



Journal Homepage: [-www.journalijar.com](http://www.journalijar.com)

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ADVANCED RESEARCH (IJAR)

Article DOI:10.21474/IJAR01/20498
DOI URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.21474/IJAR01/20498>



RESEARCH ARTICLE

SHAPE AND TOPOLOGICAL OPTIMIZATION OF A NONLINEAR ELLIPTICAL PROBLEM

Malick Fall^{1,3,4}, Bakary Kourouma¹, Mouhamadou Baidy Dia^{2,3,4} and Mame Gor Ngom^{1,3,5}

1. Université Gamal Abdel Nasser de Conakry, FST, BP 1147 Conakry, Guinea.
2. Université Juluis Nyerere de KanKan, Guinea, département de Mathématiques.
3. Equipe de recherche: Analyse Non-Linéaire et Géométrie/UAD.
4. Laboratoire d'Informatique, de Mathématiques et Applications (LIMA), UFR SATIC, Université Alioune Diop de Bambey, BP 30 Bambey, Sénégal.
5. Laboratoire de Mathématiques de la Décision et d'Analyse Numérique (L.M.D.A.N).

Manuscript Info

Manuscript History

Received: 26 December 2024

Final Accepted: 28 January 2025

Published: February 2025

Key words:-

Thermoelasticity Problem, Shape
Derivative, Shape Gradient, Minmax

Abstract

In this work, let us deal with existence and derivation results in shape optimization. It should be noted that a shape optimization problem does not generally have a solution with only its initial data. To get around the non-existence of solution, we impose geometric order restrictions (i.e. volume type) and we work with the open class checking the ε -cône property to obtain existence. On the other hand, we determine the shape derivative using the Lagrange method. And then we establish the topological derivative using the minmax method.

"© 2025 by the Author(s). Published by IJAR under CC BY 4.0. Unrestricted use allowed with credit to the author."

1. Introduction:-

In this paper, we are interested with shape optimization problems using the functional

$$J(\Omega) = a \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_{\Omega} - \nabla v_0|^2 dx + b \int_{\Omega} |u_{\Omega} - v_1|^2 dx. \quad (1.1)$$

where a and b two real numbers, v_0 (respectively v_1) are the given functions of $H_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ (respectively $L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$) and u_{Ω} is the solution of the following Neumann problem :

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u_{\Omega} + u^q = f \text{ in } \Omega \\ \frac{\partial u_{\Omega}}{\partial n} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (1.2).$$

where $q > 1$ is an integer.

The objective of this paper is fixed around three main axes, that is to say the existence of optimal shape solution, the shape derivative using vector fields and the topological derivative using the minmax method. These types of problems have been studied by many authors who can be cited [2, 3, 9, 10, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 15].

We will give existence results by adding constraints, either on the functional to be minimized or on the set of admissible domains. But we can also increase volume constraints. Thus, it will be a question of giving existence results assuming that the boundary is uniformly regular.

Corresponding Author:- Malick Fall

Address:- Université Gamal Abdel Nasser de Conakry, FST, BP 1147 Conakry, Guinea.

Let us denote by O_{ad} the set of admissible open sets. We assume that this set satisfies the following properties:

$$O_{ad} \subset O_\varepsilon$$

(the set of open checking the property of the ε -cône). It is also closed for one of the three types of convergence, namely convergence in the sense of Hausdorff, in the sense of characteristic functions or in the sense of compacts.

We consider $J(\Omega_\varepsilon) = J(\Omega_\varepsilon, u_\varepsilon)$, where the perturbed domain Ω_ε of Ω is defined by $\Omega_\varepsilon = T_\varepsilon(\Omega)$ or $\Omega_\varepsilon = \Omega \setminus E_\varepsilon$ on the derivative to be calculated.

The paper is organized as follows: In the first section we give the introduction. In the second section, we establish the existence of optimal form. Section 3 is reserved for the form derivative of the functional using the Lagrange method. In this part we first give an example of application of the derivative in the sense of Hadamard. Then we apply them to the energy functionals. In the section 4, we give the topological derivative using minmax method. And in the section 5, we give the conclusion of the work.

2. Existence of a solution by the ε -cône property

We consider a functional of the form:

$$J_1(\Omega) = \int_{\Omega} F(x, u_{\Omega}, \nabla u_{\Omega}) dx \quad (3.1)$$

Where

$$F : B \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

is a continuous function, measurable in (x, r, p) and verifying the hypothesis

$$|F(x, r, p)| \leq c(1 + r^2 + |p|^2) \forall x \in B, \forall r \in \mathbb{R}, \forall p \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad (3.2)$$

with $u = u_{\Omega}$ of the following Neumann problem:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + u^q = f \text{ in } \Omega \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

with $\Omega \subset B$ (where B is an open \mathbb{R}^N) and $f \in L^2(B)$.

We further consider the following functional:

$$J_2(\Omega) = \int_{\Omega} F(x, v_{\Omega}(x), 0) dx + \alpha \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_{\Omega}(x)|^2 dx \quad (3.5)$$

with $\alpha \geq 0$ and v_{Ω} solution of (3.4).

We then ask:

$$J(\Omega) = \int_{\Omega} F(x, u_{\Omega}(x), \nabla u_{\Omega}(x)) dx. \quad (3.6)$$

We notice that J is well defined, because F is by hypothesis, a function measurable in (r, p) p.p. Thus, we show that $J(\Omega) < +\infty$. Indeed, according to (3.2) we have:

$$\begin{aligned} |J(\Omega)| &= \left| \int_{\Omega} F(x, u_{\Omega}(x), \nabla u_{\Omega}(x)) dx \right| \leq \int_{\Omega} c(1 + u_{\Omega}(x)^2 + |\nabla u_{\Omega}(x)|^2) dx, \\ &\leq c \int_{\Omega} (1 + u_{\Omega}(x)^2 + |\nabla u_{\Omega}(x)|^2) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we can increase

$$|J(\Omega)| \leq c(\|u_{\Omega}\|_{H^1} + |\Omega|) < +\infty.$$

So $|J(\Omega)| < +\infty$, hence $J(\Omega)$ is well defined. We therefore recall that problems (3.4) is well posed in the sense of Hadamard. Indeed, we have specified the Neumann boundary conditions.

In all that follows, we set $\varepsilon > 0$ and we consider the set O_ε defined by:

$$O_\varepsilon = \{\Omega \text{ open}, \Omega \subset D, \Omega \text{ has the property of } \varepsilon\text{-cône}\}.$$

We therefore consider the following shape optimization problem:

$$\min \{J(\Omega) : \Omega \in O_\varepsilon\},$$

where J designates the functional of type J_1 or J_2 . In all that follows, we seek to determine optimal shape existence results for shape optimization problems. But before giving optimal form existence results, we need the following results:

Theorem 2.1 Let Ω_n be an open sequence in the class O_ε . Then there exists an open $\Omega \in O_\varepsilon$ and an sub-sequence Ω_{n_k} which converges towards Ω both in the sense of Hausdorff, in the sense of the characteristic functions and in the sense of compact. In addition $\overline{\Omega_{n_k}}$ and $\partial\Omega_{n_k}$ converges in the Hausdorff sense respectively to $\overline{\Omega}$ and $\partial\Omega$.

LEMMA 2.2: Let K be a compact and B a bounded open of \mathbb{R}^N . Let Ω_n be a sequence of open

With $\overline{\Omega_n} \subset K \subset B$, verifying the ownership of the ε -cône.

Then there is an open Ω verifying the ownership of the ε -cône and an extracted sequence Ω_{n_k} such as

$$u_{\Omega_{n_k}} \xrightarrow{H} u_\Omega, \quad \chi_{\Omega_{n_k}} \xrightarrow{L^1 p.p} \chi_\Omega$$

$$\overline{\Omega_{n_k}} \xrightarrow{H} \overline{\Omega}, \quad \partial\Omega_{n_k} \xrightarrow{H} \partial\Omega.$$

It is a result which will allow us to characterize the existence of solution.

Proof. See [1].

Consider the following Neumann equation:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u_\Omega + u_\Omega^q = f \text{ in } \Omega \\ \frac{\partial u_\Omega}{\partial n} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (3.7)$$

So, by doing the variational formulation and integrating, we have according to Green's formula:

$$v \in H^1(\Omega), \quad \int_\Omega \nabla u_\Omega \cdot \nabla v \, dx + \int_\Omega u_\Omega^q \, dx = \int_\Omega f v \, dx,$$

with $f \in L^2(B)$.

In what follows, we focus on the fundamental result of the game.

Theorem 2.3 Let $O_{ad} \subset O_\varepsilon$ be a non-empty set of open sets satisfying a closure property for convergence in the sense of Hausdorff, F a function which satisfies (3.2) and J_1 (respectively J_2) defined in (3.1) (respectively in (3.5)). Then, there exists $\Omega \in O_{ad}$ which minimizes J_1 (respectively J_2).

Proof. Let us show that J_1 is bounded.

We have

$$|J_1(\Omega_n)| = \left| \int_{\Omega_n} F(x, u_{\Omega_n}(x), \nabla u_{\Omega_n}(x)) \, dx \right| \leq c(\|u_{\Omega_n}\|_{H^1} + |\Omega_n|) < +\infty,$$

which shows that $J_1(\Omega_n)$ is increased. Moreover,

$$|J_1(\Omega_n)| = \left| \int_{\Omega_n} F(x, u_{\Omega_n}(x), \nabla u_{\Omega_n}(x)) \, dx \right|$$

and $J_1(\Omega_n) > -\infty$ because $u_{\Omega_n} \in H^1$. So $J_1(\Omega_n)$ is reduced. Thus, $J_1(\Omega_n)$ is bounded. Let us ask

$$m = \inf_{\Omega \in O_\varepsilon \text{ or } O_{ad}} J_1(\Omega). \quad (3.8)$$

Then, according to the properties of the lower bound, there exists a minimizing sequence (Ω_n) of O_{ad} such that

$$J_1(\Omega_n) \rightarrow m = \inf_{\Omega \in O_\varepsilon \text{ or } O_{ad}} J_1(\Omega).$$

Let $\Omega_n \in O_{ad}$. According to Theorem 2.1, there exists an open $\Omega \in O_\varepsilon$ and an extracted sequence (Ω_{n_k}) which converges to Ω in the Hausdorff sense. Like $\Omega_n \in O_{ad} \subset O_\varepsilon$, the sequence (Ω_n) verifies the property of the ε -cône. According to Lemma 2.2, we can extract from the sequence (Ω_n) a subsequence (Ω_{n_k}) which verifies the following convergences:

$$\Omega_{n_k} \xrightarrow{H} \Omega, \quad \chi_{\Omega_{n_k}} \rightarrow \chi_\Omega \text{ in } L^1(p.p.),$$

$$u_{\Omega_{n_k}} \xrightarrow{H} u_\Omega, \quad \partial\Omega_{n_k} \xrightarrow{H} \partial\Omega.$$

with Ω verifying the ε -cône property.

It will now be a matter of showing that:

$$\lim J_1(\Omega_n) = J(\Omega) = \inf_{\Omega \in O_\varepsilon \text{ or } O_{ad}} J_1(\Omega).$$

Let us make the variational formulation of the problem with Neumann condition at the boundary:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u_{\Omega} + u_{\Omega}^q = f \text{ in } \Omega \\ \frac{\partial u_{\Omega}}{\partial n} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

By multiplying the above equation by a test function $\varphi \in H^1(\Omega)$ and integrating, we have :

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla u_{\Omega} \cdot \nabla \varphi dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial u_{\Omega}}{\partial n} \varphi d\sigma + \int_{\Omega} u_{\Omega}^q \varphi dx = \int_{\Omega} f \varphi dx.$$

$$\text{Since } \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial u_{\Omega}}{\partial n} \varphi d\sigma = 0,$$

Then we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla u_{\Omega} \cdot \nabla \varphi dx + \int_{\Omega} u_{\Omega}^q \varphi dx = \int_{\Omega} f \varphi dx.$$

Thus, according to the Lax-Milgram theorem, we can show the existence of a unique solution to this problem.

So, in Ω_n , we have the following variational formulation:

$$\int_{\Omega_n} \nabla u_{\Omega} \cdot \nabla \varphi dx + \int_{\Omega_n} u_{\Omega}^q \varphi dx = \int_{\Omega_n} f \varphi dx.$$

Since $\Omega_{ad} \subset \Omega_{\varepsilon}$, we can define an extension in Ω_n by: there exists an operator

$P_{\Omega_n} : H^1(\Omega_n) \rightarrow H^1(B)$,
with B a bounded open of \mathbb{R}^N , such that

$$P_{\Omega_n}(u_{\Omega_n}) = \begin{cases} u_{\Omega_n} & \text{if } x \in \Omega_n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

So either

$$\widetilde{u}_n = \begin{cases} u_{\Omega_n} & \text{if } x \in \Omega_n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

And

$$\widetilde{\varphi} = \begin{cases} \varphi & \text{if } x \in \Omega_n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

So, in Ω_{n_k} we have the following variational formulation:

$$\int_{\Omega_{n_k}} \nabla u_{\Omega_{n_k}} \cdot \nabla \varphi dx + \int_{\Omega_{n_k}} u_{\Omega_{n_k}}^q \varphi dx = \int_{\Omega_{n_k}} f \varphi dx. \quad (a)$$

Taking $\varphi = u_{\Omega_{n_k}}$, we obtain:

$$\int_B |\nabla u_{\Omega_{n_k}}|^2 dx + \int_B u_{\Omega_{n_k}}^q \cdot u_{\Omega_{n_k}} dx + \int_B f u_{\Omega_{n_k}} dx.$$

Thus, we have:

$$\|u_{\Omega_{n_k}}\|_{H^1(B)}^2 \leq C \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|u_{\Omega_{n_k}}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}.$$

From which it follows that

$$\|u_{\Omega_{n_k}}\|_{H^1(B)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}.$$

Therefore, the sequence $(u_{\Omega_{n_k}})$ is bounded in $H^1(B)$.

Since $H^1(B)$ is a reflexive Hilbert space, there exists $u^* \in H^1(B)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} u_{\Omega_{n_k}} &\rightharpoonup u^* && \text{weakly in } H^1(B), \\ u_{\Omega_{n_k}} &\rightarrow u^* && \text{in } L^2(B) \text{ (strongly).} \end{aligned}$$

Let us now show that:

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla u^* \cdot \nabla \varphi dx + \int_{\Omega} (u^*)^q \varphi dx = \int_{\Omega} f \varphi dx, \forall \varphi \in H^1(\Omega).$$

For this, given that $\varphi \in D(\Omega)$, there exists a certain rank from which $\varphi \in D(\Omega_{n_k})$. Thus, by multiplying equality (a) by $\chi_{\Omega_{n_k}}$, we have:

$$\int_B \chi_{\Omega_{n_k}} \nabla u_{\Omega_{n_k}} \cdot \nabla \varphi dx + \int_B \chi_{\Omega_{n_k}} u_{\Omega_{n_k}}^q \varphi dx = \int_B \chi_{\Omega_{n_k}} f \varphi dx, \forall \varphi \in H^1(B).$$

Since $\chi_{\Omega_{n_k}} \rightarrow \chi_\Omega$ in $L^1(B)$ (p.p.),

and using the weak convergence in $H^1(B)$ of $u_{\Omega_{n_k}}$, passing to the limit when $k \rightarrow +\infty$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{\Omega_{n_k}} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_i} &\rightarrow \chi_\Omega \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_i} \text{ in } L^2(B), \\ \frac{\partial u_{\Omega_{n_k}}}{\partial x_i} &\rightarrow \frac{\partial u^*}{\partial x_i} \text{ in } L^2(B) \end{aligned}$$

$$\chi_{\Omega_{n_k}} \nabla u_{\Omega_{n_k}} \rightarrow \chi_\Omega \nabla u^* \text{ in } L^2(B), \chi_{\Omega_{n_k}} u_{\Omega_{n_k}} \rightarrow \chi_\Omega u^* \text{ in } L^1(B).$$

Thus, we have:

$$\int_B \chi_{\Omega_{n_k}} \nabla u_{\Omega_{n_k}} \cdot \nabla \varphi dx \rightarrow \int_B \chi_\Omega \nabla u^* \cdot \nabla \varphi dx = \int_\Omega \nabla u^* \cdot \nabla \varphi dx.$$

Finally, we obtain:

$$\int_\Omega \nabla u_\Omega^* \cdot \nabla \varphi dx + \int_\Omega (\nabla u_\Omega^*)^q \varphi dx = \int_\Omega f \varphi dx.$$

Let us show that $u_\Omega^* = u_\Omega$.

Using Green's formula in the variational formulation (b), we have:

$$\int_\Omega -\Delta u_\Omega^* \varphi dx + \int_\Omega (u_\Omega^*)^q \varphi dx = \int_\Omega f \varphi dx, \quad \forall \varphi \in H^1(\Omega).$$

Thus, we obtain:

$$-\Delta u_\Omega^* + (u_\Omega^*)^q = f \text{ with } \frac{\partial u_\Omega^*}{\partial n} = 0.$$

We also need to show that the sequence $u_{\Omega_{n_k}} \rightarrow u_\Omega$ in $H^1(\Omega)$. Taking $\varphi = u_{\Omega_{n_k}}$ in (a)

and $\varphi = u_\Omega$ in (b), we have:

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega_{n_k}} (|\nabla u_{\Omega_{n_k}}|^2 + u_{\Omega_{n_k}}^q) dx = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_B \chi_{\Omega_{n_k}} f u_{\Omega_{n_k}} dx.$$

However, we also have:

$$\int_B \chi_{\Omega_{n_k}} f u_{\Omega_{n_k}} dx \rightarrow \int_B \chi_{\Omega_{n_k}} f u_\Omega^* dx = \int_\Omega |\nabla u_\Omega^*|^2 + (u_\Omega^*)^2 dx.$$

Since $\chi_{\Omega_{n_k}} \nabla u_{\Omega_{n_k}}$ converges strongly in $L^2(B)$ to $\chi_\Omega \nabla u_\Omega$, we have:

$$\int_{\Omega_{n_k}} |\nabla u_{\Omega_{n_k}} - \nabla u_\Omega|^2 dx = \int_{\Omega_{n_k}} |\nabla u_{\Omega_{n_k}}|^2 dx - 2 \int_{\Omega_{n_k}} \nabla u_{\Omega_{n_k}} \cdot \nabla u_\Omega dx + \int_{\Omega_{n_k}} |\nabla u_\Omega|^2 dx.$$

By taking the limit, the second term on the right becomes zero, and therefore:

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega_{n_k}} |\nabla u_{\Omega_{n_k}} - \nabla u_\Omega|^2 dx = 0$$

In the same way, we show that:

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega_{n_k}} |u_{\Omega_{n_k}} - u_\Omega| dx = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega_{n_k}} f(u_{\Omega_{n_k}} - u_\Omega) dx = 0.$$

Thus, we obtain:

$$\nabla u_{\Omega_{n_k}} \xrightarrow{L^2} \nabla u_\Omega, u_{\Omega_{n_k}} \xrightarrow{L^2} u_\Omega.$$

Since F is a continuous function, we have:

$$J(\Omega_{n_k}) = \int_{\Omega_{n_k}} F(x, u_{\Omega_{n_k}}, \nabla u_{\Omega_{n_k}}) dx \rightarrow \int_\Omega F(x, u_\Omega, \nabla u_\Omega) dx.$$

3. Shape derivative :

3.1 Preliminaries and example

The objective of this section is to calculate the shape derivative of the functional (1.1). Before going further, we first prove the following results which are useful for the main result. The idea is to use the celebrated method of Hadamard for the shape functional that we considered. This method was introduced by Hadamard in [14] and many other authors [2]. In these papers, the notions of shape derivative are given.

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be a bounded open set of class C^2 . For $t \geq 0$, let $\Omega_t = \phi_t(\Omega)$, where for all t , ϕ_t associated for V is diffeomorphism of \mathbb{R}^2 . These properties holds:

$$\dot{\phi}_0 = V, |det(\nabla \phi_t)| = j(t, x), \quad \frac{d\phi_t}{dt} = -V, |det(\nabla \phi_t^{-1})| = j(-t, x).$$

Let $\Omega_t = (\text{Id} + V)(\Omega)$ be a domain of class C^2 . For $t \geq 0$, very small, and $V \in C^1 \cap W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Let us consider also, the function J in Ω_t . We have the following definition:

Definition 3.1 A function $J(\Omega)$ of the domain is said to be shape differentiable at Ω if the mapping $t \rightarrow J(\Omega_t)$ from \mathbb{R} into \mathbb{R} is Frechet differentiable at $t = 0$. The corresponding Frechet derivative (or differential) is denoted by $DJ(\Omega, V)$ and the following expansion holds:

$$J(\Omega_t) = J(\Omega) + tDJ(\Omega, V) + o(t).$$

In the following, consider also then functional defined in Ω_t , by

$$J(\Omega_t) = a \int_{\Omega_t} |\nabla u_\Omega - \nabla v_0|^2 dx + b \int_{\Omega_t} |u_\Omega - v_1|^2 dx \quad (3.1)$$

where a and b two real numbers, v_0 (respectively v_1) are the given functions of $H_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ (respectively $L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$) and u_{Ω_t} is the solution of the following Neumann problem :

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u_{\Omega_t} + u_{\Omega_t}^q = f \text{ in } \Omega_t \\ \frac{\partial u_{\Omega_t}}{\partial n} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega_t. \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

We look, in this section for the shape derivative of the functional $J(\Omega)$. The key point in the calculation of the shape derivative $DJ(\Omega, V)$ is in general, the definition of an appropriate derivation for the mapping $\Omega \rightarrow u_\Omega$. This mapping has a Lagrangian derivative u'_Ω and an Eulerian derivative u'_Ω linking with the Laplacian derivative by

$$u'_\Omega(\Omega, V) = \dot{u}(\Omega) - \nabla u \cdot V$$

For the definition of the Laplacian and eulerian derivative, we refer to [1], [2]. The following result is devoted to the shape derivative of the functional.

Theorem 3.1 Let Ω be a class domain $C^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and V a vector field of class C^1 .

Let $F \in C^1((0, \epsilon), C^0(\overline{\Omega_t})) \cap C^0((0, \epsilon), C^1(\overline{\Omega_t}))$. The function defined by

$$J_1(\epsilon) = \int_{\Omega_t} F(\epsilon, x) dx \quad (3.3)$$

is differentiable and its derivative is given by :

$$DJ_1(\Omega_t, V) = \int_{\Omega_t} \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} F(\epsilon, x) + \text{div} F(\epsilon, x) V(x) dx \quad (3.4)$$

$$DJ_1(\Omega_t, V) = \int_{\Omega_t} \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} F(\epsilon, x) + \int_{\partial\Omega_t} F(\epsilon, \sigma) V \cdot n d\sigma. \quad (3.5)$$

Proof. See [1] ■

Theorem 3.2 Let Ω be a domain of class C^2 over \mathbb{R}^N and V a vector field of class C^2 . Let G be a function belonging to the space $C^1((0, \epsilon), C^0(\Omega_t)) \cap C^0((0, \epsilon), C^1(\Omega_t))$.

The function defined by:

$$J_2(t) = \int_{\partial\Omega_t} G(t, \sigma) d\sigma, \quad (3.a)$$

is differentiable and its derivative is given by:

$$DJ_2(\Omega_t, V) = \int_{\partial\Omega_t} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} G(t, \sigma) d\sigma + \int_{\partial\Omega_t} \left[H(\sigma) G(t, \sigma) + \frac{\partial G(t, \sigma)}{\partial n} \right] d\sigma, \quad (3.b)$$

where $H(\sigma)$ is the mean curvature on the edge σ and $\frac{\partial G(t, \sigma)}{\partial n}$ is the usual normal derivative.

Proof. For the proof of these two theorems, see [1]. ■

Example

Let Ω be a domain of class C^1 .

The perimeter and the volume being differentiable, we have:

$$\frac{d|\Omega_t|}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} = \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \int_{\Omega_t} dx = \int_{\Omega} \text{div} V dx = \int_{\partial\Omega} V \cdot n d\sigma.$$

The normal derivative is positive if it points outward and negative otherwise. Likewise,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\int_{\Omega_t} f dx \right) |_{t=0} = \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}(fV) = \int_{\partial\Omega} fV \cdot n d\sigma$$

and for the perimeter:

$$\frac{d}{dt} P(\Omega_t) = \int_{\partial\Omega_t} d\sigma = \int_{\partial\Omega_t} (n_t \cdot n_t) d\sigma = \int_{\partial\Omega_t} N_t \cdot n_t d\sigma.$$

Integration by parts in the other direction gives:

We consider N_t as a trace, and we have:

$$\frac{d}{dt} P(\Omega_t) = \int_{\partial\Omega_t} \operatorname{div} N_t d\sigma.$$

where N_t is an extension of n_t to \mathbb{R}^N (unitary norm 1). So :

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\int_{\Omega_t} \operatorname{div} N_t \right) |_{t=0} = \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\operatorname{div} N_t) + \operatorname{div}(V \cdot \operatorname{div} N_0) dx.$$

By application of the divergence:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\int_{\Omega_t} \operatorname{div} N_t \right) |_{t=0} = \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} N_t \right) + \operatorname{div}(V \cdot \operatorname{div} N_0) dx = \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} N_t \cdot n d\sigma + \int_{\partial\Omega} (V \cdot n) \operatorname{div} N_0 d\sigma.$$

However, we know that:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} N_t \cdot n = 0$$

$$\text{Hence: } \frac{d}{dt} \left(\int_{\Omega_t} \operatorname{div} N_t \right) |_{t=0} = \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} N_t \cdot n d\sigma + \int_{\partial\Omega} (V \cdot n) \operatorname{div} N_0 d\sigma.$$

$$= \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{1}{2} |N_t|^2 \right) d\sigma + \int_{\partial\Omega} (V \cdot n) \operatorname{div} N_0 d\sigma.$$

With $\operatorname{div} N_0 = H = \text{average curvature}$.

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\int_{\Omega_t} f dx \right) |_{t=0} = \int_{\partial\Omega} fV \cdot n d\sigma.$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} P(\Omega_t) |_{t=0} = \int_{\partial\Omega} H(V \cdot n) d\sigma.$$

$$u(0) = u'(0) = 0.$$

the point of origin is not specific

$$u(x) = y \Rightarrow u(x) - y = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega.$$

$$\nabla(u(x) - y) \perp \partial\Omega.$$

$$n = \begin{pmatrix} u'(x) \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + u'^2(x)}} \right)$$

Locally it is a constant term

$$N_0(x, y) = n(x).$$

$$\operatorname{div} N_0(0,0) = \partial x \frac{u'(x)}{\sqrt{1+u_x^2+u_y^2}} + 0 = u''(x) + u'(0) \partial x() = u''(0).$$

$$\operatorname{div} N_0(0,0) = u''(0).$$

$$z = u(x, y).$$

$$u(x, y) - z = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega.$$

$$\nabla(u(x) - z) \perp \partial\Omega.$$

$$n = \begin{pmatrix} u_x \\ u_y \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+u_x^2+u_y^2}} \right) = N_0(x, y, z)$$

$$\operatorname{div} N_0(0,0,0) = \partial x \frac{u_x}{\sqrt{1+u_x^2+u_y^2}} + \partial y \frac{u_y}{\sqrt{1+u_x^2+u_y^2}} + 0$$

$$\operatorname{div} N_0(0,0,0) = u_{xx}(0,0) + u_{yy}(0,0) = u''_{xx}(0,0) + u''_{yy}(0,0).$$

This is the average curvature at (0,0).

We deduce that the derivative of the perimeter is equal to the mean curvature.

3.2 Shape derivative via Lagrange

In this part, we apply the results of the previous paragraph to the following functional :

$$J(\Omega) = a \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_{\Omega} - \nabla v_0|^2 dx + b \int_{\Omega} |u_{\Omega} - v_1|^2 dx \quad (3.6)$$

where a and b two real numbers, v_0 (respectively v_1) are the given functions of $H^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ (respectively $L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$) and u_{Ω} is the solution of the following Neumann problem :

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u_{\Omega} + u^q = f & \text{in } \Omega \\ \frac{\partial u_{\Omega}}{\partial n} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (3.7)$$

In Ω_t the functional J is written :

$$J(\Omega_t) = a \int_{\Omega_t} |\nabla u_{\Omega} - \nabla v_0|^2 dx + b \int_{\Omega_t} |u_{\Omega} - v_1|^2 dx.$$

Using the derivation formula (3.4) gives us (assuming enough regularity $\Omega \in C^1, f \in L^2_{\text{loc}}$)

$$DJ(\Omega, V) = 2a \int_{\Omega} (\nabla u_{\Omega} - \nabla v_0) \cdot \nabla u' dx + 2b \int_{\Omega} (u_{\Omega} - v_1) u' dx$$

$$+ a \int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla u_{\Omega} - \nabla v_0| |v_1|^2 n d\sigma + b \int_{\partial\Omega} |v_1|^2 V \cdot n d\sigma$$

for any vector field V with by u' the form derivative of u_t .

In everything that follows, we look for the equation verified by u' .

Let us make the variational formulation of the Neuman problem in Ω_t .

Let $v \in H^1_0(\Omega_t)$, by multiplying the first equation of the previous problem by v and integrating over Ω we obtain :

$$\forall v \in H^1_0(\Omega_t), \quad \int_{\Omega_t} (\nabla u_t \cdot \nabla v + u^q v) dx = \int_{\Omega_t} f v dx \quad (3.8)$$

For t small enough, we can differentiate equality (3.7) with $(v = \varphi)$ fixed. By applying formula (3.5) we have :

$$\int_{\Omega} (\nabla u' \cdot \nabla \varphi + q u' u^{q-1} \varphi) dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} (\nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi + u^q \varphi) V \cdot n d\sigma = \int_{\partial\Omega} f \varphi V \cdot n d\sigma. \quad (3.9)$$

Now if φ is zero on the boundary (on a neighborhood of the boundary), the integrals of the limit disappear and we have :

$$\int_{\Omega} (\nabla u' \cdot \nabla \varphi + q u' u^{q-1} \varphi) dx = 0 \quad (3.10)$$

So we have

$$\int_{\Omega} (-\Delta u + q u' u^{q-1}) \varphi dx = 0.$$

And so we get:

$$-\Delta u + q u' u^{q-1} = 0 \text{ in } \Omega.$$

In the sense of distributions, now to recover the boundary condition, let us remember the equality:

$$u'(\Omega, V) = \dot{u}(\Omega, V) - \nabla u \cdot V.$$

The function $u_t o (Id + tV)$ defines on the fixed domain Ω disappears on the boundary of Ω or all t . We then deduce that :

$$\frac{d}{dt} (u_t) o (Id + tV)|_{t=0} = \dot{u}(\Omega, V) = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega.$$

In other words, $u_t o (Id + tV) \in H^1_0(\Omega)$ for all t , therefore, according to the equality :

$$u'(\Omega, V) = \dot{u}(\Omega) - \nabla u \cdot V$$

u' satisfied

$$u' = -\nabla u \cdot V = -\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} V \text{ on } \partial\Omega.$$

The last equality comes from the fact that the gradient of u is normal to the boundary. We therefore have the following result:

Theorem 3.3 Let Ω be a domain of class $C^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and J be the functional defined by

$$J(\Omega) = a \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_{\Omega} - \nabla v_0|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} |u_{\Omega} - v_1|^2 dx,$$

where a and b are positive real numbers.

The functional J is differentiable and we have

$$\begin{aligned} DJ(\Omega; V) &= 2a \int_{\Omega} (\nabla u - \nabla v_0) \cdot \nabla u' dx + 2 \int_{\Omega} (u - v_1) u' dx \\ &\quad + a \int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla u - \nabla v_0|^2 V \cdot n d\sigma + b \int_{\partial\Omega} |v_1|^2 V \cdot n d\sigma \end{aligned}$$

where u' , the form derivative satisfies

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + qu' u^{q-1} = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ u' = -\nabla u \cdot V = -\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} V \cdot n & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (3.11).$$

Proof. The proof of this theorem follows directly from the previous application. ■

4. Topological derivative via Lagrange method

4.1 Some preliminary results

In this subsection, we describe how to calculate the topological derivative using the minmax approach, see e.g. [5], [6], [10], [7]. To begin with, we will look at the following definitions and notations.

Definition 4.1 A Lagrangian function is a function of the form

$$(t, x, y) \mapsto L(t, x, y) : [0, \tau] \times X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \text{ with } \tau > 0$$

where X is a vector space, Y a non empty subset of vector space and the function $y \mapsto L(t, x, y)$ is affine.

Associate with the parameter t the parametrized minimax

$$t \mapsto g(t) = \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{y \in Y} L(t, x, y) : [0, \tau] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ and } dg(0) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{g(t) - g(0)}{t}.$$

When the limits exist, we will use the following notations

$$d_t L(0, x, y) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{L(t, x, y) - L(0, x, y)}{t}$$

$$\varphi \in X, d_x L(t, x, y; \varphi) = \lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{L(t, x, +\theta\varphi, y) - L(t, x, y)}{\theta}$$

$$\emptyset \in Y, d_y L(t, x, y; \emptyset) = \lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{L(t, x, +\theta\emptyset, y) - L(t, x, y)}{\theta}.$$

Since $L(t, x, y)$ is affine in y, for all $(t, x) \in [0, \tau] \times X$,

$$\forall y, \psi \in Y, d_y L(t, x, y; \psi) = L(t, x, \psi) - L(t, x, 0) = d_y L(t, x, 0; \psi). \quad (4.1)$$

The state equation at $t \geq 0$

$$\text{Find } x^t \in X \text{ such that for all } \psi \in Y, d_y L(t, x^t, 0; \psi) = 0. \quad (4.2).$$

The set of states x^t at $t \geq 0$ is denoted

$$E(t) = \{x^t \in X, \forall \psi \in Y, d_y L(t, x^t, 0; \psi) = 0\}. \quad (4.3)$$

The adjoint equation at $t \geq 0$ is

$$\text{Find } p^t \in Y \text{ such that for all } \phi \in X, d_x L(t, x^t, p^t; \phi) = 0. \quad (4.4)$$

The set of solutions p^t at $t \geq 0$ is denoted

$$Y(t, x^t) = \{p^t \in Y \forall \phi \in X, d_x L(t, x^t, p^t, \phi) = 0\}.$$

Finally the set of minimisers for the minimax is given by

$$X(t) = \{x^t \in X, g(t) = \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{y \in Y} L(t, x, y) = \sup_{y \in Y} L(t, x^t, y)\}. \quad (4.6)$$

LEMMA 4.1 (Constrained infimum and minimax)

We have the following assertions

- (i) $\inf_{x \in X} \sup_{y \in Y} L(t, x, y) = \inf_{x \in E(t)} L(t, x, y).$
- (ii) The minimax $g(t) = +\infty$ if and only if $E(t) = \emptyset$. And in this case we have $X(t) = X$.
- (iii) If $E(t) \neq \emptyset$, then
- (iv) $X(t) = \{x^t \in E(t) : L(t, x^t, 0) = \inf_{x \in E(t)} L(t, x, 0)\} \cap E(t)$
and $g(t) < +\infty$.

Proof. See [5], [8], [6]. ■

To end this subsection, we give definitions and theorems on d-dimensional Minkowski content and d-rectifiability.

Definition 4.2 Let E be a subset of a metric space X . $E \subset X$ is d-rectifiable if it is the image of a compact subset K of \mathbb{R}^d by a continuous lipschitzian function $f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow X$.

Let E be a closed compact set of \mathbb{R}^N and $r \geq 0$, the distance function d_E and the r-dilatation E_r of E are defined as follows:

$$d_{E(x)} = \inf |x - x_0|, \quad E_r = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : d_{E(x)} \leq r\}.$$

Definition 4.3 Given d , $0 \leq d \leq N$ the upper and lower d-dimensional Minkowski contents of a set E are defined by an r-dilatation of this set as follows

$$M^{*d}(E) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \sup \frac{m_N(E_r)}{\alpha_N - d r^{N-d}}; \quad M_*^d(E) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \inf \frac{m_N(E_r)}{\alpha_N - d r^{N-d}}$$

where m_N is the Lebesgue measure in \mathbb{R}^N and α_{N-d} is the volume of the ball of radius 1 in \mathbb{R}^{N-d} .

Both concepts can be found in [5], [6].

We need the following assumption for everything that follows:

Hypothesis (H0)

Let X be a vector

space.(i): For all $t \in$

$[0, \tau], x^0 \in X(0), x^t \in$

$X(t)^0 \in X(0)$, and $y \in Y$,

the function

$\theta \mapsto L(t, x, y, L(t, x^0 + \theta(x^t - x^0), y)) : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is absolutely continuous. This implies that for almost all θ the derivative exists and is equal to $d_x L(t, x^0 + \theta(x^t - x^0), y; x^t - x^0)$ and it is the integral of its derivative. In particular

(ii): For all $t \in [0, \tau]$, $x^0 \in X(0)$, $x^t \in X(t)$ and $y \in Y$, $\varphi \in X$ and for almost all $\theta \in [0, 1]$,

$d_s L(t, x^0 + \theta(x^t - x^0), y; \varphi)$ exist et the functions $\theta \mapsto L(t, x, y)$

$d_s L(t, x^0 + \theta(x^t - x^0), y; \varphi)$ belong to $L^1[0, 1]$.

Definition 4.4 Given $x^0 \in X(0)$ and $x^t \in X(t)$, the averaged adjoint equation is:

$$\text{Find } y^t \in Y \forall \varphi \in X, \int_0^1 d_s L(t, x^0 + \theta(x^t - x^0), y; \varphi) d\theta = 0.$$

and the set of solutions is noted $Y(t, x^0, x^t)$.

$Y(0, x^0, x^0)$ clearly reduces to the set of standard adjoint states $Y(0, x^0)$ at $t = 0$.

Theorem 4.2 Consider the Lagrangian functional

$$(t, x, y) \mapsto L(t, x, y) : [0, \tau] \times X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \tau > 0$$

where X and Y are vector spaces and the function $y \mapsto L(t, x, y)$ is affine. Assume that (H0) and the following hypotheses are satisfied

H1 for all $t \in [0, \tau]$, $g(t)$ is finite, $X(t) = \{x^t\}$ and $Y(0, x^0) = \{p^0\}$ are singletons,

H2 $d_t L(0, x^0, y^0)$ exists,

H3 The following limit exists

$$R(x^0, y^0) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \int_0^1 d_s L\left(t, x^0 + \theta(x^t - x^0), p^0; \frac{x^t - x^0}{t}\right) d\theta.$$

Then, $dg(0)$ exists and $dg(0) = d_t L(0, x^0, y^0) + R(x^0, p^0)$.

Proof. See [4, 5]. ■

COROLLARY 4.3 Consider the Lagrangian functional

$$(t, x, y) \mapsto L(t, x, y) : [0, \tau] \times X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \tau > 0,$$

where X and Y are vector spaces and the function $y \mapsto L(t, x, y)$ is affine. Assume that (H0) and the following assumptions are satisfied:

(H1a) for all $t \in [0, \tau]$, $X(s) \neq \emptyset$, $g(t)$ is finite, and for each $x \in X(0)$, $Y(0, x) \neq \emptyset$,

(H2a) for all $x \in X(0)$ and $p \in Y(0, x)$ $d_t L(0, x, p)$ exists,

(H3a) there exist $x^0 \in X(0)$ and $p^0 \in Y(0, x^0)$ such that the following limit exists

$$R(x^0, p^0) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \int_0^1 d_s L\left(t, x^0 + \theta(x^t - x^0), p^0; \frac{x^t - x^0}{t}\right) d\theta.$$

Then, $dg(0)$ exists and there exist $x^0 \in X(0)$ and $p^0 \in Y(0, x^0)$ such that

$$dg(0) = d_t L(0, x^0, p^0) + R(x^0, p^0).$$

In what follows, we focus on the main result of this part. And for information on the tools used the reader can consult [5].

4.2 Topological derivative

Let us consider the fonctionnal defined in Ω_t by

$$F(\Omega_t) = a \int_{\Omega_t} |\nabla u_\Omega - \nabla v_0|^2 dx + b \int_{\Omega_t} |u_\Omega - v_1|^2 dx. \quad (4.7)$$

where u_{Ω_t} be the solution to the Neumann Problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u_{\Omega_t} + u_{\Omega_t}^q = f \text{ in } \Omega_t \\ \frac{\partial u_{\Omega_t}}{\partial n} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega_t \end{cases} \quad (4.8)$$

where $q > 1$ is an integer.

Let us consider as shape functional F define by

$$F(\Omega) = a \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_\Omega - \nabla v_0|^2 dx + b \int_{\Omega} |u_\Omega - v_1|^2 dx. \quad (4.9)$$

And $u_\Omega \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ is solution to the variational problem

$$\forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega), \quad \int_{\Omega} (\nabla u_\Omega \cdot \nabla v + u_\Omega^q \cdot v) dx = \int_{\Omega} f v dx. \quad (4.10)$$

We aim to compute the topological derivative of the functional $F(\Omega_t)$

$$dF = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{F(\Omega_t) - F(\Omega)}{a_N - d^N - d}.$$

Thus, the Lagrangian dependent on t will be written in the form :

$$\begin{aligned} L(t, \emptyset, \Phi) &= a \int_{\Omega_t} |\nabla \Phi - \nabla v_0|^2 dx + b \int_{\Omega_t} |\Phi - \nabla v_1|^2 \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega_t} (\nabla \Phi \cdot \nabla \Phi + \Phi^q \nabla \Phi) dx - \int_{\Omega_t} f \Phi dx. \end{aligned}$$

From this, we can now evaluate the derivative of the Lagrangian, dependent on t , with respect to \emptyset .

$$d_\emptyset L(t, \emptyset, \Phi, \Phi') = 2a \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \Phi - \nabla v_0) \cdot \nabla \Phi' dx + 2b \int_{\Omega} (\Phi - v_1) \Phi' dx + \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \Phi' \cdot \nabla \Phi + q \Phi' \Phi^{q-1} \Phi) dx.$$

The initial adjoint state p_{Ω_0} is a solution of $d_\emptyset L(0, u_{\Omega_0}, p_{\Omega_0}, \Phi') = 0$ for all Φ' for $t = 0$. Thus the variational formulation of the adjoint equation of state is given by

$$2a \int_{\Omega} (\nabla u_{\Omega_0} - \nabla v_0) \cdot \nabla \Phi' dx + 2b \int_{\Omega} (u_{\Omega_0} - v_1) \Phi' dx + \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \Phi' \cdot \nabla p_{\Omega_0} + q \Phi' u_{\Omega_0}^{q-1} p_{\Omega_0}) dx = 0.$$

And we have

$$\int_{\Omega} [2a(\nabla u_{\Omega_0} - \nabla v_0) \cdot \nabla \Phi' + 2b(u_{\Omega_0} - v_1) \Phi' + \nabla \Phi' \cdot \nabla p_{\Omega_0} + q \Phi' u_{\Omega_0}^{q-1} p_{\Omega_0}] dx = 0 \quad (4.11)$$

Next, we derive the Lagrangian with respect to Φ .

$$d_\Phi L(t, \emptyset, \Phi, \Phi') = \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \Phi \cdot \nabla \Phi' + \Phi^q \Phi') dx - \int_{\Omega} f \Phi' dx.$$

The initial state u_{Ω_0} is a solution of $d_{\emptyset}L(0, u_{\Omega_0}, 0, \Phi'_{\Omega_0}) = 0 \forall \Phi'_{\Omega_0} \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ and in this case, we have:

$$\int_{\Omega} (\nabla u_{\Omega_0} \cdot \nabla \Phi' + u_{\Omega_0}^q \Phi') dx - \int_{\Omega} f \Phi' dx = 0.$$

$$\int_{\Omega} [\nabla u_{\Omega_0} \cdot \nabla \Phi' + u_{\Omega_0}^q \Phi' - f \Phi'] dx = 0. \quad (4.12)$$

And we have

$$\begin{aligned} L(t, \emptyset, \Phi) - L(0, \emptyset, \Phi) &= \int_{\Omega_t} f(x) \Phi(x) dx - \int_{\Omega_t} f(x) \Phi(x) \\ L(t, \emptyset, \Phi) - L(0, \emptyset, \Phi) &= - \int_{\Omega_t} f(x) \Phi(x) dx - \int_{E_t} f(x) \Phi(x) + \int_{\Omega_t} f(x) \Phi(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} L(t, \emptyset, \Phi) - L(0, \emptyset, \Phi) &= - \int_{E_t} f(x) \Phi(x) dx \\ d_s L(t, \emptyset, \Phi) &= \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{|B(x_0, s)|} \left[\int_{B(x_0, s)} f(x) \Phi(x) \right] \\ d_s L(0, \emptyset, \Phi) &= f(x_0) \Phi(x_0). \end{aligned}$$

We will now define $R(t)$ by.

$$R(t) = \int_0^1 d_{\emptyset}L \left(t, u_{\Omega_t} + \psi(u_{\Omega_t} - u_{\Omega_0}, p_{\Omega_0}, \left(\frac{u_{\Omega_t} - u_{\Omega_0}}{t} \right) \right) d\psi.$$

By substituting $\Phi' = \frac{u_{\Omega_t} - u_{\Omega_0}}{t}$ and $\psi = \frac{u_{\Omega_t} - u_{\Omega_0}}{2}$ into the adjoint equation for p_{Ω_0} , we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} R(t) &= 2a \int_{\Omega} \left[\nabla \left(\frac{u_{\Omega_t} + u_{\Omega_0}}{2} \right) - \nabla v_0 \right] \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{u_{\Omega_t} - u_{\Omega_0}}{t} \right) dx \\ &\quad + 2b \int_{\Omega} \left[\nabla \left(\frac{u_{\Omega_t} + u_{\Omega_0}}{2} \right) - v_1 \right] \cdot \left(\frac{u_{\Omega_t} - u_{\Omega_0}}{t} \right) dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega} \nabla \left(\frac{u_{\Omega_t} - u_{\Omega_0}}{t} \right) \nabla p_{\Omega_0} + q \left(\frac{u_{\Omega_t} - u_{\Omega_0}}{t} \right) \left(\frac{u_{\Omega_t} + u_{\Omega_0}}{2} \right)^{q-1} p_{\Omega_0} dx \\ R(t) &= 2a \int_{\Omega} \left[\nabla \left(\frac{u_{\Omega_t}}{2} \right) - \nabla \left(\frac{u_{\Omega_0}}{2} \right) + \nabla \left(\frac{u_{\Omega_t}}{2} \right) + \nabla \left(\frac{u_{\Omega_0}}{2} \right) - \nabla v_0 \right] \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{u_{\Omega_t} - u_{\Omega_0}}{t} \right) dx \\ &\quad + 2b \int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{u_{\Omega_t}}{2} + \frac{u_{\Omega_0}}{2} - \frac{u_{\Omega_0}}{2} - \frac{u_{\Omega_0}}{2} - v_1 \right] \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{u_{\Omega_t} - u_{\Omega_0}}{t} \right) dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega} \left[\nabla \left(\frac{u_{\Omega_t}}{2} \right) - \nabla \left(\frac{u_{\Omega_0}}{2} \right) \right] \nabla p_{\Omega_0} + q \left(\frac{u_{\Omega_t} - u_{\Omega_0}}{t} \right) \left(\frac{u_{\Omega_t} + u_{\Omega_0}}{2} \right)^{q-1} p_{\Omega_0} dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega} q \left(\frac{u_{\Omega_t} - u_{\Omega_0}}{t} \right) [u_{\Omega_0}^{q-1} - u_{\Omega_0}^{q-1}] p_{\Omega_0} dx \\ &= 2a \int_{\Omega} \nabla \left(\frac{u_{\Omega_t} - u_{\Omega_0}}{2} \right) \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{u_{\Omega_t} - u_{\Omega_0}}{t} \right) dx + 2b \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{u_{\Omega_t} - u_{\Omega_0}}{2} \right) \left(\frac{u_{\Omega_t} - u_{\Omega_0}}{t} \right) dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega} q \left(\frac{u_{\Omega_t} - u_{\Omega_0}}{t} \right) \left[\left(\frac{u_{\Omega_t} + u_{\Omega_0}}{2} \right)^{q-1} - u^{q-1} \right] p_{\Omega_0} dx. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} R(t) &= \frac{a}{t} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_{\Omega_t} - u_{\Omega_0}|^2 dx + \frac{b}{t} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_{\Omega_t} - u_{\Omega_0}|^2 dx \\ &\quad + \frac{q}{t} \int_{\Omega} (u_{\Omega_t} - u_{\Omega_0}) \left[\left(\frac{u_{\Omega_t} + u_{\Omega_0}}{2} \right)^{q-1} - u^{q-1} \right] p_{\Omega_0} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4.4 Let $0 \leq d < N$, E verify **Hypothesis H1** and $t = \alpha_{N-d} r^{N-d}$. The topological derivative exists if the function $R(t)$ has a finite limit. Therefore, the topological derivative of the function is given by the expression:

$$dJ = \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{J(\Omega_t) - J(\Omega)}{\alpha N - d r^{N-d}}$$

$$dJ = R(x_0, p_{\Omega_0}) - f(x_0)p_{\Omega_0}(x_0).$$

where $p_{\Omega_0}, u_{\Omega_0}$ are solutions of systems

$$\int_{\Omega} [2a(\nabla u_{\Omega_0} - \nabla v_0) \cdot \nabla \phi' + 2b(u_{\Omega_0} - v_1)\phi' + \nabla \phi' \nabla p_{\Omega_0} + q\phi' u_{\Omega_0}^{q-1} p_{\Omega_0}] dx = 0.$$

5. Conclusion:-

In this paper we start by establishing an existence result of optimal form. Then we proved the shape drift using the Lagrange method. The last part of the document was devoted to the topological derivative of the functional. we plan to look at the numerical problem of these already established derivatives.

References:-

1. A. Henrot and M. Pierre. Variation et optimisation de formes : une analyse géométrique. Mathématiques et Applications (Berlin), vol 48, Springer, Berlin.
2. G. Allaire and A. Henrot. On some recent Advances in shape optimization. C.R Acad. Sci. Paris, 7742(01): 338-396, 2001.
3. M. C. Delfour. Topological Derivative of State-Constrained Objective Functions: A Direct Method. DOI: 10.1137/20M1368732, SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization, 90C, 65K10, 49, 65K, 65K10.
4. M. C. Delfour. Differentials and semidifferentials for metric spaces of shapes and geometries, in System Modeling and Optimization, IFIP Adv. Inf. Commun. Technol. 494 L. Bociu, J. A. Desideri, and A. Habbal, eds., Springer, Berlin, 2017, pp. 230–239.
5. M. C. Delfour. Topological derivative: A semidifferential via the Minkowski content. J. Convex Anal., 3 (2018), pp. 957–982.
6. M. C. Delfour. Control, shape, and topological derivatives via minimax differentiability of Lagrangians, in Numerical Methods for Optimal Control Problems. Springer INdAM Ser. 29, M. Falcone, R. Ferretti, L. Grüne, and W. McEneaney, eds., Springer, Cham, Switzerland, 2018, pp. 137–164.
7. M. C. Delfour. Hadamard semidifferential of functions on an unstructured subset of a TVS, Pure Appl. Funct. Anal., 5 (2020), pp. 1039–1072.
8. M. C. Delfour. Topological derivatives via one-sided derivative of parametrized minima and minimax. Eng. Comput., (2021), <https://doi.org/10.1108/EC-06-2021-0318>.
9. M. C. Delfour and K. Sturm. Minimax differentiability via the averaged adjoint for control/shape sensitivity, IFAC-PaperOnLine, 49 (2016), pp. 142–149.
10. M. C. Delfour and J.-P. Zolésio. Shapes and Geometries: Metrics, Analysis, Differential Calculus and Optimization, 2nd ed., SIAM, Philadelphia, 2011.
11. G. Buttazzo and G. Dal Maso. Shape optimisation for the Dirichlet problem: Relaxed formulation and Optimality Conditions. App. Math. Opt., 23 (1991), pp. 17-49.
12. G. Buttazzo and G. Dal Maso. An existence result for a class of shape optimisation problems. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 122 (1993) 183-195.
13. H. Brezis. unctional Analysis: Theory and application. Masson, 1987.
14. J. Hadamard. Mémoire sur le problème d'analyse relatif à l'équilibre des plaques élastiques encastrées, in Mémoires présentés par divers savants à l'Academie des Sciences, vol. 33, Imprimerie F. Muratnationale, 1908.
15. M. Fall, I. Faye, A. Sy and D. Seck. On Shape Optimization Theory with Fractional Laplacian. Applied and Computational Mathematics. Vol. 10, No. 3, 2021, pp. 56-68. doi:10.1164/j.acm.20211003.12.