

Journal homepage: http://www.journalijar.com

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ADVANCED RESEARCH

**RESEARCH ARTICLE** 

## Prevalence Of Metabolic Syndrome After Orthotopic Living-Donor Liver Transplantation

Hassan M. A. Al-Sanhoti<sup>1</sup>, Hoda Abd El-Aziz El-Hady<sup>1</sup>, Yasser M. Abd-Elhalim<sup>2</sup>, Mohamed A. A. Bassiony <sup>'</sup> 1. Internal Medicine Department, Faculty Of Medicine, Zagazig University, Egypt.

2. Gastroenterology & Hepatology Department, Military International Medical Center (IMC) – Cairo, Egypt.

### Manuscript Info

#### Abstract

.....

#### Manuscript History:

Received: 15 July 2015 Final Accepted: 22 August 2015 Published Online: September 2015

#### Key words:

Liver transplantation (LT), Livingdonor LT (LDLT), Metabolic syndrome (MS), Posttransplantation MS (PTMS).

\*Corresponding Author

.....

Hassan M. A. Al-Sanhoti

..... Liver transplantation (LT) is a life - saving procedure for patients with advanced liver diseases. Post-transplantation metabolic syndrome (PTMS), a consequence of LT, was associated with major vascular events; rapid progression of graft fibrosis and graft loss. The aim of this study was to assess the prevalence of metabolic syndrome (MS) following living-donor liver transplantation (LDLT) and the possible risk factors predisposing to it. Patients & Methods: A retrospective -prospective study was conducted on 85 Egyptian patients who underwent LDLT in the liver transplantation unit of the Military International Medical Center (IMC) - Cairo and completed one year of regular follow up. Results: Eighty five percent of our patients were males & mean age was 52 years. Pre-transplantation prevalence of MS, impaired fasting plasma glucose (FPG), DM, hypertension (HTN), Hypertriglyceridemia, Low serum High density lipoprotein (HDL) and Obesity was 14.1% , 12 % , 31 % , 11% , 2 % , 89 % and 22 % respectively. Post-transplantation prevalence of MS, impaired fasting plasma glucose (FPG), DM, hypertension (HTN), Hypertriglyceridemia, Low serum High density lipoprotein (HDL) and Obesity was 48%, 14%, 77%, 59%, 51%, 32% and 35% respectively. Conclusion: PTMS is an early and prevalent phenomenon after LDLT and its possible risk factors are pretransplantation diabetes, pre- transplantation family history of diabetes and post - transplantation Obesity & Cyclosporine use.

Copy Right, IJAR, 2015,. All rights reserved

# **INTRODUCTION**

Liver transplantation is a life - saving procedure for patients with advanced chronic liver disease, hepatocellular carcinoma and acute liver failure. The outcomes after LT are excellent, with 1- and 5-year survival rates of 85–90% and 70–80% respectively. This led to increasing numbers of transplant recipients who have long-term metabolic & cardiovascular consequences of LT. [1]

The presence of MS after transplantation was associated with increased rates of major vascular events, more rapid progression of hepatitis C- induced graft fibrosis, graft loss and patients death. [2, 3]

There are many definitions for MS in the literature. The most widely used is the criteria defined by the National Cholesterol Education Program, Adult Treatment Panel III (NCEP/ATP III) adapted in 2001 by National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute/American Heart Association (NHLBI/AHA), and its modification in 2004 & 2009. [4]

NCEP/ATP III defined MS as presence of at least 3 parameters of the following: [5]

- Impaired fasting glucose ( $\geq 100 \text{ mg/dl}$ ) or drug treatment for DM.
- Abdominal obesity (waist circumference  $\geq 102$  cm in men,  $\geq 88$  cm in women)
- Hypertriglyceridemia (≥150mg/dl or drug therapy for high triglycerides)
- Low levels of HDL (< 40 mg/dl in men, < 50 mg/dl in women or drug treatment for low HDL)

• Elevated blood pressure ( $\geq 130/85$  mmHg or drug treatment for hypertension).

### The aim of the work:

This study was carried out to assess the prevalence of MS following LDLT and the possible

risk factors predisposing to it.

### **Patients and methods**

This retrospective-prospective study has been carried out in the Liver Transplantation Unit, Gastroenterology & Hepatology Department, Military International Medical Center (IMC), Cairo in collaboration with the Internal Medicine Department, Faculty of Medicine, Zagazig University, during the period from Mars 2013 to Mars 2015.

This study was conducted on a cohort of (85 patients) who underwent LDLT in IMC, Cairo. Those patients were in 2 categories:

- ✤ 65 patients : who underwent LDLT before the period of the study & continued to have regular follow up visits for at least one year post-LT. Their physical & laboratory data were collected from their paper, elctronic files and by telephone contact when necessary.
- 20 patients: who underwent LDLT during the period of the study. Their physical & laboratory data were followed up for one year after transplantation in ICU, ward & out-patient clinic of liver translantation unit of IMC.

#### **Exclusion criteria :**

- 1- Age of the patient less than 18 years.
- 2- Patients refused to enter the study.
- 3- Patients died during the period of the study

#### Methods :

This study had been approved by the internal review board (IRB) and the ethical committee of Zagazig Faculty of Medicine and also approved by the IMC executive & scientific board.

The selected patients were evaluated for MS pre-LT & re-evaluated every month post-LT for 12 months except during 1<sup>st</sup> month post-transplantation when patients were evaluated weekly. Post-transplantation follow-up lipid profile was ordered every 3 months. All subjects of the study were subjected to the following :-

A-Thorough history taking : with special emphasis on:

- Demographic data e.g.: age, gender, smoking status, alcohol intake and underlying aetiology of liver disease.
- Patient & family history of DM & hypertension.
- History of medications before & after LDLT (immunosuppressive, antihypertensive, hypoglycemic, and lipid-lowering drugs).

#### **B- Physical Examination:** with special emphasis on:

**1.Blood pressure measurement** : was done according to the 2013 Egyptian guidelines for hypertension. **[6] 2.Body mass index measurement :** 

Body mass index (BMI) was used in our study instead of waist circumference as an indicator of obesity. Body weight and height were measured using a scale and a stadiometer. BMI was calculated [patient's weight (in kg) / (patient's height in meters)<sup>2</sup>]. The patient was considered to be obese if his/her BMI was ( $\geq$  30) kg/m<sup>2</sup> & non-obese if BMI (< 30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>). if the patient had ascites , the estimated dry weight was used for calculation of BMI either by askig the patients about their pre-ascitic weight or according to the following table : [7, 8]

#### (Table 1): Measurement of dry body weight in ascitic patients:

Degree	Ascites	L.L edema
Mild	2.2 kg	1 kg
Moderate	6 kg	5 kg
Severe	14 kg	10 kg

#### **C- Investigations :**

A venous blood sample by venipuncture was taken from the patient in ICU, ward & then later with each outpatient clinic scheduled visit according to the clinical sheet protocol for measurement of :

1. Fasting plasma glucose level.

2. Fasting lipid profile especially serum HDL & serum triglycerides levels . Statistical analysis:

Data were subjected to statistical analysis using the Statistical Package for the Social Science (SPSS) software program version 17.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA).

#### **Results:**

In table (3), highly significant changes in all parameters of PTMS compared to pre-transplantation parameters were observed, indicating that PTMS was an early & prevalent phenomenon after LDLT.

Table (4) showed highly significant increased prevalence of MS, DM, HTN and Hypertriglyceridemia after LT than before LT.

Table (5) showed that Pre-transplantation MS persists post-transplantation in 12 % of patients out of the 14% of patients who had it pre-transplantation. All pre-transplantation diabetic, hypertensive & hypertriglyceridemic patients remain having the same criterion post-transplantation respectively. Nine patients who had impaired FPG pre-transplantation became diabetics on treatment post-transplantation while only one patient continued to have normal FPG all over the post-transplantation period of follow-up of this study. Obesity persisted in 19% of patients post-transplantation out of 22 % of patients pre-transplantation. Low HDL persisted in 29% of patients post-transplantation.

Statistically significant effect of both pre-transplantation history of DM & impaired FPG and family history of DM on PTMS was detected in table (6).

Table (7) showed statistically significant effect of post-transplantation BMI & use of Cyclosporine (CS) as the main immunosuppressant medication on prevalence of PTMS.

Item	No. = 85	0/0
Age (years)		
Mean $\pm$ SD	$52.1 \pm 6.6$	
Range	(23 - 66)	
Gender		
Male	72	84.7
Female	13	15.3
Smoking		
No	45	52.9
Stopped (Ex.)	31	36.5
Current	9	10.6
Alcohol intake		
Yes	1	1.2
No	84	98.8

(Table 2): Pre-transplantation Demographic & Aetiological data:

Family history of :		
DM	44	51.8
HTN	4	4.7
DM &HTN	3	3.5
None	34	40
Chronic Hepatitis C	81	95.3
Chronic Hepatitis B :		
Hepatitis B only	2 5	2.4
Combined hepatitis B &C	5	5.9
Combined hepatitis C &	6	7.1
Bilharziasis		
Congenital biliary atresia	1	1.2
Primary sclerosing cholangitis	1	1.2

**N.B:** No. = number of patients, DM= Diabetes mellitus, HTN= Hypertension

( <b>Table 3</b> ): Comparison between mean $\pm$ SD of	of pre- & post- transplantation clinical & laboratory da	ata :
---	--	-------

Parameter	Pre-transplantation (Mean ± SD)	post-transplantation (Mean ± SD)			*p- value	Significance
		1 <sup>st</sup> month	12 <sup>th</sup> month			
Blood pressure:						
Systolic:						
Diastolic:	$116.8 \pm 10.7$	$130.5 \pm 18.6$	$124.2 \pm 9.6$	<0.001		
	$70.4 \pm 7.9$	79.8 ± 13.7	$77.2 \pm 7.0$	<0.001	Significant	
BMI	27.3 ± 3.7	27.1 ± 3.6	28.9 ± 3.3	<0.001	Significant	
FPG	103.9 ± 32.0	172.3 ± 71.2	129.9 ± 38.0	<0.001	Significant	
Serum TG	74.3 ± 38.6	75.1 ± 38.3	153.7 ± 39.5	<0.001	Significant	
Serum HDL	32.5 ± 14.96	32.6 ± 14.7	52.7 ± 12.0	<0.001	Significant	

**N.B:** MS= Metabolic syndrome, FPG= Fasting plasma glucose, HDL= High density lipoprotein, TG= Triglycerides, BMI= Body mass index

(Table 4): Comparison between prevalence of MS & its constituents before & after LT
---

Item	Before	Before LT   After LT		P- value*	Significance	
	No.	%	No.	%	-	
M.S						
Yes	12	14.1	41	48.2	<0.001	Significant
No	73	85.9	44	51.8		C

Impaired FPG						
Yes	10	11.8	12	14.1	0.96	Non -Significant
No	75	88.2	73	85.9		
DM						
Yes	26	30.6	65	76.5	<0.001	Significant
No	59	69.4	20	23.5		
HTN						
Yes	9	10.6	50	58.8	<0.001	Significant
No	76	89.4	35	41.2		
Obesity						Non -Significant
Yes	19	22.4	30	35.3	0.29	
No	66	77.6	55	64.7		
-Hypertriglyceridemia						
Yes						
No	2	2.4	43	50.6	<0.001	Significant
	83	97.6	42	49.4		
Low HDL						
Yes	76	89.4	27	31.8	<0.001	Significant
No	9	10.6	58	68.2		

**N.B:** LT= liver transplantation

(Table 5): Post-transplantation fate of pre-transplantation MS & its constituents:

Item	Pre-transplantation (No.=85)	Post-transplantation fate (No.=85)	
		Persistence	Absence
<b>MS</b> :			
No.	12	10	2
%	14.1	11.8	2.4
Impaired FPG			
No.	10	9 became DM	1 became normal PG
%	11.8	10.6	1.2
DM :			
No.	26	26	0
%	30.6	30.6	0
HTN :			
No.	9	9	0
%	10.6	10.6	0

Obesity : No. %	19 22.4	16 18.8	3 3.5
High TG:			
No.	2	2	0
%	2.4	2.4	0
Low HDL:			
No.	76	25	51
%	89.4	29.4	60.0

(Table 6): Comparison between demographic data of LT recipients with & without post-transplantation MS:

Item	Without metabolic	With metabolic syndrome	Test of significance	*P-value	Significance
	syndrome	•	8		
	No.=44	No.=41			
Age (years)					
mean +_SD	$52\pm~6.7$	$52.2 \pm 6.6$	t-test =	0.93	Non -Significant
Range	29 - 66	23 - 63	0.08	0.95	
Gender male					
Female	38 (86.4%)	37 (82.9%)	$X^{2}=$ 0.19	0.66	Non -Significant
remale	6 (13.6%)	4 (17.1%)	0.19		
Smoking					
Yes	5 (11.4%)	4 (9.0%)	X <sup>2</sup> =	0.39	Non -Significant
No	23 (52.3%)	22 (53.7%)	0.06	0.57	Non Significant
Stopped	16 (36.4%)	15 (36.6%)			
HCV					
infection: -absent	1 (2.3%)	3 (7.3%)	X2=	0.55	Non -Significant
-absent	1 (2.3%)	5 (7.370)	0.34	0.55	Non -Significant
Present -	43 (97.7%)	38 (92.7%)			
Pre-LT DM & impaired					
<b>FPG :</b> absent	31 (70.5%)	18 (43.9%)			
			X2=	0.013	Significant
Present	13 (29.5%)	23 (56.1%)	6.13		
Family history of DM					
absent	26 (59.1 %)	12 (29.3)	3/2	0.005	<b>a</b> • • •
present	18 (40.9%)	29 (70.7%)	X <sup>2</sup> = 7.6	0.005	Significant

**N.B:** HCV = Hepatitis C virus

(Table 7):	Comparison between post-	LT clinical, laboratory &	& immunosuppression drugs	lata of LT recipients
with & without post-transpla	antation MS:			

Item	Without metabolic syndrome	With metabolic syndrome	Test of significance	P-*value	Significance
	No.=44	No.=41			
Blood pressure					
systolic diastolic	$116.2 \pm 10$ $68.8 \pm 7.7$	$\begin{array}{c} 117.6 \pm 11.6 \\ 72.1 \pm 7.8 \end{array}$	0.55 1.89	0.57 0.06	Non –Significant
BMI	26.2 ± 3.2	28.5 ± 3.9	2.89	0.004	Significant
FPG	100.6 ± 32.5	107.4 ± 31.4	0.97	0.33	Non - Significant
TG	74.2 ± 39.1	74.4 ± 38.5	0.01	0.98	Non -Significant
HDL	$33.2 \pm 14.6$	31.7 ± 15.4	0.44	0.65	Non - Significant
<b>Tacrolimus</b> Taken	43 (97.7%)	40 (97.6%)			Non - Significant
Not-taken	1 (2.3%)	1 (2.4%)	0.003	0.96	C
<b>Cyclosporine</b> Taken	36.4%)(16	26 (63.4%)	6.21	0.012	Significant
Not-taken	28 (63.6%)	15 (36.6%)	0.21	0.012	Significant
MMF Taken	20 (45.5%)	14 (34.1%)			Non -
Not-taken	24 (54.5%)	27 (65.9%)	1.13	0.28	Significant

**N.B:** MMF= Mycophenolate mofetil.

\* P- value ( $\leq 0.05$ ) was considered statistically significant and was considered highly significant if P-value (< 0.001).

### **Discussion:**

Liver transplantation is a life - saving procedure for patients with advanced chronic liver disease, hepatocellular carcinoma and acute liver failure. Improved survival after transplantation can be attributed to refinements in surgical techniques and improved management of early post-LT infections & rejection episodes. All these factors led to increasing numbers of transplant recipients who have long-term consequences of transplantation. [9]

These long-term consequences include metabolic complications, cardiovascular complications, renal dysfunction, bone disease and de novo malignancy. MS and its components are the main risk factors of cardiovascular morbidity and mortality. [10]

Pre-transplantation prevalence of MS was 14.1% which matches the 16% prevalence reported by Lunati et al. [11], but more than the 6% prevalence reported by Iadevaia et al. [12]. However, PTMS prevalence increased to 48

% of our patients, this goes in agreement with the prevalence of 52%, 45% & 50% reported by Laish et al., Bianchi et al. and Hanouneh et al. respectively. [3, 5, 13] It is less than the 58% & 65% prevalence reported by Laryea et al. & Kallwitz et al. respectively. [2, 9]

Twelve percent of patients had impaired FPG before LT which is more than the 9% prevalence reported by **Anastacio et al. [14]**. Impaired FPG slightly increased to 14 % of patients post-LT.

Before LT, 31 % of our patients were receiving treatment for DM which is more than the 15% & 22% prevalence reported by **Iadevaia et al.** & **Hanouneh et al.** respectively. **[12, 13]** The number of patients with DM increased to 77 % of patients after LT which was more than the 61%, 40%, 52 % & 64 % prevalences reported by **Laryea et al.**, **Laish et al.**, **Hanouneh et al.** & **Baid et al.** respectively. **[2, 3, 13, 15]** 

Our higher prevalence of post-transplantation DM may be explained, at least in part, by the much higher prevalence of HCV infection as an underlying cause of liver transplantation in our population causing higher prevalence of insulin resistance & DM both pre- & post-LT. Also, most of our patients started on Tacrolimusas, with corticosteroids, as their main immunosuppression drugs with their known diabetogenic effect. [16]

Only 11% of patients were on treatment for HTN before LT which goes in concordance with the 10% & 9% prevalences reported by Laryea et al & Laish et al. respectively. [2, 3] Hypertensive patients increased post-transplantation to 59% of patients which is comparable to the 62% prevalence reported by Laryea et al., the 58% prevalence by Laish et al. & the 64% reported by Hanouneh et al. [2, 3, 13].

Immunosuppressive medication is largely responsible for the development of hypertension post LT, with calcineurin inhibitors (CNI) and corticosteroids being the most strongly implicated. The primary mechanism of CNI induced hypertension is through widespread arterial vasoconstriction that results in increased systemic vascular resistance. [17]

Hypertriglyceridemia was present in 2 % of patients only before LT which is compared to the 3% prevalence reported by Laryea et al. [2]. Hypertriglyceridemia was present in 51 % of patients after LT, this goes in agreement with the 47% prevalence reported by Laish et al. [3]. But it is more than the 37% prevalence reported by Bianchi et al. [5]

Low serum HDL was present in 89 % patients before LT which was much more than the 40% prevalence reported by Laish et al. [3]. Patients with low serum HDL decreased post-transplantation to 32% patients. This was less than the results of Laryea et al., Laish et al. & Bianchi et al. which were 48%, 49 % & 50% respectively. [2, 3, 5]

This high prevalence of dyslipidemia following LT can be explained by the high prevalence of HCV infection in our population because HCV-induced cirrhosis is a risk factor for Hypertriglyceridemia. Also, Corticosteroids can lead to dyslipidemia by increasing the hepatic production of lipids and decreased hepatic LDL reuptake. Cyclosporine inhibits hepatic bile acid 26- hydroxylase, which is thought to decrease transport of cholesterol into bile and its subsequent elimination into the intestines. Additionally, cyclosporine binds to LDL receptor and thereby decreases LDL-cholesterol uptake. **[18, 19, 20]** 

Obesity was present in 22 % of patients before LT which matches the 15 - 30% prevalence of pre-LT obesity reported by **De Luca et al.** [21], and is similar to the 21% prevalence reported by **Ruiz-Rebollo et al.** [22], less than the 26% prevalence reported by **Hanouneh et al.** [13], but more than the 18% & the 15% prevalence in the study of **Bianchi et al.** & **Anastacio et al.** respectively. [3, 14]

Obesity was present in 35 % of patients post-LT, this goes in agreement with **Wawrzynowicz-Syczewska et al.** [23], who reported that Obesity (body mass index (BMI>30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) is affecting 21 - 42% liver transplant recipients. Our results are similar to the 36% prevalence reported by Laryea et al., the 31 % prevalence of post-transplantation obesity mentioned by Laish et al. & the 32% prevalence by Bianchi et al. [2, 3, 5]. But less than the 53% prevalence reported by Kallwitz et al. & the 45% prevalence in the study of Hanouneh et al. [9, 13].

Highly significant changes in all parameters of PTMS compared to pre-transplantation parameters were

observed, indicating that PTMS was an early & prevalent phenomenon after LDLT.

There was no statistically significant effect of the age, gender or smoking on the occurrence of PTMS. Similar results were reported by **Bianchi et al. & Ruiz-Rebollo et al. [5, 22].** 

Our study shows that there was no statistically significant effect of HCV infection on prevalence of PTMS. Similar results were reported by Laish et al. & Ruiz-Rebollo et al. [3, 22]. However, Laryea et al. considered HCV infection as highly associated with PTMS. [2]

A statistically significant relationship was found between pre-transplantation history of DM & impaired FPG and PTMS. This goes in agreement with the results of **Bianchi et al.**, **De Luca et al.**, **Ruiz-Rebollo et al.** [5, 21, 22]

This can be attributed to that, once DM is established in the pre-transplantation period, LT does not always produce a complete clinical regression probably due to an established B-cell defect with its subsequent metabolic derangements. [24]

A statistically significant relationship was reported in our study between pre-transplantation family history of DM and prevalence of PTMS. Similar result was reported by **Ruiz-Rebollo et al.** [22]

A statistically significant effect of post-transplantation BMI on prevalence of PTMS was shown in our study. This goes in agreement with that reported by Laryea et al., De Luca et al. & Anastacio et al. [2, 21, 25]

Our study showed that using Cyclosporine (CS) as the main immunosuppressive medication had statistically significant effect on the prevalence of PTMS. While both Tacrolimus (FK) & Mycophenolate Mofetil (MMF) had no statistically significant effect on the prevalence of PTMS. Similar results were reported by **Iadevaia et al.**, **De Luca et al.** & **Francioso et al.** [12, 21, 26]. However, this was rejected by **Laryea et al.**, **Laish et al.** & **Bianchi et al.** [2, 3, 5]

This effect of CS on the occurrence of PTMS can be explained by that CS produces significant weight gain especially in the first year post-transplantation. In addition to the hypertensive & diabetogenic effect of CS. [7] *Conclusion:* 

PTMS is an early and prevalent phenomenon after LDLT and its possible risk factors are pre-transplantation diabetes & family history of diabetes, post - transplantation Obesity and Cyclosporine use.

The main Strengths of this study were the prospective design of the study and the focus on the early post-LT period. While the main limitations were the relative small number of patients, it is a single center experience, the use of BMI as a substitute for waist circumference and absence of nutritional assessment and surveillance before & after transplantation to evaluate the relationship between our regular diet & the prevalence of metabolic syndrome.

### **References:**

- [1] Valentina V, Antonio DP, Morelli MC, Pagotto U et al. Prevalence of Metabolic Syndrome in Organ Transplantation: A Review of the Literature. Endocrinol Metab Synd 2015; 4:1-15
- [2] Laryea M, Watt KD, Molinari M, Walsh MJ et al. Metabolic syndrome in liver transplant recipients: prevalence and association with major vascular events. Liver Transpl 2007; 13: 1109-1114.
- [3] Laish I, Braun M, Mor E, Sulkes J et al. Metabolic syndrome in liver transplant recipients: prevalence, risk factors, and association with cardiovascular events. Liver Transpl 2011; 17: 15-22
- [4] Alberti K.G; Robert H.E., MD; Grundy S.M;Zimmet P.Z et al. Harmonizing the Metabolic Syndrome. A Joint Interim Statement. Circulation 2009; 120:1640-1645
- [5] Bianchi G, Marchesini G, Marzocchi R, Pinna AD. transplantation: relation to etiology and 1654.
  Metabolic syndrome in liver immunosuppression. Liver Transpl 2008; 14:1648–
- [6] Ibrahim M, Abdel Wahab A, Farrag A, Maklady F et al. The Egyptian Hypertension Society: Egyptian Hypertension Guidelines. The Egyptian Heart Journal 2014; 66 (2):79–132.
- [7] Richards J, Gunson B, Johnson J and Neuberger J. Weight gain and obesity after liver transplantation. Transplant International 2005; 18(4): 461–466.
- [8] Wicks C. and Madden A. A practical Guide to Nutrition in Liver Disease. Liver Interest Group of the British Dietetic Association 1994. 2<sup>nd</sup>Edn.London.

- [9] Kallwitz ER, TenCate V, Mettu PS, Koyhnw N et al. Elevated Serum Creatinine and the Metabolic Syndrome are Associated with Major Vascular Events After Liver Transplantation. Hepatology 2011; 54: 574-481.
- [10] Kallwitz ER. Metabolic syndrome after liver transplantation: preventable illness or common consequence? World J Gastroenterol 2012; 18: 3627-3634.
- [11] Lunati ME, Grancini V, Agnelli F, Gatti S et al. Metabolic syndrome after liver transplantation: Short-term prevalence and preand post-operative risk factors. Digestive and Liver Disease 2013; 45: 833 -839.
- [12] Iadevaia M, Giusto M, Giannelli V, Lai Q et al. Metabolic Syndrome and Cardiovascular Risk after Liver Transplantation: A Single-Center Experience. Transplantation Proceedings 2012; 44, 2005–2006.
- [13] Hanouneh IA., Feldstein AE, McCullough AJ, Miller C et al. The significance of metabolic syndrome in the setting of recurrent hepatitis C after liver transplantation. Liver Transpl 2008; 14: 1287-1293.
- [14] Anastacio LR, Ferreira LG, Ribeiro HS, et al. Metabolic syndrome after liver transplantation: prevalence and predictive factors. Nutrition2011; 27: 931–937.
- [15] Baid S, Cosimi AB, Farrell ML, et al. Post-transplant diabetes mellitus in liver transplant recipients: risk factors, temporal relationship with hepatitis C virus allograft hepatitis, and impact on mortality. Transplantation 2001; 72:1066-1072.
- [16] Vincenti F, Tuncer M, Castagneto M, Klinger M et al. Prospective, multicenter, randomized trial to compare incidence of new-onset diabetes mellitus and glucose metabolism in patients receiving Cyclosporine microemulsion versus Tacrolimus after de novo kidney transplantation. Transplant Proceedings 2005; 37:1001-1004.
- [17] Canzanello VJ, Textor SC, Taler SJ, Schwartz LL, Porayko MK, Wiesner RH et al. Late hypertension after liver transplantation: a comparison of Cyclosporine and Tacrolimus (FK 506). Liver Transpl Surg 1998; 4:328–334.
- [18] Hulzebos C.V., Bijleveld C. M., Stellaard F. et al. Cyclosporine A induced reduction of bile salt synthesis associated with increased plasma lipids in children after liver transplantation. Liver Transplantation 2004; 10 (7): 872–880.
- [19] Gisbert C., Prieto M., Berenguer M. et al. Hyperlipidemia in liver transplant recipients: prevalence and risk factors. Liver Transplantation and Surgery 1997; 3(4): 416–442.
- [20] Lau KK, Tancredi DJ, Perez RV, and Butani L. Unusual pattern of dyslipidemia in children receiving steroid minimization immunosuppression after renal transplantation. Clinical Journal of the American Society of Nephrology 2010; 5 (8): 1506–1512.
- [21] De Luca L, Rachel W, Emmanuel AT. Metabolic and cardiovascular complications in the liver transplant recipient. Annals of Gastroenterology 2015; 28, 182-192.
- [22] Ruiz-Rebollo ML, Sánchez-Antolín G, García-Pajares F, Fernández-Orcajo P et al. Risk of development of the metabolic syndrome after orthotopic liver transplantation. Transplant Proceedings 2010; 42: 663-665.
- [23] Wawrzynowicz-Syczewska M, Karpin´ska E., Jurczyk K. ET AL. Risk factors and dynamics of weight gain in patients after liver transplantation, Annals of Transplantation 2009;14 (3): 45–50.
- [24] Tueche SG. Diabetes mellitus after liver transplant, new aetiologic clues and cornerstones for understanding .Transplant Proceedings 2003; 35: 1466-1468.

- [25] Anastacio LR, Lima AS, Toulson MI, Correia D. Metabolic syndrome and its components after liver transplantation: Incidence, prevalence, risk factors, and implications. Clinical Nutrition 2010; 29:175–179.
- [26] Francioso S, Angelico F, Baiocchi L, Tisone G, et al. High prevalence of metabolic syndrome and long-term survival after liver transplantation. J Hepatol 2008; 48: 82-88.