
At 6 Months	68.43 ± 1.59	68.84 ± 1.44	-0.847,	0.402, NS
			df-38	

Table No. 3:- Comparison of mean IKDC Score between the two groups.1.

Unpaired 't' test applied. P value <0.05 was taken as statistically significant

In both groups, the mean IKDC score showed an improvement over the period of follow-ups, from preoperative to 6 months, but the mean differences in IKDC scores between the two groups at each follow-up were found to be statistically not significant.

The mean IKDC scores were comparable between the two groups at each follow-up.



Figure 8:- A line diagram shows the comparison of mean IKDC scores between the two groups.

Postoperative Complications	Group-H	Group-P	Fisher's Exact Test P value
No Complications	15	18	
Knee stiffness	4 (20.0%)	1 (5.0%)	0.342, NS
Infection requiring Arthroscopic debridement	1 (5.0%)	1 (5.0%)	1.000, NS

 Table No. 4:- Comparison of postoperative complications between the two groups.

Fisher's Exact test applied. A P value of <0.05 was taken as statistically significant

In**Group-H**, 4 (20%) patients complained of knee stiffness and 1 (5%) patient required arthroscopic debridement due to infection. In **Group-P**, 1 (5%) patient complained of knee stiffness and 1 (5%) patient required arthroscopic debridement due to infection. The incidence of knee stiffness was comparable between the two groups (Fisher's exact test P value = 0.342) and similarly, the incidence of requirement of arthroscopic debridement was also comparable between the two groups (Fisher's exact test P value = 1.000). The postoperative complication rate between the two groups were comparable.



Figure 9:- A bar diagram shows the comparison of postoperative complications between the two groups.



Figure 10:- 6 months follow up of Group P patient.



Figure 11:- 6 months follow up of Group H patient.

Discussion:-

In our study, we only evaluated functional outcome of 40 ACL injury patients operated for ACL reconstruction and our study found good to excellent results in both the peroneus longus and hamstring tendon groups. The choice of graft is the most crucial operative plan consideration. The appropriate graft helps to prevent re-injury or re-rupture incidents and provides optimal knee stability. Rousseau et al⁷reported that hamstring tendon graft and bone patella tendon bone (BPTB) are related to postoperative complications, including anterior knee pain and stiffness. In our study, we used peroneus longus tendon graft to replace the injured ACL to evaluate it as an alternative to hamstring autograft and compared the two graft options in terms of functional outcome. Surprisingly, the average diameter of the peroneus longus tendon graft was 8.4 ± 0.35 mm, which was larger than the ideal in diameter so that the reconstruction could be performed rapidly. Magnussen et al⁸ stated the ideal minimum graft diameter of 8 mm is best to avoid revision surgery. There were 20 patients in Group H (Hamstring tendon graft) and 20 patients in Group P (Peroneus Longus tendon graft). Both the groups were comparable in terms of age, sex, height, weight and side involved. In both groups, the mean Lysholm score showed an improvement over the period of follow-ups, from preoperative to 6 months, but the mean differences in Lysholm scores between the two groups at each followup were found to be statistically not significant. In both groups, the mean IKDC score showed an improvement over the period of follow-ups, from preoperative to 6 months, but the mean differences in IKDC scores between the two groups at each follow-up were found to be statistically not significant. Comparative functional outcome analysis on the use of hamstring tendon and peroneus longus tendon grafts showed no significant differences between the preand 6-month post-surgery, based on the IKDC and Lysholm Knee Scoring Scale. Many studies have reported good results after ACL reconstruction with the peroneus longus tendon, in terms of both functional outcome and knee stability. Keyhani et al⁹ in their study comprising of 130 patients compared functional outcome of peroneus longus vs hamstring graft in ACLR and found no significant difference in clinical outcome and knee stability. Some studies found that peroneus longus tendon graft was more superior because it provides larger graft diameter and less thigh hypotrophy with excellent ankle function^{10,11}.

Bi et al¹². compared the use of single-bundle anterior half of PLT vs. semitendinosus tendon. At the 2-year followup, the study found no differences between both groups in the VAS scale, IKDC score, pivot shift test, and KT-1000. Besides, the AOFAS score in the PLT group was more excellent than the semitendinosus tendon group. This finding concluded that PLT graft provides greater strength and relatively safe for reconstruction. Trung et al¹³reported that ACLR using anterior half peroneus longus tendon graft showed no complications in ankle and foot post-surgery. Another study revealed enhancement on knee functionality based on the Lysholm, leaving no ankle functionality differences¹⁴. A comparative study of the ankle eversion and first ray plantarflexion strength on the donor site vs. contralateral site at 6 months post-surgery revealed no significant differences. Furthermore, the donor site were excellent. These findings were similar to our patient, who could perform tiptoe walking with no ankle and foot function limitation after reconstruction. He et al¹⁶concluded that the peroneus longus tendon graft is suitable as an autograft harvested outside the knee to avoid the complication of quadriceps-hamstring imbalance that may occur after harvesting the graft from the knee. Regardless of all the advantages of peroneus longus tendon grafts in ACL reconstruction, the graft preference was decided based on a randomized odd-even method to remove bias. In achieving an excellent result, the consideration of the appropriate graft usage depends on many factors, including the associated meniscal and ligament lesions, high or low demand patient's activities, medical condition or comorbidities, pre-surgical status, patient decision, and the post-operative rehabilitation protocol¹⁷.

Conclusion:-

The clinical and stability outcomes of anterior cruciate ligament with different peroneus longus tendon autograft preparation techniques are comparable with those of hamstring during short-term follow-up; however, there is sufficient evidence to support their use in the populations that motivated its implementation. Thus, peroneus longus tendon autograft might be considered a safe and practical autograft source for arthroscopic anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction with respect to its strength, larger graft diameter, satisfactory ankle function, and prevention of potential complications of hamstring autograft obtained from the knee region.

Bibliography:-

1) ACL reconstruction [Internet]. Mayoclinic.org. 2021 [cited 2022 Nov 24]. Available from: https://www.mayoclinic.org/tests-procedures/acl-reconstruction/about/pac-20384598

2) Evans J, Nielson JL. Anterior Cruciate Ligament Knee Injuries. 2022 May 5. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2022 Jan–. PMID: 29763023.

3) Kumar R, Singh B, Gautam A. Single bundle ACL Reconstruction with Peroneus Longus Tendon Autograft: A short-term study [Internet]. Ejmcm.com. [cited 2022 Nov 24]. Available from: https://ejmcm.com/article_7514_5f219ea39bedf2b0af2794ec6aa2b2a2.pdf

4) Rhatomy S, Asikin AIZ, Wardani AE, Rukmoyo T, Lumban-Gaol I, Budhiparama NC. Peroneus longus autograft can be recommended as a superior graft to hamstring tendon in single-bundle ACL reconstruction. Knee Surg Sports TraumatolArthrosc. 2019;27(11):3552–9

5) Rhatomy S, Hartoko L, Setyawan R, Soekarno NR, Zainal Asikin AI, Pridianto D, et al. Single bundle ACL reconstruction with peroneus longus tendon graft: 2-years follow-up. J Clin Orthop Trauma. 2020;11(Suppl 3): S332–6

6) Rudy, Mustamsir E, Phatama KY. Tensile strength comparison between peroneus longus and hamstring tendons: A biomechanical study. Int J Surg Open [Internet]. 2017; 9:41–4

7) Rousseau R, Labruyere C, Kajetanek C, Deschamps O, Makridis KG, Djian P. Complications After Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction and Their Relation to the Type of Graft: A Prospective Study of 958 Cases. Am J Sports Med. 2019 Sep;47(11):2543-2549. doi: 10.1177/0363546519867913. Epub 2019 Aug 12. PMID: 31403824.

8) Magnussen RA, Lawrence JT, West RL, Toth AP, Taylor DC, Garrett WE. Graft size and patient age are predictors of early revision after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction with hamstring autograft. Arthroscopy. 2012 Apr;28(4):526-31. doi: 10.1016/j.arthro.2011.11.024. Epub 2012 Feb 1. PMID: 22305299.

9) Keyhani S, Qoreishi M, Mousavi M, Ronaghi H, Soleymanha M. Peroneus Longus Tendon Autograft versus Hamstring Tendon Autograft in Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction: A Comparative Study with a Mean Follow-up of Two Years. Arch Bone Jt Surg. 2022 Aug;10(8):695-701. doi: 10.22038/ABJS.2022.59568.2938. PMID: 36258748; PMCID: PMC9569136.

10) Rhatomy S., Asikin A.I.Z., Wardani A.E., Rukmoyo T., Gaol I. Lumban, Budhiparama N.C. Peroneus longus autograft can be recommended as a superior graft to hamstring tendon in single-bundle ACL reconstruction. Knee Surg. Sports Traumatol. Arthrosc. 2019;**27**(11):3552–3559.

11) Ertogrul E., Varol A., Oc Y., Kilinc B.E. Is peroneus longus allograft good alternative for anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: a comparison study. Acta Chir. Orthop. Traumatol. Cechoslov. 2021;**88**(1):58–62.

12) Bi M., Zhao C., Zhang S., Yao B., Hong Z., Bi Q. All-inside single-bundle reconstruction of the anterior cruciate ligament with the anterior half of the peroneus longus tendon compared to the semitendinosus tendon: a two year follow up study. J. Knee Surg. 2018;**31**(10):1022–1030

13) Trung D.T., Manh S.L., Thanh L.N., Dinh T.C., Dinh T. Preliminary result of arthroscopic anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction using anterior half of peroneus longus tendon autograft. Open. Access. Maced. J. Med. Sci. 2019;7(24):4351–4356

14) Cao H., Liang J., Xin J.Y. Treatment of anterior cruciate ligament injury with peroneus longus tendon. Natl. Med. J. China. 2012;**92**(35):2460–2462.

15) Rhatomy S., Wicaksono F.H., Soekarno N.R., Setyawan R., Primasara S., Budhiparama N.C. Eversion and first ray plantarflexion muscle strength in anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction using a peroneus longus tendon graft. Orthop. J. Sports Med. 2019;7(9):1–5.

16) He J., Tang Q., Ernst S., Linde M.A., Smolinski P., Wu S., Fu F. Peroneus longus tendon autograft has functional outcomes comparable to hamstring tendon autograft for anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Knee Surg. Sports Traumatol. Arthrosc. 2020:1–11.

17) Wiradiputra AE, Febyan, Aryana GNW. Peroneus longus tendon graft for anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: A case report and review of literature. Int J Surg Case Rep. 2021 Jun;83:106028. doi: 10.1016/j.ijscr.2021.106028. Epub 2021 May 26. PMID: 34062359; PMCID: PMC81780.