

RESEARCH ARTICLE

"TO ASSESS KNOWLEDGE AND PRACTICE AMONG HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS REGARDING HOSPITAL WASTE MANAGEMENT IN SKIMS SOURA".

Perkash Kour, Nazir Ahmad Dar and KS RAINA. Sheri-Kashmir Institute Of Medical Sciences, Soura Srinagar Jammu And Kashmir. Manuscript Info Abstract Aim of the study: To Assess Knowledge and Practice among Health Manuscript History Care Providers Regarding Hospital Waste Management. Received: 15 March 2018 Conclusion: In our study, it was seen that nurses have more knowledge Final Accepted: 17 April 2018 and practice regarding segregation than other studied subjects. Published: May 2018 Technicians were having more knowledge regarding legislation applicable to hospital waste management.

Keywords:-Knowledge, Practice, Waste Management, Doctors, Nurses, and Technicians.

Copy Right, IJAR, 2018,. All rights reserved.

.....

Introduction:-

Hospital is a place of almighty, a place to serve the patient. Since beginning the hospitals are known for treatment of sick persons, but we are unaware about the adverse effects of garbage and filth generated by them on human body and environment. Hospital waste is a potential health hazard to the health care workers, public and flora and fauna of the area. Hospital acquired infections, transfusions, transmitted diseases, rising incidence of Hepatitis& HIV etc leads to increase in possibility of catching many diseases. This problem has now become a serious threat & ultimately the central government had to intervene for enforcing proper handling & disposal of hospital waste & an act was passed in July 1996 & a biomedical waste (handling & management) rule was introduced in 1998.

Hospital waste refers to all waste generated discarded and not intended for further use in hospital. India generates 1.5kg/bed/day hospital waste which includes both hazardous and non hazardous.

Hospital waste management collection and proper disposal has become a significant concern for both medical and general community.

Every health care personnel is expected to have proper knowledge, proper practice and capacity to guide others for waste collection and management and proper handling techniques

Objectives Of The Study:-

- 1. To assess the knowledge of health care providers regarding hospital waste management.
- 2. To find out existing practices of hospital waste management among selected sample.
- 3. To determine the association of knowledge &Practice with selected factors:
 - 1. Year of Experience.
 - 2. Qualification.
 - 3. Age.
 - 4. Gender.

Corresponding Author:-Perkash Kour. Address:-Sheri-Kashmir Institute Of Medical Sciences, Soura Srinagar Jammu And Kashmir.

- 5. Residence.
- 4. To assess the level of application of policies of the hospital by the health care providers.

Methodology:-

The research methodology refers to a set of orderly disciplined procedure involved in the purposeful collection analysis & interpretation of the data. This chapter describes the research approach, research design, setting of study sample & sampling technique, instrument for data collection & plan for data analyzing of the present study.

Research Approach:-

Non-experimental based descriptive approach used for gaining pertinent & precise information.

Research Design:-

A descriptive research design was considered appropriate for the present study to assess the knowledge & practice among healthcare providers regarding hospital waste management in SKIMS, Soura Srinagar.

Variables under Study:-

The variables in the study to assess the knowledge & practice of healthcare providers regarding hospital waste management are:-

- 1. Age
- 2. Sex
- 3. Residence
- 4. Educational Status (Academic)
- 5. Professional Education
- 6. Years of Experience

Setting Of the Study:-

The setting for the study was SKIMS Hospital, Soura for the following reasons:-

- 1. Sample was easily accessible
- 2. Less time consuming
- 3. Cost-effective
- 4. Cooperative staff

Population:-

The target population in this study consists of 50 healthcare providers of SKIMS from whom data was collected during the month of August.

Sample & Sampling Technique:-

A non-probability sampling technique namely convenient sampling was used to make the study more practicable & feasible. The selection of study sample was done based on population (Healthcare Providers) of SKIMS, who had agreed to participate in the study, 10 from each 5 selected areas (SICCU, Causality, General Medicine, General Surgery, and Neonatology Unit).

Presentation And Analysis Of Data:-

Analysis Of Data:-

The data was analysed according to the objectives of the study. Analysis of the data was done after the data was transferred to the master data sheet. The data was analysed based on the objectives and hypothesis. The data is presented in tabular and graphical form; percentages were calculated and interpreted as shown in tables and graphs, respectively

Table 1	1:-Freque	ncy Distrib	ution of Stud	ied subjects	with respect	to knowledge	about treatment	of Hospital Waste.
		2			1	0		1

S.	STATEMENT	DOCTORS		NURSES		TECHNICIANS		TO	TAL
No.		N=15		N=25		N=10		N=50	
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
1.	Biomedical waste management needs	14	93.33	23	92	10	100	47	94
	special treatment than general waste.								

2.	Discarded medicine, psychotoxic drugs	6	4	16	64	2	20	24	48
	undergo incineration type of treatment.								
3.	Black colour waste bins undergo municipal	9	60	23	92	7	70	39	78
	disposal type of treatment.								
	Red colour bins undergo autoclaving type of	8	53.3	18	72	7	70	33	66
4.	treatment.								
5.	Final disposal of segregated waste.	6	40	10	40	3	30	19	38
6.	Broken thermometer waste is incinerated.	12	80	19	76	5	50	36	72
7.	Incineration ash disposed in municipal	11	73.33	14	56	7	70	32	64
	landfills.								
8.	Inertization process.	4	26.66	5	20	1	10	10	20

Table 2:-Frequency Distribution of the knowledge of the studied subject about the irresponsibility of Health workers towards H W M.

S.	STATEMENT	DOC	TORS	NUI	RSES	TECHN	ICIANS	TO	TAL
No.		N	=15	N	=25	N=	10	Ν	=50
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
1.	Waste management is the responsibility		73.33	20	80	7	70	48	96
	of Head of hospital								
	a. Head of department	7	46.66	17	68	7	70	31	62
	b. Nursing supervisor	11	73.33	21	84	8	80	40	80
	c. Hospital engineer	5	33.33	04	16	3	30	12	24
	d. Infection control officer	12	80	25	100	9	90	46	92
	e. Pharmacist	1	6.66	6	24	3	30	10	20
	f. Lab supervisor	6	40	18	72	5	50	29	58

Table 3:-Frequency Distribution of Studied subject to practice with respect to regarding Handling of Hospital Waste.

S.	STATEMENT	DOCT	ORS	NUR	SES	TECHN	ICIANS	TOT	AL
No.		N=15		N=25	5	N=10		N=50)
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
1.	Clearly defined procedures for collection	12	80	21	84	8	80	41	82
	and handling of wastes from specified units								
	in hospital								
2.	Waste handler using any protective	15	100	22	88	9	90	46	92
	clothing(gloves,etc.)								
3.	Collected waste is transported in a proper	11	73.33	20	80	4	40	35	70
	way from the source								
4.	Segregated waste is properly stored, before	7	46.66	22	88	6	60	35	70
	it is removed from the hospital								

Table 4:-Frequency Distribution of Studied subject with respect to practice regarding training of Health personals towards Hospital Waste Management.

S.	STATEMENT	DOC	TORS	NU	RSES	TECHN	ICIANS	TO	TAL
No.		N=15		N=25		N=10		N=50	
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
1	Ever attended seminar or workshop,etc.	4	26.66	13	52	4	40	21	42
	regarding waste management								

Table 5:-Frequency Distribution of Studied subject with respect to practice regarding implementation of right practice of Hospital Waste.

S.	STATEMENT	DOC	TORS	NUI	RSES	TECHN	ICIANS	TOTAL	
No.		N=15		N=15 N=25		N=	10	N=50	
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%

	Consistency in the work of segregation of	7	46.66	23	92	8	80	38	76
1.	wastes, even though there is heavy								
	workload in the area								
	Influence of effective practice of waste	13	86	23	92	10	100	46	92
2.	management on patient care								
	Ever stopped a person performing wrong	9	60	22	88	8	80	39	78
3.	practice of waste segregation in the								
	hospital								
	Implementation of practice of waste	8	53.33	22	88	10	100	40	80
4.	management in real sense, according to								
	the policy of waste management								

Table 6:-Frequency Distribution of Studied subject with respect to knowledge regarding segregation of Hospital Waste.

S.	STATEMENT	DOC	CTORS	NUI	RSES	TECH	NICIANS	TOT	AL
No.		N	=15	N	=25	N	=10	N=	50
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
1.	Awareness regarding segregation of hospital waste	14	93.33	24	96	10	100	48	96
2.	Segregation an important aspect of waste management	14	93.33	24	96	10	100	48	96
3.	Colour coded bins effective for hospital waste management	13	86.66	25	100	10	100	48	96
4.	Plastic containers are suitable for segregation of hospital waste	15	100	24	96	9	90	48	96
5.	Paper wastes, domestic wastes, etc., are put in yellow colour bins	9	60	18	72	6	60	33	66
6.	Human biopsy wastes, organs, blood,pathological wastes are put in red colour bins	8	53.53	23	92	10	100	41	82
7.	Needles, cathters, angiocaths, etc., are put in black colour bins	8	53.53	23	92	7	70	38	76
8.	Is waste generated from emergency wards more in amount than produced from SICCU	12	80	16	64	6	60	34	68
9.	Segregation is responsibility of every health care provider	15	100	24	96	10	100	49	98

Table 7:-Frequency Distribution of Studied subject with respect to knowledge regarding availability of various facilities regarding hospital waste management.

S.	STATEMENT	DOCT	ORS	NURS	SES	TECHNICIANS		TOTAL	
No.		N=15		N=25		N=10		N=50	
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
1.	Availability of manual or	10	66.66	14	56	4	40	28	56
	guidelines document on								
	management of hospital waste								
2.	Management plan	11	73.33	22	88	10	100	43	86
3.	Management team	10	66.66	23	92	9	90	42	84
4.	Waste gets reused or recycled in	2	13.33	6	24	1	10	9	18
	the hospital								

Table 8:-Frequency	Distribution	of Studied	subject	with	respect	to	knowledge	regarding	awareness	of le	gislation
applied to hospital w	aste manager	nent.									

S.	STATEMENT	DOCTORS		NUR	SES	TECHNICIANS		TOTAL	
No.		N=15		N=25		N=10		N=50	
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
1	Awareness about any legislation applied	4	26.66	8	32	5	50	17	34
	to hospital waste								

Table 9:-Frequency Distribution of Studied subject with respect to knowledge regarding hazards of Hospital Waste Management.

S.	STATEMENT	DOCTORS		NURSES		TECHNICIANS		TOTAL	
No.		N=15		N=25		N=10		N=50	
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
1.	Biomedical waste is always infectious.	6	40	2	8	0	0	8	16
2.	Colour coding avoids mixing of hazardous and non-hazardous waste.	15	100	24	96	9	90	48	96
3.	Improper hospital waste management is an important cause of hospital acquired infection.	13	86.67	25	100	10	100	48	96
4.	Appropriate management of hospital waste a critical component of environment health protection.	15	100	23	92	10	100	48	96

Conclusion:-

At the end of our study "A study to assess the knowledge and practice among the health care providers towards hospital waste management in SKIMS Soura."

Samples of 50 respondents, 15 doctors, 25 nurses, and 10 technicians were taken. Methodology used was "descriptive" and also used "questionnaire" and "structured interview schedule" as a tool for our study and collected data accordingly.

The data is presented in the form of graphs and tables, was collected through the questionnaire.

In our study, it was seen that nurses have more knowledge and practice regarding segregation than other studied subjects.

Technicians were having more knowledge regarding legislation applicable to hospital waste management. It was also evidentfrom the study that nurses were having more knowledge about treatment procedures available in SKIMS.

Financial support and sponsorship:- Nil

Conflict of interest:- There are no conflicts of interest.



Distribution of studied subject on the basis of age groups:-

Interpretations:-

- 1. Among Doctors 73.3% are \leq 30 and 26.67 are > 30 years of age.
- 2. Among Nurses 24% are \leq 30 and 76 are > 30 years of age.
- 3. Among Technicians 20% are \leq 30 and 60% are > 30 years of age.

Pie Chart showing distribution of Gender among the studied subjects.



Interpretations:-

Among the studied subjects 42% are male and 58% are female, which include doctors, nurses and Technicians.





Interpretations:-

Among the studied subjects 60% belong to urban areas and 40% belong to rural areas, which include doctors, nurses and Technicians.



Pie Chart showing distribution of Professional Education of the studied subjects.

Interpretations:-

Among the studied subjects 44% are Diploma Holders, 30% are Degree Holders and 26% are PG's which include doctors, nurses and Technicians.

Bibliography:-

- 1. LalitaArora and SunitaAgarwal (April 2011) international Journal of chemical, environmental and pharmaceutical research vol. 2,No 1,40-43.
- 2. Stewart Barr (2007), UK Study of Household waste Management.
- 3. RawshanAra Begum (17 March,2009).
- 4. Kulatunag&Amuratunga (Year 2006).
- 5. Harcourt Hospital (2008), Port Harcourt Medical Journal.
- 6. Sir Syed College of Medical Sciences, Pakistan (2008), Journal of Sir Syed College of Medical Sciences.
- 7. TudestoRamokotaDebashisBasu(2006), American Medical Journal.
- 8. N. B. Pandith, H.K. Mehta, G.P. Kartha& S.K Chourdary (2005), Indian Journal of Public Health.
- 9. M.C YadavannavarAditya S. Berad& P.B Jagirdas(2007), Cross Sectional Study Bijapur.
- 10. Lalji K. Verma, Shyamalla Mani, NituSinha&SunitaRana(2008) Volume 28 issue-12, Pages 2723-2734, Delhi.
- 11. Mostafa(2007), Cross sectional Study.
- 12. S.Saina, S.S Nagarayan(2005), Vol-17, No.2, KAP Study.
- 13. MohdShafee, N.B Kasturwar, N.N Rupama(2009), AP
- 14. Gaza Study, Vol-7, No.-6, Nov 2010, P.No.-10171024.
- 15. P.HanumanthaRao, Center for Human Development, Administrative Staff, College of India, Bella Vista, Khairathabad Hyderabad, 2008 Vol-26, No.-3, P No. 297-303.
- 16. TaritKanti, Manzarul Hassan, ShafiualAzam, Jahingir Nagar University Dhaka, Bangladesh, Indian Journal of Public health, Jan 2008.
- 17. Veda Hedge, RD Kulkarni, GS Ajanta, Department of Oral Pathology, College of Dental Sciences, India, 2007, Vol. 11, Issue 1, P No.5 to 9
- 18. Abah&Obimain, Journal of Public Health & Epidemiology, Vol. 3, No. 3, March 2011, P No. 99-110.
- 19. Kabbash IA, EI Syed MM, Al Nawawy, AbouSaleem, Eastern Meditterranean Health Journal, 2007,13:392-407.