

Journal Homepage: - www.journalijar.com

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ADVANCED RESEARCH (IJAR)

INTERNATIONAL DICENAL OF ADVANCED RESEARCH GLASS

BOTH STATE OF THE STATE OF T

Article DOI: 10.21474/IJAR01/17746 **DOI URL:** http://dx.doi.org/10.21474/IJAR01/17746

RESEARCH ARTICLE

COMPARISON OF RIPASA AND ALVARADO SCORING SYSTEM IN DIAGNOSIS OF ACUTE APPENDICITIS IN TERTIARY CARE

Shivani C. Rampure¹ and Prachi M. Pujari²

- 1. Postgraduate, Department of General Surgery, Mahadevappa Rampure Medical College Attached to Basaweshwar Hospital Kalaburagi, India.
- 2. Senior Resident, Department of General Surgery, Mahadevappa Rampure Medical College Attached to Basweshwar Hospital Kalaburagi, India.

.....

Manuscript Info

Manuscript History

Received: 25 August 2023 Final Accepted: 27 September 2023

Published: October 2023

Abstract

Introduction: Acute appendicitis is one of the most common surgical emergencies, with a lifetime prevalence rate of approximately one in seven. Different techniques have been devised to assist in equivocal cases in attempts to decrease negative appendectomy rates. RIPASA and ALVARADO scores are new diagnostic scoring systems for diagnosis of acute appendicitis . RIPASA scoring system has more sensitivity, specificity and diagnostic accuracy than ALVARADO score in diagnosis of acute appendicitis in Asian population. Hence this study conducted to compare RIPASA AND ALVARADO score in diagnosis of acute appendicitis in tertiary care hospital. RIPASA score includes more parameters than ALVARADO score such as age, gender, duration of symptoms prior to presentation

Aims and objectives: To compare RIPASA and Alvarado score in diagnosing acute appendicitis using histopathology as gold standard

Materials and Methods:

Source of Data: Basaveshwar teaching and general hospital from august 1st to 30th september 2022

Study Design: Prospective observational study.

Study Population: 74 patients Inclusion criteria All patients who presented with right lower quadrant abdomen pain and diagnosed as acute appendicitis.

Exclusion Criteria: Patients under 18 years of age. Patients with right iliac fossa mass. Patients with features of peritonitis All these patients were scored as per RIPASA and Alvarado scoring system after taking history , clinical examination and laboratory investigations. RIPASA includes 18 parameters and Alvarado 8 parameters. A score of 7.5 taken as high probability of acute appendicitis as per RIPASA and score of 7 for Alvarado scoring system. The decision of appendicectomy solely based on surgeon's own clinical judgement After the surgery, histopathological reports of operated cases correlated with scoring systems.

Copy Right, IJAR, 2023,. All rights reserved.

......

Corresponding Author:- Shivani C. Rampure

Address:- Postgraduate, Department of General Surgery, Mahadevappa Rampure Medical College Attached to Basaweshwar Hospital Kalaburagi, India.

Introduction:-

Acute appendicitis is one of the most common surgical emergencies, with a lifetime prevalence rate of approximately one in seven.

Different techniques have been devised to assist in equivocal cases in attempts to decrease negative appendectomy rates.

RIPASA and ALVARADO scores are new diagnostic scoring systems for diagnosis of acute appendicitis.

RIPASA scoring system has more sensitivity, specificity and diagnostic accuracy than ALVARADO score in diagnosis of acute appendicitis in Asian population.

Hence this study conducted to compare RIPASA AND ALVARADO score in diagnosis of acute appendicitis in tertiary care hospital.

RIPASA score includes more parameters than ALVARADO score such as age, gender, duration of symptoms prior to presentation

Aims and Objectives:-

To compare RIPASA and Alvarado score in diagnosing acute appendicitis using histopathology as gold standard

Materials and Methods:-

Source of data:

Basaveshwar teaching and general hospital from august 1st to 30th september 2022

Study design:

Prospective observational study.

Study population:

74 patients

Inclusion criteria

All patients who presented with right lower quadrant abdomen pain and diagnosed as acute appendicitis.

Exclusion criteria

Patients under 18 years of age.

Patients with right iliac fossa mass.

Patients with features of peritonitis

All these patients were scored as per RIPASA and Alvarado scoring system after taking history , clinical examination and laboratory investigations.

RIPASA includes 18 parameters and Alvarado 8 parameters.

A score of 7.5 taken as high probability of acute appendicitis as per RIPASA and score of 7 for Alvarado scoring system.

The decision of appendicectomy solely based on surgeon's own clinical judgement After the surgery, histopathological reports of operated cases correlated with scoring systems.

Scores were tabulated and compared by applying chi-square test using SPSS

Ripasa Score

SL NO	PARAMETER	SCORE
1	Female	0.5
2	Male	1.0

2	Age<39.9	1.0
3	Č	1.0
4	Age>40	0.5
5	RIF pain	0.5
6	Pain migration to RIF	0.5
7	Anorexia	1.0
8	Nausea and vomiting	1.0
9	duration of symptoms< 48hrs	1.0
10	Duration of symptoms>48 hrs	0.5

Conti

SL NO	PARAMETER	SCORE
11	RIF tenderness	1.0
12	Guarding	2.0
13	Rebound tenderness	1.0
14	Rovsing sign	2.0
15	Fever> 37° c or $< 39^{\circ}$ c	1.0
16	Raised WBC	1.0
17	Negative urine analysis	1.0
18	Foreign NRIC	1.0
	TOTAL	17.5

Alvarado Score

SL NO	PARAMETER	SCORE
1	Pain migration to RIF	1
2	Anorexia	1
3	Nausea -vomiting	1
4	RIF tenderness	2
5	Rebound tenderness	1
6	Fever	1
7	Raised WBC	2
8	Shift of WBC to Left	1
	TOTAL SCORE	10

Demography variables

Total patients – 74

Confirmed histology for acut	e appendicitis – 70 Negative histology for acute appendiciti	is -04
	[5] The Image part with interprinting 100 x1005 was one found in the file.	

		The image part with relationship ID riddle was not found in the file.	
	The image part with relationship ID r3d17 was n	th board in the file.	
The im	age part with relationship 10 rEd18 was not found in the	file.	\neg
			- 1

The image part with rel.	Estembly ID 1919 was not french in the 18s.	
	The inverse part with militarchinip ID GGD was not float in the file.	
	The trapps part with relationship 50 clicid was cut found in the file.	
	The transpriant with relationship ST GEST was not found to the fix.	
	The large part with relationship ID GGD was not flour in the Stu.	
	To trops part with nationarity 20 clid2 nos set fixed in the Ns.	
	The large part with relationship ID GGD was not flour in the Stu.	
	The trapp part with relationarity 20 GGD was not found in the file.	
	The trapp part with relationship IS GGS was not flour in the file.	
	The larger part with relationship SD GGS near not fload in the file.	
	The trapp part with mildiscrebig IS GGS was not flour in the fix.	
	The freep gan 4th relativisty ID riccio was not fluored in the fac.	
	The large part with relationship ID GGD was not flour in the file.	
	The large part with miletaneing ID riddle was not found in the law.	
	The trapp part with mildiscrating IS didSt least not flourd in the file.	
	The large part with militarizing 20 clicid was not found in the law.	
	The trapps park with militarching IS GGS was not flour in the fix.	
	The large part with militarizing 20 clicid was not found in the list.	
	The trapps park with militarching 55 didSt two not flour in the fix.	
	The large year with militarizing 20 clicid was not found in the lab.	
	The trapp part with mildstanding 55 didSt two red flourd in the file.	
	The large part with militarizing 20 clicid was not found in the fix.	
	The trapp part with militarching 55 didSt two red flourd in the file.	
	The trapp part with militarizing 20 clicid was not found in the fix.	
	The trapps part with militarching 55 didSt team not floured in the file.	
	The trapp part with militarizing 10 clieb was not found in the fix.	
	To knopp gar att niktorate D GGD was or fluor to the fac.	
	The trapp part with militarizing 10 clicid was not found in the fix.	
	To know your with makesoning 20 class was not found in the law.	
	The trapp part with militarizing 10 clicit was not found in the fix.	
	To the large part with makesoming 20 class was not flowed in the law.	
	The trapp part with militarings 10 clieb was not found in the fau.	
	To the large part with makesoming 20 class was not found in the law.	
	The trapp part with militarizing 10 clicid was not found in the fix.	
	The large part with makesoring 20 clicic was not found in the law.	
	The trapp part with militarizing 10 clicit was not found in the fix.	
	To the large year with malataneing 20 clicid was not floared in the law.	
	To have represented to historical to the face.	
	To be large part with militarizing 20 clicid was not fixed in this bis.	
	To the large gain with miletancing 3D GGD was cell found in the fac.	
	To be large part with militarizing 20 clicid was not fixed in this bis.	
	To the large part with miletancing 20 GGD was not floated in the bis.	
	The harpey part with militarizing 20 clicid was not found in this fax.	
	To the large part with miletancing 20 clicid was not floated in the bis.	
	The harpey part with militarizing 20 clicid was not found in this fax.	
	The transport and makesoring 20 class over fixed in the law.	
	The harpespare with militarizing 20 clicid was not found in the two.	

Discussion:-

Acute appendicitis is one of the most common surgical emergencies, with lifetime prevalence rate of approximately one in seven

Sometimes it becomes difficult to diagnose particularly among young and females of reproductive age group because some genitourinary and pelvic inflammatory conditions present with similar symptoms signs.

Diagnostic accuracy can be improved by using imaging techniques such as USG and CT abdomen but it will increase the cost of health care.

Several scoring systems have been introduced to improvise diagnostic accuracy of acute appendicitis clinically.

Among them, Alvarado score is more commonly used, but sensitivity and specificity of this score is relatively low in Asian population when compared to western population.

Khan et al applied the Alvarado scoring system in Asian population and had sensitivity of 59% and specificity of 23% with negative appendicectomy rate of 15.6%.

Regar et al reported that ,RIPASA scoring system is more sensitive (94.74%) as compared to Alvarado score(67.37%).

Conclusion:-

The RIPASA score is better diagnostic scoring system when compared to Alvarado score in diagnosing acute appendicitis in Indian population with higher sensitivity and diagnostic accuracy.

Reference:-

- 1.Stephens PL, Mazzucco JJ. Comparison of ultrasound and the Alvarado score for the diagnosis of acute appendicitis. Connecticut medicine. 1999 Mar 1;63(3):137-40.
- 2.Khan I, ur Rehman A. Application of Alvarado scoring system in diagnosis of acute appendicitis. Journal of Ayub Medical College Abbottabad. 2005;17(3).
- 3.Regar MK, Choudhary GS, Nogia C, Pipal DK, Agrawal A, Srivastava H. Comparison of Alvarado and RIPASA scoring systems in diagnosis of acute appendicitis and correlation with intraoperative and histopathological findings. Int Surg J. 2017;4(5):1755-6.