



Journal Homepage: - www.journalijar.com

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ADVANCED RESEARCH (IJAR)

Article DOI: 10.21474/IJAR01/18744

DOI URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.21474/IJAR01/18744>



RESEARCH ARTICLE

ADVANCEMENTS IN BLOOD GROUP TYPING TECHNIQUES AND THEIR IMPACT ON BLOOD BANK OPERATIONS

Devendra Singh¹, Prof. Navneet Kumar² and Shivam Agarwal²

1. PhD Scholar Department of Medical Laboratory Technology, College of Paramedical Sciences, TMU, Moradabad, UP, India.
2. Assistant Professor, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, College of Paramedical Sciences, Moradabad.

Manuscript Info

Manuscript History

Received: 19 March 2024

Final Accepted: 25 April 2024

Published: May 2024

Key words:-

Blood Group Typing Techniques, Transfusion Medicine, Serological Typing, Molecular Blood Typing, Compatibility Testing, Advancements and Challenges

Abstract

Advancements in blood group typing techniques are pivotal in improving blood bank operations and patient care. These techniques, spanning serological and molecular methods, have revolutionized transfusion medicine. Serological typing's reliability, using hemagglutination reactions against specific antisera, remains key for blood compatibility assessment. However, time constraints and limited rare variant detection have driven molecular methods' evolution, such as PCR and DNA sequencing. These offer accuracy, rapidity, and rare variant identification. Such innovations have overhauled blood bank operations, enabling effective transfusion matching, reducing reactions, and enhancing outcomes. They have streamlined donor screening, optimized rare type use, and eased organ transplant compatibility testing. Despite challenges like cost and standardization, advancements in point-of-care devices, AI algorithms, and personalized medicine promise further enhancements. These advances ensure safer, efficient blood transfusion practices.

Copy Right, IJAR, 2024.. All rights reserved.

Introduction:-

Blood group typing techniques play a crucial role in transfusion medicine by ensuring the compatibility of blood products for patients in need. The most commonly used method for blood group typing is serological typing, which relies on hemagglutination reactions against specific antisera (Quraishy and Sapatnekar, 2016)

This method has been widely adopted due to its reliability and effectiveness in determining blood group compatibility [(Quraishy and Sapatnekar, 2016). Blood typing is an essential test performed during blood transfusions, tissue transplants, and even pregnancy to prevent adverse reactions and ensure the safety of the recipient (Kurec et al., 2021). The ability to accurately determine blood groups has been regarded as one of the most significant breakthroughs in the field of blood banking (Highlights of transfusion medicine history).

Traditional blood typing methods have been the cornerstone of blood bank operations for many years. These methods include serological testing, such as the ABO and Rh typing, which rely on the detection of specific antigens and antibodies in the blood (Highlights of transfusion medicine history). While these methods have proven to be reliable, they do have certain limitations. For instance, they require skilled technicians and can be time-consuming, leading to delays in providing blood products to patients in urgent need (Li and Guo, 2022). Additionally, traditional

Corresponding Author:- Prof. Navneet Kumar

Address:- Principal College of Paramedical Sciences, TMU, Moradabad, U.P, India.

methods may not always be able to detect rare blood group variants or provide detailed information about subgroups within a particular blood group (Highlights of transfusion medicine history). With advancements in technology, new blood group typing techniques have emerged to overcome the limitations of traditional methods. These include molecular testing methods, such as polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and next-generation sequencing (NGS), which offer higher accuracy, faster results, and the ability to detect rare blood group variants (Mujahid and Dickert, 2015). NGS-based typing methods have the potential to predict complex blood groups, such as Rh and ABO, with greater precision (Fürst et al., 2020). Moreover, these modern techniques enable the identification of compatible donors for patients with multiple RBC alloantibodies, expanding the pool of available blood products (Jadhaio et al., 2022). As the demand for blood group typing continues to grow, the global blood group typing market is projected to experience significant growth in the coming years (Gleadall et al., 2020). These advancements in blood group typing techniques have revolutionized blood bank operations, ensuring safer and more efficient transfusions for patients in need (Blood group typing market size, share, no date).

Advancements in serological blood typing techniques

The introduction of gel-based techniques has revolutionized the field of serological blood typing. Gel centrifugation, also known as column agglutination technology, is a modern approach that has gained substantial interest in ABO blood grouping (Malomgré and Neumeister, 2009). This technique involves the use of a gel matrix that allows for the separation of red blood cells based on their antigen-antibody interactions. The gel acts as a solid support, immobilizing the red blood cells and facilitating the detection of agglutination reactions (Malomgré and Neumeister, 2009). Gel-based techniques offer several advantages over traditional methods, including increased sensitivity, faster turnaround times, and reduced reagent consumption (Malomgré and Neumeister, 2009). These advancements have greatly improved the efficiency and accuracy of blood typing in blood banks and transfusion centers.

In addition to gel-based techniques, automated serological blood typing platforms have also been developed. These platforms utilize advanced technology to automate the process of blood typing, reducing the potential for human error and increasing efficiency. For example, some automated platforms use robotic systems to perform the necessary steps for blood typing, including sample preparation, reagent dispensing, and result interpretation (Mujahid and Dickert, 2015). This automation allows for high-throughput testing, making it possible to process a large number of samples in a shorter amount of time. Furthermore, automated platforms often have built-in quality control measures to ensure the accuracy and reliability of the results (Li and Guo, 2022). These advancements in automation have significantly improved the workflow and productivity of blood banks, enabling them to meet the increasing demand for blood typing services.

The continuous advancements in serological blood typing techniques have had a significant impact on blood bank operations. These advancements have not only improved the accuracy and efficiency of blood typing but also enhanced patient safety and transfusion outcomes. For example, the development of multiplex techniques has allowed for the simultaneous detection of multiple antigens on a single platform (Li, H. Y., & Guo, K. (2022)). This not only saves time but also reduces the risk of errors and misinterpretation of results. Additionally, the global blood group typing market is projected to reach a value of USD 2.68 billion by 2027, driven by the increasing demand for serology reagents, gel systems, and blood group genotyping products (Li, H.-Y. and Guo, K. (2022) (Gupta et al., 2022) (Larpant et al., 2022)). As technology continues to advance, it is expected that blood typing techniques will become even more accurate, efficient, and accessible, further improving blood bank operations and the overall safety of transfusions.

Advancements in molecular blood typing techniques

Advancements in blood group typing techniques have revolutionized blood bank operations, particularly in the field of molecular blood typing. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) based methods have been widely adopted for blood group typing due to their accuracy and efficiency (Mujahid and Dickert, 2015). PCR amplifies specific DNA sequences, allowing for the identification of blood group antigens (Fortune Business Insights, 2022). This technique has significantly improved the speed and accuracy of blood group typing, enabling blood banks to provide compatible blood products to patients in a timely manner. Additionally, PCR-based methods can detect rare blood group variants that may not be identifiable through serological testing (Mujahid and Dickert, 2015). This has been instrumental in reducing the risk of transfusion reactions and ensuring the safe administration of blood products to patients (Li and Guo, 2022). Another significant advancement in blood group typing is the use of DNA sequencing for blood group genotyping. DNA sequencing techniques provide detailed information about the genetic makeup of an individual's blood group antigens (Blood group typing market size, share, 2022). This allows for precise

identification of blood group phenotypes and the detection of rare variants that may impact transfusion compatibility (Jadhao et al., 2022). DNA sequencing has also enabled the identification of novel blood group antigens, expanding the knowledge base of blood group systems (Fortune Business Insights, 2020). By leveraging DNA sequencing technology, blood banks can ensure accurate and reliable blood group typing, ultimately improving patient safety and the effectiveness of transfusion therapy.

Next-generation sequencing (NGS) has further revolutionized blood group typing by enabling high-throughput analysis of multiple blood group antigens simultaneously (Lutz, Leutenegger and Hofmann-Lehmann, 1999). NGS technology allows for the rapid sequencing of large volumes of DNA, providing comprehensive information about an individual's blood group profile (Gleadall et al., 2020). This has significantly increased the efficiency of blood group typing in blood banks, as NGS can process a large number of samples in a shorter time frame (Mujahid and Dickert, 2015). Additionally, NGS has the potential to identify novel blood group antigens and improve our understanding of blood group diversity (Fürst et al., 2020). The implementation of NGS in blood bank operations has streamlined the blood typing process, leading to improved patient care and transfusion outcomes.

Impact of advancements in blood group typing techniques on blood bank operations

Advancements in blood group typing techniques have had a significant impact on blood bank operations, particularly in terms of the improved accuracy and reliability of blood typing results. Accurate and reliable blood group typing is crucial before blood transfusions to ensure compatibility between the donor and recipient (Mujahid and Dickert, 2015). Traditional serological testing methods have been the routine approach for blood group typing (Mujahid and Dickert, 2015). However, advancements in techniques such as image processing have led to the development of fast and accurate systems for determining blood types automatically (Fürst et al., 2020). These advancements have reduced the risk of errors in blood typing, providing blood banks with more reliable results and enhancing the overall safety of blood transfusions.

Another key impact of advancements in blood group typing techniques is the enhanced efficiency in blood matching for transfusions. Blood group typing allows for the identification of compatible blood types, ensuring that the right blood is provided to patients in need (Schöfl et al., 2017). With improved typing techniques, blood banks can quickly and accurately match blood types, reducing the time and resources required for the transfusion process. This efficiency not only improves the overall workflow of blood banks but also enables healthcare professionals to provide timely and appropriate transfusions to patients, potentially saving lives (Orzinska, Guz and Brojer, 2019).

Advancements in blood group typing techniques have also led to a reduction in transfusion reactions and complications. ABO incompatibility, where the recipient's antibodies react against the donor's blood cells, can result in severe transfusion reactions (Odeh et al., 2021). By accurately identifying and matching blood types, the risk of ABO incompatibility and other transfusion reactions is significantly reduced. This improvement in safety has a direct impact on patient outcomes, minimizing the potential for adverse events and complications associated with transfusions (Global blood group typing market - technological advancements and detailed industry analysis, 2022). As a result, advancements in blood group typing techniques have played a crucial role in improving the overall safety and efficacy of blood transfusions, benefiting both patients and healthcare providers.

Streamlining blood donor screening and selection processes

Streamlining blood donor screening and selection processes is crucial for ensuring the safety and efficiency of blood bank operations. One advancement in blood group typing techniques is the development of rapid and accurate methods for determining donor eligibility. Traditional serological testing, which relies on hemagglutination reactions against specific antisera, has been the routine method for blood group typing [(Quraishy and Sapatnekar, 2016). However, this method can be time-consuming and may have limitations in terms of accuracy (Mujahid and Dickert, 2015). To address this, novel genetic blood typing algorithms have been developed, such as RBCeq, which can accurately identify multiple blood group systems (Fürst et al., 2020) (San Diego Blood Bank launches innovative Precision Blood™ expansion (PRESS RELEASE), 2023). These advancements in blood group typing techniques enable blood banks to determine the blood type of potential donors, streamlining the screening process and ensuring the suitability of donated blood quickly and reliably for transfusion.

Another important aspect of streamlining blood bank operations is the identification of rare blood types for targeted donor recruitment. Rare blood types, such as those with rare antigens or negative antigens, are valuable resources for patients with specific transfusion needs. Having a protocol in place to identify and recruit donors with rare blood

types is essential for ensuring an adequate supply of compatible blood for patients (Open Resources for Nursing (Open RN), Advances in blood group typing techniques, including red cell genotyping, have facilitated the identification of antigen-negative blood in a large percentage of cases (Gleadall et al., 2020). This improved ability to identify and recruit donors with rare blood types ensures that patients with specific transfusion requirements receive the appropriate blood products, enhancing the safety and effectiveness of blood transfusions.

The advancements in blood group typing techniques have also contributed to improved safety and quality control in blood bank operations. Accurate blood group typing is critical for ensuring transfusion safety and compatibility between donors and recipients (Highlights of transfusion medicine history). By utilizing more precise and reliable blood typing methods, blood banks can minimize the risk of transfusion reactions and improve patient outcomes. Furthermore, the standardization and speed of transfusion diagnostics have been enhanced by these advancements, leading to greater efficiency in blood bank operations (Gleadall et al., 2020). Overall, the integration of advanced blood group typing techniques into blood bank operations has resulted in improved safety, better patient care, and increased effectiveness in matching blood products to patients' needs.

Facilitating rare blood type identification and inventory management

Advancements in blood group typing techniques have greatly facilitated the identification of rare blood types, leading to improved inventory management in blood banks. Traditional methods of blood type identification, such as the test-tube method, while prevalent, have certain limitations (Mujahid and Dickert, 2015). However, molecular typing approaches have emerged as a more accurate and reliable method for identifying rare blood types (Mujahid and Dickert, 2015). For example, in 1952, a new rare blood group related to the ABO system was first described in Bombay, India, leading to the creation of a dedicated registry for individuals with this rare blood type (Jadhao et al., 2022). Additionally, novel genetic blood typing algorithms, such as RBCeq, have been developed to accurately identify multiple blood group systems, further enhancing the ability to identify and manage rare blood types (Fürst et al., 2020) (Nance et al., 2016).

The utilization of rare blood resources has also been optimized through advancements in blood group typing techniques. Serological typing, based on hemagglutination reactions against specific antisera, has been the most commonly used method for blood typing [(Quraishy and Sapatnekar, 2016). However, with the introduction of molecular techniques, a more comprehensive understanding of the genetic basis of blood types has allowed for more efficient allocation and utilization of rare blood resources. This has been particularly beneficial for recipients with rare total deficiencies in certain antigens, such as the Oh Bombay phenotype, who can only be transfused with group Oh blood (Jadhao et al., 2022). By accurately identifying compatible blood types, blood banks can ensure that rare blood resources are utilized effectively and that patients receive the most appropriate transfusions.

The advancements in blood group typing techniques have significantly impacted blood bank operations, leading to improved efficiency and patient care. The establishment of dedicated registries for rare blood types, such as the registry for individuals with the Bombay blood group, has enhanced the ability to quickly identify compatible donors for patients with specific blood type requirements (Jadhao et al., 2022). This, coupled with the development of molecular typing algorithms, has allowed for more precise matching of blood types, reducing the risk of adverse reactions and improving patient outcomes (Nance et al., 2016). Furthermore, the utilization of rare blood resources has been optimized, ensuring that these valuable resources are allocated appropriately and efficiently (Schöfl et al., 2017). Overall, the advancements in blood group typing techniques have revolutionized blood bank operations, enabled better management of rare blood types and ultimately improved patient care.

Enhancing compatibility testing for organ transplantation

In recent years, advancements in blood typing techniques have greatly enhanced compatibility testing for organ transplantation. Traditionally, serological testing has been the routine method for blood group typing (Mujahid and Dickert, 2015). However, new techniques have emerged that offer more accurate and reliable results. These advanced blood typing techniques utilize molecular methods such as polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and DNA sequencing to identify specific blood group antigens (Gleadall et al., 2020). This allows for a more comprehensive assessment of compatibility between the donor and recipient, reducing the risk of complications during organ transplantation (Li and Guo, 2022). The use of advanced blood typing techniques has led to a significant reduction in transplant rejection and complications. By accurately matching the blood types of the donor and recipient, the risk of immune reactions and organ rejection is minimized (Flegel, Gottschall and Denomme, 2015). This is particularly important in bone marrow transplants, where non-HLA identical donors can lead to delayed engraftment and

increased rejection rates (Anso et al., 2023). With improved compatibility testing, healthcare providers can select the most suitable donors for transplantation, improving patient outcomes and increasing the success rate of these procedures (MoIDX: Blood Product Molecular Antigen Typing, no date).

The impact of advancements in blood typing techniques on blood bank operations is profound. These techniques not only enhance compatibility testing for organ transplantation but also play a crucial role in blood transfusion processes. Accurate blood typing tests are essential to ensure compatibility between the donor's and recipient's blood types Li, H.-Y. and Guo, K. (2022). This helps prevent adverse reactions and transfusion-related complications (Fasano et al., 2017). Additionally, new technologies such as Precision Blood™ typing offer a more precise matching of blood recipients with donors, reducing the risk of incompatible mixtures (Orzinska, Guz and Brojer, 2019). Overall, the use of advanced blood typing techniques in blood bank operations improves patient safety and contributes to more efficient and effective healthcare practices.

Integration of blood group typing with other laboratory tests

Integration of blood group typing with other laboratory tests has greatly improved the efficiency and accuracy of blood bank operations. The most commonly used method for blood group typing is serological typing, which relies on hemagglutination reactions against specific antisera (Quraishy and Sapatnekar, 2016). This method has proven to be reliable in determining blood types and identifying potential compatibility issues (Highlights of transfusion medicine history). By integrating blood typing with antibody screening, blood banks can ensure that donated blood is safe for transfusion and minimize the risk of adverse reactions. This integration allows for a more comprehensive evaluation of blood compatibility and enhances patient safety during the transfusion process (Li and Guo, 2022).

Compatibility testing for platelet transfusions is another area where advancements in blood group typing techniques have made a significant impact. Platelets are crucial for blood clotting, and their transfusion is often necessary for patients with low platelet counts or bleeding disorders. Accurate blood group typing ensures that platelets from compatible donors are selected, reducing the risk of adverse reactions and improving the effectiveness of the transfusion (Nance et al., 2016). With improved techniques, such as the simultaneous detection and visualization of multiple Rh antigens on red blood cells within a short timeframe Li, H. Y., & Guo, K. (2022), blood banks can efficiently match platelet transfusions to the specific needs of patients, ultimately improving patient care and treatment outcomes.

The integration of advanced blood group typing techniques into blood bank operations has resulted in improved patient care and expanded treatment options. By accurately identifying blood types and ensuring compatibility, healthcare providers can confidently administer blood transfusions and tissue transplants (Kurec et al., 2021). This is particularly important during pregnancy, where blood typing is necessary to prevent Rh incompatibility between the mother and fetus (Kurec et al., 2021). Additionally, advancements in blood group typing have facilitated the development of personalized medicine approaches, allowing for targeted therapies and customized treatment plans based on an individual's blood type (Fasano et al., 2017). Overall, these advancements have revolutionized blood bank operations and significantly enhanced patient outcomes.

Challenges and limitations of advanced blood group typing techniques

One of the challenges associated with advanced blood group typing techniques is the cost implications and resource requirements. These advanced techniques often require specialized equipment and reagents, which can be expensive to acquire and maintain (Quraishy and Sapatnekar, 2016). Additionally, the implementation of these techniques may require additional personnel and training, further adding to the overall cost (Schöfl et al., 2017). This can pose a significant challenge for blood banks, especially those with limited financial resources, as they may struggle to afford the necessary equipment and expertise to adopt these advanced techniques.

Another challenge is the need for training and expertise in implementing advanced blood group typing techniques. These techniques often involve complex molecular methods such as Sanger sequencing and amplicon-based approaches (Immunology of transplant rejection, 2023) (Mujahid and Dickert, 2015). Proper training is essential to ensure accurate and reliable results, as well as to minimize the risk of errors or misinterpretation of data (Li and Guo, 2022). However, training personnel in these advanced techniques can be time-consuming and may require ongoing education to keep up with the latest advancements in the field. This can pose a challenge for blood banks in terms of finding qualified personnel and providing continuous training opportunities.

Standardization and quality control issues are also important considerations when implementing advanced blood group typing techniques. The accuracy and reliability of these techniques are crucial for ensuring the safety of blood transfusions and minimizing the risk of adverse reactions (Quraishy and Sapatnekar, 2016). However, variations in laboratory protocols and reagents can lead to inconsistent results, making it challenging to compare and interpret data across different blood banks (Highlights of transfusion medicine history). Therefore, standardization of protocols and rigorous quality control measures are necessary to ensure the reliability and reproducibility of results (Jadhao et al., 2022). This requires collaboration and coordination among blood banks and regulatory bodies to establish guidelines and monitor compliance. Overall, while advanced blood group typing techniques offer numerous benefits, there are several challenges and limitations that need to be addressed for their successful implementation in blood bank operations. These include the cost implications and resource requirements, the need for training and expertise, and the standardization and quality control issues. By addressing these challenges, blood banks can harness the full potential of these advanced techniques to improve the efficiency and accuracy of blood typing, ultimately enhancing the safety and effectiveness of blood transfusions (Gleadall et al., 2020).

Future prospects and developments in blood group typing techniques

The development of point-of-care blood typing devices is one of the significant advancements in blood group typing techniques. These devices allow for rapid and accurate blood typing at the bedside or in remote locations, reducing the turnaround time for blood transfusions (Mujahid and Dickert, 2015). These portable devices use various methods, such as immunochromatographic assays and microfluidic systems, to determine blood types quickly and efficiently. The implementation of point-of-care blood typing devices in blood bank operations can streamline the process of matching compatible blood types, ensuring timely and appropriate transfusions (Li and Guo, 2022).

Artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) have also made significant contributions to blood group typing techniques. AI algorithms can analyze large volumes of data and identify patterns that may not be apparent to human observers. ML techniques can be used to predict blood types based on genetic markers, improving the accuracy and efficiency of blood typing (Blood transfusion procedure, 2023). These advancements have the potential to revolutionize blood bank operations by enabling more precise and personalized blood transfusion medicine Goldman, M. (2019). By leveraging AI and ML, blood banks can optimize donor matching, reduce the risk of transfusion reactions, and improve patient outcomes.

The future of blood group typing techniques holds promise for personalized blood transfusion medicine. Researchers are exploring the use of genetic algorithms to accurately identify various blood group systems (Fürst et al., 2020). This approach can provide a comprehensive understanding of an individual's blood type, including rare or complex variants, allowing for tailored transfusion strategies (Guidance for addressing blood type determination - OPTN, 2020). Additionally, the development of universal donor typing platforms aims to facilitate a universal extended blood-matching policy (Blood group typing market size, share, 2022). These platforms can increase the availability of compatible blood for transfusions, particularly in emergency situations or when rare blood types are needed (Global blood group typing market - technological advancements and detailed industry analysis, 2022). The integration of personalized medicine principles into blood bank operations has the potential to enhance patient safety and improve the efficiency of blood transfusion processes (Smit Sibinga, 2022).

Conclusion:-

Advancements in blood group typing techniques have had a significant impact on blood bank operations. The introduction of gel-based and automated serological techniques has improved the accuracy and reliability of blood typing results, leading to enhanced efficiency in blood matching for transfusions and a reduction in transfusion reactions and complications. These advancements have also streamlined blood donor screening and selection processes, allowing for rapid and accurate blood typing for donor eligibility and the identification of rare blood types for targeted recruitment. Furthermore, molecular techniques have facilitated rare blood type identification and inventory management, while also enhancing compatibility testing for organ transplantation. Integration of blood group typing with other laboratory tests has improved patient care and treatment options. Despite challenges and limitations, such as cost implications and standardization issues, the future prospects for blood group typing techniques are promising, with the development of point-of-care devices and the potential for personalized blood transfusion medicine. Overall, these advancements have greatly improved the safety, efficiency, and quality control of blood bank operations.

Source of Funding:

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Conflict of Interest:

The authors declared no conflicts of interest.

Ethical approval:

Not Applicable

Author Contribution:

All authors contributed equally and significantly to this paper. All authors have read and approved the final version of the manuscript

Bibliography:-

1. Anso, I. et al. (2023) "Turning universal O into rare Bombay type blood," *Nature communications*, 14(1), p. 1765. doi: 10.1038/s41467-023-37324-z.
2. Blood group typing market size, share (2022) *Fortunebusinessinsights.com*. Available at: <https://www.fortunebusinessinsights.com/industry-reports/blood-group-typing-market-101767> (Accessed: August 15, 2023).
3. Blood transfusion procedure (2023) *Cancer.org*. Available at: <https://www.cancer.org/cancer/managing-cancer/treatment-types/blood-transfusion-and-donation/how-blood-transfusions-are-done.html> (Accessed: August 15, 2023).
4. Fasano, R. M. et al. (2017) "Genotyping applications for transplantation and transfusion management: The Emory experience," *Archives of pathology & laboratory medicine*, 141(3), pp. 329–340. doi: 10.5858/arpa.2016-0277-SA.
5. Flegel, W. A., Gottschall, J. L. and Denomme, G. A. (2015) "Integration of red cell genotyping into the blood supply chain: a population-based study," *The Lancet. Haematology*, 2(7), pp. e282–e288. doi: 10.1016/s2352-3026(15)00090-3.
6. Fortune Business Insights (2020) Blood group typing market worth \$2.68 billion at 7.2% CAGR by 2027; Dominant players such as Grifols and Merck focus on developing advanced blood typing solutions: *Fortune business insightstm*, Fortune Business Insights. Available at: <https://www.globenewswire.com/news-release/2020/09/29/2100780/0/en/Blood-GroupTyping-Market-Worth-2-68-Billion-at-7-2-CAGR-by-2027-Dominant-Players-Such-as-Grifols-and-Merck-Focus-on-Developing-Advanced-Blood-Typing-Solutions-Fortune-Business-Ins.html> (Accessed: August 15, 2023).
7. Fortune Business Insights (2022) With 7.2% CAGR, Blood Group Typing Market size worth USD 2.68 Billion by 2027, *Yahoo Finance*. Available at: <https://finance.yahoo.com/news/7-2-cagr-blood-group-083500389.html> (Accessed: August 15, 2023).
8. Fürst, D. et al. (2020) "Next-generation sequencing technologies in blood group typing," *Transfusion medicine and hemotherapy*, 47(1), pp. 4–13. doi: 10.1159/000504765.
9. Fürst, D. et al. (2020) "Next-generation sequencing technologies in blood group typing," *Transfusion medicine and hemotherapy*, 47(1), pp. 4–13. doi: 10.1159/000504765.
10. Gleadall, N. S. et al. (2020) "Development and validation of a universal blood donor genotyping platform: a multinational prospective study," *Blood advances*, 4(15), pp. 3495–3506. doi: 10.1182/bloodadvances.2020001894.
11. Gleadall, N. S. et al. (2020) "Development and validation of a universal blood donor genotyping platform: a multinational prospective study," *Blood advances*, 4(15), pp. 3495–3506. doi: 10.1182/bloodadvances.2020001894.
12. Gleadall, N. S. et al. (2020) "Development and validation of a universal blood donor genotyping platform: a multinational prospective study," *Blood advances*, 4(15), pp. 3495–3506. doi: 10.1182/bloodadvances.2020001894.
13. Global blood group typing market - technological advancements and detailed industry analysis (2022) *BioSpace*. Available at: <https://www.biospace.com/article/global-blood-group-typing-market-technological-advancements-and-detailed-industry-analysis/> (Accessed: August 15, 2023).

14. Goldman, M. (2019). Abstract of 30th Regional Congress of the ISBT. <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/>, [online] 114, (S2) , pp.5-135. Available at: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/vox.12847>. [Accessed 1 Jan. 1970].
15. Guidance for addressing blood type determination - OPTN (2020) Hrsa.gov. Available at: <https://optn.transplant.hrsa.gov/professionals/by-topic/guidance/guidance-for-addressing-blood-type-determination/> (Accessed: August 15, 2023).
16. Gupta, M. et al. (2022) “Advances in applications of Non-Destructive Testing (NDT): A review,” *Advances in materials and processing technologies*, 8(2), pp. 2286–2307. doi: 10.1080/2374068x.2021.1909332.
17. Highlights of transfusion medicine history (online) aabb.org. Available at: <https://www.aabb.org/news-resources/resources/transfusion-medicine/highlights-of-transfusion-medicine-history> (Accessed: August 15, 2023).
18. Highlights of transfusion medicine history (online) aabb.org. Available at: <https://www.aabb.org/news-resources/resources/transfusion-medicine/highlights-of-transfusion-medicine-history> (Accessed: August 15, 2023).
19. Immunology of transplant rejection (2023) Medscape.com. Available at: <https://emedicine.medscape.com/article/432209-overview?form=fpf> (Accessed: August 15, 2023).
20. Jadhao, S. et al. (2022) “RBCeq: A robust and scalable algorithm for accurate genetic blood typing,” *EBioMedicine*, 76(103759), p. 103759. doi: 10.1016/j.ebiom.2021.103759.
21. Jadhao, S. et al. (2022) “RBCeq: A robust and scalable algorithm for accurate genetic blood typing,” *EBioMedicine*, 76(103759), p. 103759. doi: 10.1016/j.ebiom.2021.103759.
22. Kurec, A. et al. (2021) Blood typing testing, Testing.com. Available at: <https://www.testing.com/tests/blood-typing/> (Accessed: August 15, 2023).
23. Larpant, N. et al. (2022) “Simultaneous phenotyping of five Rh red blood cell antigens on a paper-based analytical device combined with deep learning for rapid and accurate interpretation,” *Analytica chimica acta*, 1207(339807), p. 339807. doi: 10.1016/j.aca.2022.339807.
24. Li, H.-Y. and Guo, K. (2022) “Blood Group Testing,” *Frontiers in medicine*, 9. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2022.827619.
25. Lutz, H., Leutenegger, C. and Hofmann-Lehmann, R. (1999) “The role of polymerase chain reaction and its newer developments in feline medicine,” *Journal of feline medicine and surgery*, 1(2), pp. 89–100. doi: 10.1016/s1098-612x(99)90065-7.
26. Malomgré, W. and Neumeister, B. (2009) “Recent and future trends in blood group typing,” *Analytical and bioanalytical chemistry*, 393(5), pp. 1443–1451. doi: 10.1007/s00216-008-2411-3.
27. MolDX: Blood Product Molecular Antigen Typing (no date) Cms.gov. Available at: https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/view/lcd.aspx?lcdid=38249&ContrId=228&ContrVer=2&CtrctrSelected=228*2&Ctrctr=228&name=&DocType=2&bc=AAAAGACAAAAA&= (Accessed: August 15, 2023).
28. Mujahid, A. and Dickert, F. (2015) “Blood group typing: From classical strategies to the application of synthetic antibodies generated by molecular imprinting,” *Sensors (Basel, Switzerland)*, 16(1), p. 51. doi: 10.3390/s16010051.
29. Mujahid, A. and Dickert, F. (2015) “Blood group typing: From classical strategies to the application of synthetic antibodies generated by molecular imprinting,” *Sensors (Basel, Switzerland)*, 16(1), p. 51. doi: 10.3390/s16010051.
30. Nance, S. et al. (2016) “International rare donor panels: a review,” *Vox sanguinis*, 110(3), pp. 209–218. doi: 10.1111/vox.12357.
31. Odeh, N. et al. (2021) “An efficient system for automatic blood type determination based on image matching techniques,” *Applied sciences (Basel, Switzerland)*, 11(11), p. 5225. doi: 10.3390/app11115225.
32. Open Resources for Nursing (Open RN) (no date) “3.2 basic concepts,” in *Nursing Advanced Skills*.
33. Orzinska, A., Guz, K. and Brojer, E. (2019) “Potential of next-generation sequencing to match blood group antigens for transfusion,” *International journal of clinical transfusion medicine*, 7, pp. 11–22. doi: 10.2147/ijctm.s175142.
34. Quraishy, N. and Sapatnekar, S. (2016) “Advances in blood typing,” *Advances in clinical chemistry*, 77, pp. 221–269. doi: 10.1016/bs.acc.2016.06.006.
35. San Diego Blood Bank launches innovative Precision Blood™ expansion (PRESS RELEASE) (2023) San Diego Blood Bank. Available at: <https://www.sandiegobloodbank.org/san-diego-blood-bank-launches-innovative%20precision-blood%E2%84%A2-expansion-press-release> (Accessed: August 15, 2023).

36. Schöfl, G. et al. (2017) “2.7 million samples genotyped for HLA by next generation sequencing: lessons learned,” *BMC genomics*, 18(1). doi: 10.1186/s12864-017-3575-z.
37. Smit Sibinga, C. T. (2022) “Transfusion medicine: From AB0 to AI (artificial intelligence),” in *Digital Health*. Exon Publications, pp. 107–120.